SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY
3 1223 10055 6985

5/S

San Francisco Public Library

Government Information Center
San Francisco Public Library
100 Larkin Street, 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102

REFERENCE BOOK

*Not to be taken from the Library*

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2014

https://archive.org/details/ordinance1987sanf_1

3 1223 10055 6985

FILE NO. 45-87-24 ORDINANCE NO. 201-87

RO #6738

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF BRUCE LINDBERG AGAINST CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $43,500.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "BRUCE LINDBERG v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.," Superior Court No. 845-142, by the payment of $43,500.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By: Kimberly A. Reiley
KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

By: Frank M. Jordan
FRANK JORDAN, Chief
San Francisco Police

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

By John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

01 001 97 09 38
309781 1450 $43,500.00

MAR 31 1987

APPROVED:
POLICE COMMISSION:

Willie E. Frazier
SECRETARY

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 16 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
|---|---|---|
| May 18, 1987 | § | May 26, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Walker Ward | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Maher Molinari Silver | § | Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher Molinari Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
45-87-24

June 3, 1987
Date Approved

Mayor
Acting Mayor

FILE NO. 45-87-22 ORDINANCE NO. 202-87

RO #6724

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF MARGARET BUEKER AGAINST CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $17,500.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "MARGARET BUEKER v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.," Superior Court No. 802-074, by the payment of $17,500.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By: KIMBERLY A. RILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

By: for RICHARD EVANS
Director
Department of Public Works

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C. Farrell
Controller

01 001 97 09 90
309674 1450 $17,500.00

MAR 31 1987

APPROVED:

Chief Administrative Officer

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 16 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| May 18, 1987 | May 26, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Maher Molinari Silver | Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher Molinari Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 45-87-22

June 3, 1987
Date Approved

Mayor
Acting Mayor

FILE NO. 45-87-20

ORDINANCE NO. 203-87

RO #6753

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF CARMELITA CLARKE V. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $12,500.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City is attorney authorized to settle the action entitled "CARMELITA CLARKE V. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al." Superior Court No. 831-184 by the payment of $12,500.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

for John B Lowe
RICHARD J. EVANS
Director

FUNDS AVAILABLE

John C Farrell
CONTROLLER
01 001 97 09 90
309674 1450 $12,500.00
6159F
MAR 31 1987

APPROVED:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 16 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
|---|---|---|
| May 18, 1987 | § | May 26, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Walker Ward | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Maher Molinari Silver | § | Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher Molinari Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L Taylor
Clerk

File No.
45-87-20

June 3, 1987
Date Approved

Mayor
Acting Mayor

As amended in Board 5/18/87

FILE NO. 121-87-3

ORDINANCE NO. 204-87

[Chinatown Peddlers]

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER XI, OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (TRAFFIC CODE) BY AMENDING SECTION 68 THEREOF, RELATING TO SELLING FROM A VEHICLE IN TRAFFIC ZONE NO. 1, AND AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER VIII, OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (POLICE CODE), BY AMENDING SECTION 869.3 THEREOF, RELATING TO LOCATION RESTRICTIONS AND PEDDLER PERMITS.

Note: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are in ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter XI, of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Traffic Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 68 thereof, to read as follows:

SEC. 68. SELLING FROM A VEHICLE RESTRICTED.

(a) It shall be unlawful for any person to park any vehicle upon any street in Traffic Zone No. 1, or any business district, from which said vehicle merchandise is being sold or offered for sale.

(((b) Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph (a), persons holding peddlers' licenses on January 1, 1984, to peddle from a vehicle in the area bounded by Hyde Street on the west, Bush Street on the south, Montgomery Street on the east and Jefferson Street on the north shall, so long as they remain licensed and continue to personally operate their own business, be permitted to continue sales activity from a parked vehicle except that those peddlers holding permits for locations on Stockton Street shall be relocated to the south side of the blocks of Pacific Street between Powell Street and

/ / /

Grant Avenue until December 31, 1989, at which time the provisions of paragraph (b) of this section shall expire.))

(b) Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph (a), persons holding peddlers' licenses on January 1, 1987, to peddle from a vehicle on the south side of the blocks of Pacific Street between Powell Street and Grant Avenue shall, as long as they remain licensed and continue to personally operate their own businesses, be permitted to continue sales activity from a parked vehicle except that those peddlers holding permits for locations on Pacific Street shall be relocated to the south side of the blocks of Pacific Street between Stockton and Powell and Powell Street between Pacific and Jackson until December 31, 1989.

(c) A person holding a peddler's license to peddle from a vehicle at the locations specified in paragraph (b) of this section shall be permitted to peddle between the hours of ~~10:00~~ 8:00 a.m and ~~3:00~~ 6:00 p.m. on Saturday, Sunday, and legal holidays.

ejp 5/18/87

(d) The permit granting authority shall be authorized to conduct a~~n~~ ~~annual~~ lottery on a quarterly basis in order to assign each person holding a peddler's license a parking space at the locations specified in paragraph (b) of this section.

ejp 5/18/87

(e) At the time of the lottery provided for in paragraph (d) of this section, an annual fee shall be assessed to each person holding a peddler's license to peddle from a vehicle at the locations specified in paragraph (b) of this section. Such fee shall include any loss of meter revenue as a result of the activities of the permittee and any costs to the City for cleaning and maintaining each designated parking space as a result of the activities of the permittee.

(f) Any person violating the provisions of this section shall be guilty of an infraction. Every violation determined to be an infraction is punishable by (1) a fine not exceeding one hundred



DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUN 16 1987

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

dollars ($100) for a first violation; (2) a fine not exceeding two hundred dollars ($200) for a second violation within one year; (3) a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars ($500) and revocation of the permittee's license to peddle for a third violation within one year.

Section 2. Part II, Chapter VIII of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Police Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 869.3 thereof, to read as follows:

Sec. 869.3. LOCATION RESTRICTIONS. The Chief of Police may issue a peddler permit only when he finds that the following location and time requirements are met:

(a) The location shall:

(1) Leave unobstructed for pedestrian passage on any sidewalk a space not less than 10 feet wide.

(2) Not occupy a space extending more than 4-1/2 feet from the curb line of any sidewalk, nor wider than 4 feet nor extending more than 5 feet above the sidewalk.

(3) Not be within 18 inches of the curb line of any sidewalk.

(4) Not be closer than 7-1/2 feet from sprinkler inlets, and wet and dry standpipe inlets, measured from the outer edge of the standpipe bank from the building line to the sidewalk edge.

(5) Not be within 12 feet of the outer edge of any entrance way to any building or facility used by the public including but not limited to doors, driveways and emergency exits measured in each direction parallel to the building line and thence at a 90 degree angle to the curb.

(6) Not be on any sidewalk adjacent to a curb which has been duly designated pursuant to local ordinance or regulation as a white, yellow, blue, or red zone, or a bus zone.



(7) Not be within 5 feet of any crosswalk or fire hydrant.

(8) Leave unobstructed fire escapes, underneath and perpendicular from the building to the street, 5 feet from both ends of the fire escape.

(9) Not be within 5 feet of inflammable liquid vents and fill pipes when tanks are not being filled nor within 25 feet while tanks are being filled.

(b) No peddler shall peddle goods, wares or merchandise between the hours of 12:00 a.m. (midnight) and 6:00 a.m. the following day.

(c) No peddler shall peddle goods, wares or merchandise in any "P" district, as defined in Section 234 of the City Planning Code (Part II, Chapter II, San Francisco Municipal Code), located on Twin Peaks or in any areas in or adjacent to Open Space Districts located on Twin Peaks. This prohibition shall not apply to duly licensed produce peddlers who have been granted permits to sell within this area by the City and County of San Francisco or any of its authorized licensing agencies, commissions or government subentities.

(d) No peddler shall peddle goods, wares, or merchandise in Traffic Zone No. 1, as defined in Section 3.6 of the Traffic Code Part II, Chapter XI, of the San Francisco Municipal Code. This prohibition shall not apply to those persons holding peddlers' licenses to peddle from a vehicle in accordance with Traffic Code 68. Violations of this paragraph shall be punished as set forth in Traffic Code Section 68(e).

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: _____
Deputy City Attorney

7869F/04-23-87

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 'assed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
| | § | |
| ıay 18, 1987 | § | May 26, 1987 |
| | § | |
| | § | |
| ıyes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez | § | Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hsieh |
| Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher | § | Kennedy Nelder Silver Walker |
| Molinari Nelder Walker Ward | § | |
| | § | |
| ıbsent: Supervisors Silver | § | Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto |
| | § | Maher Molinari Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

'ile No.
121-87-3

June 3, 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

Acting Mayor

FILE NO. 123-87-5 ORDINANCE NO. 205-87

[Sidewalk Displays]

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER X, OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (PUBLIC WORKS CODE) BY AMENDING SECTION 183-6 THEREOF, RELATING TO PENALTIES FOR DISPLAY OF FRUITS AND VEGETABLES OR NON-FOOD ITEMS ON PUBLIC SIDEWALKS.

Note: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are in ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter X, of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Public Works Code) by amending Section 183-6 thereof, to read as follows:

((SEC. 183-6. - Suspension or Revocation of Permit. Permission granted under this Article to encroach upon a portion of a public sidewalk with displays for fruit and vegetables or non-food merchandise shall be subject to suspension or revocation by the Director of Public Works when the permittee violates any of the restrictions and conditions set forth in Section 183-5 of this Article, or any rule or regulation of the Director of the Department of Public Works adopted pursuant to the provisions of Section 183-3 of this Article. Said permission shall be automatically terminated upon the termination of the insurance stipulated under Section 183-5 of this Article or at such time as the permittee no longer owns or operates the business establishment, whichever occurs earlier. The effective date of any suspension or revocation of a permit shall be determined by the Director of Public Works.))

/ / /

/ / /

SEC. 183-6. PENALTIES. Any person violating the provisions of this section shall be guilty of an infraction. Every violation determined to be an infraction is punishable by (1) a fine not exceeding one hundred dollars ($100) for a first violation; (2) a fine not exceeding two hundred dollars ($200) for a second violation within one year; (3) a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars ($500) and revocation of the permittee's license issued pursuant to Section 183-2 of this Article for a third violation within one year.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: _____
Deputy City Attorney

7951F

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 16 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
| | § | |
| May 18, 1987 | § | May 26, 1987 |
| | § | |
| | § | |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez |
| Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari | § | Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Silver |
| Nelder Silver Walker Ward | § | Walker |
| | § | |
| Absent: Supervisors Hongisto | § | Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher |
| | § | Molinari Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
123-87-5

June 3, 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

Acting Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-104

ORDINANCE NO. 206-87

RO #6772 SA #151

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $393,000 FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (COURTROOM CONSTRUCTION - HALL OF JUSTICE), MUNICIPAL COURT, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 02-010 | Courthouse Temporary Construction Fund | | |
| 70-7002 (045690) | Court Filing Fees | $393,000 | |
| 11-00-00 | Municipal Court | | |
| 20-2030 (31/156) | Capital Improvement Project | | |
| Project 600-01 | Courtroom Construction-Hall of Justice | | $393,000 |
| | Total | $393,000 | $393,000 |

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

APR 22 1987

RECOMMENDED:
Diane Feinstein
MAYOR

ac(#0721P-23)

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 16 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| May 18, 1987 | May 26, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Maher Molinari Silver | Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Maher Molinari Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
101-86-104

June 3, 1987
Date Approved

Thomas Hsieh
Mayor
Acting Mayor

As amended in Board
05/26/87

FILE NO. 36-87-6.1 ORDINANCE NO. 207-87

(Zoning Change, 85.693EZ)

ADOPTING CHANGES IN PROPERTY USE CLASSIFICATION AS AN AMENDMENT TO THE ZONING MAP OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO OF PROPERTY LOCATED OVER THE EAST PORTAL OF THE BROADWAY TUNNEL ABOVE MASON STREET AND LOT 42 IN ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 158 FROM AN RM-2 (MIXED RESIDENTIAL, MODERATE DENSITY) DISTRICT TO AN RM-4 (MIXED RESIDENTIAL, HIGH DENSITY) DISTRICT AND ADOPTING FINDINGS PURSUANT TO CITY PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Pursuant to resolution of the Board of Supervisors, adopting the final environmental impact report as its own, and pursuant to Section 302(c) of the City Planning Code, Part II, Chapter II of the San Francico Municipal Code, the following change in property use classification, duly approved by resolution of the City Planning Commission is hereby adopted as an amendment to the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco.

| Description of Property | Use District to be Superceded | Use District Hereby Approved |
|---|---|---|
| Beginning at a point of the south-east corner of Assessor's Block 158, Lot 42, running 76.5 feet northerly along Mason Street, then 160 feet westerly along a line parallel to Broadway, then 76.5 feet southerly along a line parallel to Mason Street, then 160 feet easterly along a line parallel to Broadway to the point of beginning. | RM-2 (Mixed Residential Highdensity) | RM-4 (Mixed Residential Moderate Density) |

ejp

05/26/87

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors concurs in the determination of the City Planning Commission by its Resolution Number 10982 that this reclassification is consistant with the priority policies set forth in City Planning Code Section 101.1

APPROVED AS TO FORM
Louise Renne, CITY ATTORNEY

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

_____
Dean L. Macris, Director

(See file for departmental signature.)

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
| | § | |
| May 26, 1987 | § | June 1, 1987 |
| | § | |
| | § | |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Ward Molinari Nelder Silver Walker | § | Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward |
| | § | |
| | § | Absent: Supervisors Britt |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
36-87-6.1

June 10, 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 176-87-2 ORDINANCE NO. 208-87

(Advertising Transit Shelters)

APPROVING ADVERTISING TRANSIT SHELTER AGREEMENT BETWEEN CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, THROUGH ITS PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, AND GANNETT OUTDOOR COMPANY, INC. OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Pursuant to section 3.502 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the Advertising Transit Shelter Agreement by and between the City and County of San Francisco, through its Public Utilities Commission, and Gannett Outdoor Company, Inc. of Northern California; and approved by Public Utilities Commission Resolution No. 87-0171, adopted May 12, 1987; a copy of which Agreement is contained in Board of Supervisors' file number 176-87-2.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By ROBIN RETTZES MANION

1035P

1035P

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 2 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| May 26, 1987 | June 1, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Ward Molinari Nelder Silver Walker | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Walker |
| | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Nelder Silver Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

File No. 176-87-2

JUN 10 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 103-87-1 ORDINANCE NO. 209-87

AN ORDINANCE FIXING, DESIGNATING, ENUMERATING AND PROVIDING SCHEDULES OF COMPENSATION FOR PERSONS EMPLOYED BY THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, THE SAN FRANCISCO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT, THE SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, WHOSE COMPENSATIONS ARE SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 8.403 OF THE CHARTER AND ESTABLISHING BENEFITS, WORKING SCHEDULES, AND CONDITIONS OF EMPLOYMENT AND METHODS OF PAYMENT, EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 1987.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

SECTION I. SCHEDULES OF COMPENSATION.

SEC. I.A. Schedules. Compensation for the respective classifications of employment shall be paid for services under a normal work schedule as defined in Section II hereof. Compensations are gross amounts and are on a biweekly basis unless otherwise specified.

SEC. I.B. Maintenance and Charges. Charges and deductions for all maintenance, such as housing, meals, laundry, etc., furnished to and accepted by employees shall be made on timerolls and payrolls in accordance with a schedule of maintenance charges fixed and determined in the Annual Salary Ordinance.

SEC. I.C. Uniform Allowance. Registered Nurses, excluding "as needed" Registered Nurses who are required to wear and supply their own uniforms in the course of their duties and are employed on September 1, of the years covered by the Memorandum of Understanding shall be paid an annual uniform allowance of $175.00, and, in the case of wearing and supplying lab coats or smocks, $100.00, no later than December 1 of each year.

SECTION II. WORK SCHEDULES.

SEC. II.A. Normal Work Schedules.

SEC. II.A.I. Unless other provided in this ordinance, a normal work day is a tour of duty of eight (8) hours completed within not more than nine (9) hours.

Upon request of the appointing officer, the Civil Service Commission may authorize work schedules for registered nurse classifications which are comprised of eight (8) hours within twelve (12) or a forty hour work week in four, five or six consecutive days. Such change in the number of work days shall not alter the basis for, nor entitlement to, receiving the



DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 2 1987
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY



same rights and privileges as provided all five-day, forty-hour-a-week employees.

All classifications of employees having a normal work day of eight (8) hours may voluntarily work in flex-time programs authorized by appointing officers and may voluntarily work more than or less than eight (8) hours within twelve (12) hours, provided that the employee must work five (5) days a week, eighty (80) hours per payroll period, and must execute a document stating that the employee is voluntarily participating in a flex-time program and waiving any rights he or she may have on the same subject contained in a memorandum of understanding.

Registered Nurses may voluntarily work twelve (12) hour shifts when authorized by the appointing officer, provided such twelve (12) hour shifts shall not alter the basis for, nor entitlement to, receiving the same rights and privileges as provided all five-day, forty-hour-a-week employees and provided, further, that said employees shall be entitled to overtime compensation for work only in excess of eighty (80) hours per payroll period and provided, further, that said employees shall accumulate compensatory time off at the rate of time and one-half (1-1/2) only for time worked in excess of eighty (80) and less than eighty-four (84) hours per payroll period.

Upon request of the union to any City department, the Board of Supervisors authorizes any department head, board or commission to meet and confer with the union on proposals offered by the union or the department relating to alternate scheduling of working hours for all or part of a department. Such proposals may include but are not limited to core-hour flex-time, full-time work weeks of less than five (5) days or a combination of plans which are mutually agreeable to the union and the department concerned. It is the intent of the Board that the work year shall continue to be two thousand eighty (2080) hours (2088 in leap years) and that overtime shall be earned on a daily and weekly basis provided, however, the union and the affected department may mutually agree on cost equivalent alternative scheduling practices. Any such agreement shall be submitted in ordinance form to the Board of Supervisors for its approval or rejection.

SEC. II.A.2. A normal work week is a tour of duty on each of five consecutive days.

SEC. II.A.3. Exceptions:

SEC. II.A.3.(A). The 20-20 Education Program

SEC. II.A.3.(B). Specially funded training programs approved by the Civil Service Commission.

SEC. II.A.3.(C). Educational and training courses - regular permanent civil service employees may, on a voluntary basis with approval of appointing officer, work a forty hour week in six days when required in the interest of furthering the education and training of the employee.

SEC. II.A.3.(D). Employees shall receive no compensation when properly notified (2 hour notice) that work applicable to the classification is not available because of inclement weather conditions, shortage of supplies, traffic conditions or other unusual circumstances. Employees who are not properly notified and report to work and are informed no work applicable to the classification is available shall be paid for a minimum of two hours.

Employees who begin their shifts and are subsequently relieved of duty due to the above reasons shall be paid a minimum of four hours, and for hours actually worked beyond four hours, computed to the nearest one-quarter hour.

SEC. II.A.3.(E). Special Educational Leave for Health Personnel. Each full-time and regularly scheduled part-time nurse shall be allowed a maximum of forty (40) hours educational leave with pay per fiscal year or a pro rata share thereof to attend formally organized courses, institutes, work shops or classes which relate to nursing education or classes which relate to professional nursing development and education or are necessary to achieve the particular classification's recertification or relicensure.

It is the intent of the Board of Supervisors that leave pursuant to this paragraph shall be granted subject only to the reasonable staffing requirements of the departments and that in granting of such leave, preference shall be given to the employee having the earliest relicensure or recertification date.

SEC. II.A.3.(F). Voluntary Reduced Work Period for Public Health Nurses. Employees in classifications 2830 Public Health Nurse and 2832 Supervising Public Nurse may elect to participate for six month periods in a 5% basic biweekly salary reduction plan subject to the approval of the Community Public Health Services, Director of Nursing. Employees who elect this alternate pay plan



shall receive 5% less salary on a biweekly basis and, in addition to other vacation, holiday, and sick leave benefits, shall receive 6-1/2 working days off with pay in one period, provided that if the employee is entitled to be paid for less than 40 hours per week for the six month period, he or she shall receive a pro rata portion of the 6-1/2 days.

If the employee resigns during the six month period, an adjustment shall be made in the final pay check for any portion of the 6-1/2 days off with pay received but to which the employee lost entitlement by reason of his or her resignation.

The periods shall be as follows: two six month periods each fiscal year, one commencing on July 1 and one ending on the following December 31 and a second commencing on January 1 and ending the following June 30. Notification of participation must be received by the appointing officer no later than two weeks prior to the commencement of the period. Days off earned pursuant to this section are not subject to accumulation and must be utilized during the period on a scheduled basis mutually agreed to by the employee and the appointing officer.

SEC. II.A.3.(G). Voluntary Reduced Work Week. Employees with the approval of the appointing officer may voluntarily elect to work a reduced work week for a specified period of time. Such reduced work week shall not be less than sixteen (16) hours per week. Pay, vacation, holidays and sick pay shall be reduced in accordance with such reduced work week.

SEC. II.B. Part-Time Work Schedule. A part-time work schedule is a tour of duty of less than forty hours per week.

SECTION III. COMPENSATION FOR VARIOUS WORK SCHEDULES.

SEC. III.A. Normal Work Schedule. Compensation fixed herein on a per diem basis are for a normal eight hour work day, and on a biweekly basis for a biweekly period of service consisting of normal work schedules.

SEC. III.B. Part-Time Work Schedules. Salaries for part-time services shall be calculated upon the compensation for normal work schedules proportionate to the hours actually worked.

SECTION IV. ADDITIONAL COMPENSATION.

SEC. IV.A. Night Duty. Employees shall be paid 10% more than the base rate set forth herein for hours worked in shifts designated by the Department of Public Health to be evening shifts and 15% more than the base hourly rate for hours worked in

shifts designated by the Department of Public Health to be a night shift, excepting those employees participating in an authorized flex-time program who voluntarily work during hours otherwise designated as an evening or night shift.

SEC. IV.B. Extended Tour of Duty. An extended tour of duty shall be a tour of duty of eight hours work completed within eleven consecutive hours but extended over more than nine hours. There shall be only one split in any tour of duty. The employees on an extended tour of duty shall be paid for time actually worked and shall be paid 50% above their base rate after the ninth hour. These provisions shall not apply to executive, administrative or professional employees.

Exception. Employees of Camp Mather who during the summer season work a tour of duty of eight hours completed within thirteen consecutive hours shall be paid $1.50 per day above the compensation to which they are otherwise entitled.

SEC. IV.C. Interpreter-Translator Pay. Employees who are assigned by their department to a "Designated Bilingual Position" may be granted additional compensation of $10.00 weekly. A "Designated Bilingual Position" is one designated by the Department related to a public service function of a department and requiring translating to and from a foreign language, including sign language as used by the deaf, a minimum of 5 hours weekly.

SEC. IV.D. Supervisory Differential Adjustment. The Civil Service Commission is hereby authorized to adjust the compensation of a supervisory employee whose schedule of compensation is set herein subject to the following conditions.

SEC. IV.D.1. The supervisor, as part of the regular responsibilities of his/her class, supervises, directs, is accountable for and is in responsible charge of the work of a subordinate or subordinates.

SEC. IV.D.2. The organization is a permanent one approved by the appointing officer, chief administrative officer, board or commission, where applicable, and is a matter of record based upon review and investigation by the Civil Service Commission.

SEC. IV.D.3. The supervisor has completed a probationary period in a civil service class and holds permanent status to a full-time position.

SEC. IV.D.4. The classifications of both the supervisor and the



subordinate are appropriate to the organization and have a normal, logical relationship to each other in terms of their respective duties and levels of responsibility and accountability in the organization.

SEC. IV.D.5. The compensation schedule of the supervisor is less than 5% or one full step over the compensation schedule, exclusive of extra pay, of the employee supervised. In determining the compensation schedule of a classification being paid a flat rate, the flat rate will be converted to a biweekly rate and the compensation schedule the top step of which is closest to the flat rate so converted shall be deemed to be the compensation schedule of the flat rate classification.

SEC. IV.D.6. The adjustment of the compensation schedule of the supervisor shall be to the nearest compensation schedule representing, but not exceeding, 5 percent or one full step over the compensation schedule, exclusive of extra pay, of the employee supervised.

If the application of this section adjusts the rate of pay of an employee in excess of his/her immediate supervisor, the pay of such immediate supervisor shall be adjusted to an amount $1.00 biweekly in excess of the base rate of his/her highest paid subordinate, provided that the applicable conditions under paragraph "D" are also met.

SEC. IV.D.7. The decision of the Civil Service Commission as to whether the compensation schedule of a supervisory employee shall be adjusted in accordance with this section shall be final.

SEC. IV.D.8. Compensation adjustments are effective retroactive to the beginning of the current fiscal year or the date in the current fiscal year upon which the employee became eligible for such adjustment under these provisions.

SEC. IV.D.9. In no event will the Civil Service Commission approve a supervisory salary adjustment in excess of 10% or two full steps over the supervisor's current basic compensation. If, in the following fiscal year a salary inequity continues to exist, the Civil Service Commission may again review the circumstances and may grant an additional salary adjustment not to exceed 10% or two full steps.

SEC. IV.D.10. It is the responsibility of the appointing officer immediately to notify the Civil Service Commission of any change in the conditions or circumstances that were and are relevant to

a request for salary adjustment under this section either acted upon by or pending before the Civil Service Commission.

SEC. IV.E. Standby Pay. Nurses who are required by the Department to stand by when normally off duty to be constantly available for immediate service shall be paid a twenty-five (25%) of their regular straight time rate of pay for the period of such standby service. When such nurses are called upon to report to work during the period of such standby service, they shall be paid the usual rate of pay for time spent at work. The Department will review, upon request of the Union, the feasibility of using standby pay in specific areas of the Department.

SEC. IV.F. Call Back (Rest Between Shifts). Employee in classes 2320 Registered Nurse, 2322 Head Nurse, 2323 Clinical Nurse Specialist, 2328 Nurse Practitioner, 2330 Anesthetist, 2340 Operating Room Nurse, 2342 Head Nurse, Surgery, and 2830 Public Health Nurse shall have an unbroken rest period of at least twelve (12) hours between shifts, and of at least fifty-five (55) hours between shifts when said employee is off on the weekend or two (2) consecutive days off, and of at least thirty-one (31) hours between shifts when said employee is off on a holiday or on a single day off. All hours worked within the above rest periods shall be paid at the rate of time and one-half as determined by the 1987-88 Annual Salary Ordinance.

This provision may be waived on the request of said employee and the approval of the appointing officer or appropriate designated representative. Employees on call back resume their regular work schedule on the day after call back. If his/her regular schedule calls for him/her to come in within eight (8) hours after call back, the employee has the option to not work or work at time and one-half until he/she has twelve (12) consecutive hours rest time.

SEC. IV.G. Weekends Off for Nurses. Employees in classes 2320 Registered Nurse, 2323 Clinical Nurse Specialist, 2330 Anesthetist, 2340 Operating Room Nurse, and 2830 Public Health Nurse shall receive two weekends off each month. If any such employee is required to work three (3) consecutive weekends, he/she shall receive time and one-half on the third and succeeding consecutive weekends. Individual nurses have the option to waive this provision with two weeks notice for a



specified period of time with the approval of the appointing officer or appropriate designated representative, notwithstanding the provisions of Section II of this ordinance, in order to guarantee two weekends off each calendar month. There shall be no restrictions on split days off.

Notwithstanding any other provisions of this ordinance, employees in these classifications shall not work more than six consecutive days if days off are split, or eight consecutive days off are not split. This provision may be waived upon the written request of the employee with the approval of the appointing officer or the designated representative.

SEC. IV.H. WEEKEND PREMIUM.

Registered nurses who voluntarily work three of every four weekends shall receive a premium of 10% above their basic hourly wage, including shift and other differentials, for each weekend worked.

A registered nurse shall be eligible for a weekend premium only when he/she commits to working a three out of four weekend schedule for three months.

SECTION V. OVERTIME COMPENSATION

SEC. V.A. Appointing officers may require employees to work longer than the normal work day or longer than the normal work week. Any time worked under proper authorization of the appointing officer or his/her designated representative or any hours suffered to be worked by an employee, in excess of the regular or normal work day or week shall be designated as overtime and shall be compensated at one and one-half times the base hourly rate which may include a night differential if applicable, provided that employees working in a flex-time program shall be entitled to overtime compensation as provided herein when required to work more than eight hours in a day or eighty hours per payroll period. Overtime compensation so earned shall be computed subject to all the provisions and conditions set forth herein.

The Civil Service Commission shall determine whether work in excess of eight (8) hours a day performed within a sixteen (16) hour period following the end of the last preceding work period shall constitute overtime or shall be deemed to be work scheduled on the next work day.

No appointing officer shall require an employee not

designated by a "z" symbol in the Annual Salary Ordinance to work overtime when it is known by said appointing officer that funds are legally unavailable to pay said employee, provided that an employee may voluntarily work overtime under such conditions in order to earn compensatory time off at the rate of time and one-half, pursuant to the provisions of Section V.B.

SECTION V.B. Employees occupying executive, administrative, or professional positions designated by a "z" symbol in the Annual Salary Ordinance shall not be paid for overtime worked, but may be granted compensatory time off at the rate of one and one-half times for time worked in excess of normal work schedules.

Non "z" designated employees who are required or suffered to work overtime shall be paid in salary unless the individual employee requests compensatory time off in lieu of paid overtime providing the request is approved by the appointing officer. Compensatory time shall be earned at the rate of time and one-half, request to receive compensatory time shall be made in writing and shall be submitted to the appointing officer or designated representative as soon as possible and in no event later than the end of the first pay period following the pay period in which the overtime was worked. In lieu compensatory time off shall be taken at a time mutually agreeable to the employee and the appointing officer in the fiscal year earned subject to the following conditions:

1) If the appointing officer and the employee are unable to mutually agree on when time off shall be taken, any accrued time off shall be paid at the end of the fiscal year; or,

2) If the appointing officer and the employee mutually agree, compensatory time off may be taken during the succeeding six (6) month period following the end of the fiscal year in which the compensatory time was earned. However, if the compensatory time cannot be enjoyed by the employee in said subsequent six (6) month period, he/she shall be paid in cash.

SEC. V.C. Recordation of Overtime. All overtime worked which is authorized by the appointing officer shall be recorded on separate timerolls.

Compensation for overtime worked as provided in this section shall be paid on an hourly basis. The Civil Service Commission



shall establish a schedule of hourly rates and this schedule shall be in accordance with the provisions of Section 8.401 of the Charter.

SECTION VI. HOLIDAYS AND HOLIDAY PAY.

SEC. VI.A. Except as otherwise provided in Section V.(B) and except when normal operations require, or in an emergency, employees shall not be required to work on the following days hereby declared to be holidays for such employees:

January 1, the third Monday in January (Martin Luther King, Jr.'s Birthday), February 12 (Lincoln's Birthday), the third Monday in February (Washington's Birthday), the last Monday in May, July 4, the first Monday in September (Labor Day), September 9 (Admission Day), the second Monday in October (Columbus Day), November 11, Thanksgiving Day, December 25, any day declared to be a holiday by proclamation of the Mayor after such day has heretofore been declared a holiday by the Governor of the State of California or the President of the United States, and, two additional holidays to be taken on days selected by the employee subject to approval of the appointing officer. Both full-time and part-time temporary employees must complete six (6) months continuous service before receiving the additional days, except in the San Francisco Unified School District and the San Francisco Community College District when a particular day has been determined to be a floating holiday for all of the employees in the department; provided further that all part-time temporary employees who are not regularly scheduled, but are employed on an as-needed, irregular intermittent or other irregular basis are ineligible for the additional days.

Provided, further, if January 1, February 12, July 4, September 9, November 11 or December 25 falls on a Sunday, the Monday following is a holiday.

In the event a legal holiday falls on Saturday, the preceding Friday shall be observed as a holiday, provided, however, that except where the Governor declares that such a preceding Friday shall be a legal holiday, each department head shall make provision for the staffing of public offices under his/her jurisdiction on such preceding Friday so that said public offices may serve the public as provided in Section 7.702 of the Charter. Those employees who work on a Friday which is observed as a holiday in lieu of a holiday falling on Saturday shall be

allowed a day off in lieu thereof as scheduled by the appointing officer in the current fiscal year.

The San Francisco Unified School District and San Francisco Community College District may, for its own employees and employees regularly assigned from other departments, substitute for the holidays declared above an equal number of different holidays.

Upon adoption of a resolution by the Board of Supervisors, the September 9 Admission Day holiday may be exchanged for a holiday known as The Day After Thanksgiving.

SEC. VI.B. Holiday Compensation for Time Worked. Employees required by their respective appointing officers to work on any of the above specified or substitute holidays, excepting Fridays observed as holidays in lieu of holidays falling on Saturday, shall be paid extra compensation of one additional day's pay at time and one-half the usual rate in the amount of twelve (12) hours pay for eight (8) hours worked or a proportionate amount for less than eight (8) hours worked, provided, however, that at the employee's request and with the approval of the appointing officer, an employee may be granted compensatory time off in lieu of paid overtime pursuant to the provisions of Section V.B. herein.

Executive, administrative and professional employees designated in the Annual Salary Ordinance with the "z" symbol shall not receive extra compensation for holiday work but may be granted time off equivalent to the time worked at the rate of one and one-half times for work on the holiday.

SEC. VI.C. Holidays for Employees on Work Schedules Other Than Monday Through Friday. Employees assigned to seven day operation departments or employees working on a five day work week other than Monday through Friday shall be allowed another day off if a holiday falls on one of their regularly scheduled days off. Employees whose holidays are changed because of shift rotations shall be allowed another day off if a legal holiday falls on one of their days off.

If the provisions of this section deprive an employee of the same number of holidays that an employee receives who works Monday through Friday, he/she shall be granted additional days off equal to such number of holidays. The designation of such days off shall be by mutual agreement of the employee and the



appropriate supervisor with the approval of the appointing officer. Such days off must be taken within the fiscal year. In no event shall the provisions of this section result in such employee receiving more or less holidays than an employee on a Monday through Friday work schedule.

SEC. VI.D. Holiday Pay for Employees Laid Off. An employee who is laid off at the close of business the day before a holiday who has worked not less than five previous consecutive work days shall be paid for the holiday.

SEC. VI.E. Employees Not Eligible for Holiday Compensation. Persons employed for holiday work only, or persons employed on a part-time work schedule which is less than twenty (20) hours in a biweekly pay period, or persons employed on an intermittent part-time work schedule (not regularly scheduled) or persons on leave without pay status both immediately preceding and immediately following the legal holiday shall not receive holiday pay.

SEC. VI.F. Part-Time Employees Eligible for Holidays. Part-time employees who regularly work a minimum of twenty (20) hours in a biweekly pay period shall be entitled to holidays as provided in Section VI.B. on a proportionate basis.

Regular full-time employees are entitled to 8/80 or 1/10 time off when a holiday falls in a biweekly pay period, therefore, part-time employees, as defined in the immediately preceding paragraph, shall receive a holiday based upon the ratio of 1/10 of the total hours regularly worked in biweekly pay period. Holiday time off shall be determined by calculating 1/10 of the hours worked by the part-time employee in the biweekly pay period immediately preceding the pay period in which the holiday falls. The computation of holiday time off shall be rounded to the nearest hour.

The proportionate amount of holiday time off shall be taken the same fiscal year in which the holiday falls. Holiday time off shall be taken at a time mutually agreeable to the employee and the appointing officer.

Part-time employees who regularly work a minimum of thirty-two (32) hours in a biweekly pay period and work on a holiday shall be entitled to full holiday pay as provided in Section VI.B.

SEC. VI.G. Time Off for Voting. If an employee does not have sufficient time to vote outside of working hours, the employee

may request so much time as will allow time to vote, in accordance with the State Election Code.

SEC. VI.H. Longevity Leave. Full-time employees in classifications:

| | |
|---|---|
| 2322 | Head Nurse |
| 2324 | Nursing Supervisor |
| 2326 | Nursing Supervisor Psychiatric |
| 2342 | Head Nurse, Surgery |
| 2344 | Chief of Surgery, Nurses |
| 2350 | Instructor of Nursing, Staff Development and Research |
| 2352 | Assistant Director of Nursing, Education |
| 2366 | Assistant Director of Nurses, Laguna Honda Hospital |
| 2368 | Assistant Director of Nurses, San Francisco General Hospital |
| 2832 | Supervising Public Health Nurse |

shall be granted paid longevity leave days to be taken on days selected by the employee subject to approval of the appointing officer and not subject to carry over, as follows:

After two years continuous full-time service, one leave day.

After five years continuous full-time, two leave days.

After seven years continuous full-time service, three leave days.

After ten years continuous full-time service, five leave days.

Employees working at .8FTE or above in classifications:

| | |
|---|---|
| 2320 | Registered Nurse |
| 2323 | Clinical Nurse Specialist |
| 2330 | Anesthetist |
| 2340 | Operating Room Nurse |
| 2830 | Public Health Nurse |
| 2328 | Nurse Practitioner |

shall be granted paid longevity leave days to be taken on days selected by the employee subject to approval of the appointing officer and not subject to carryover, as follows:

After two (2) years' continuous permanent service at .8 FTE or above, one (1) leave day.

After five (5) years' continuous permanent service at .8 FTE or above, two (2) leave days.

After seven (7) years' continuous permanent service at .8 FTE or above, three (3) leave days.

After ten (10) years' continuous permanent service at .8 FTE or above, five (5) leave days.

///////



SECTION VII. HEALTH INSURANCE.

SEC. VII.A. Dependent Health Coverage. Employees shall be reimbursed for the cost of health insurance plan dependent coverage provided by the Health Service System pursuant to the rules of the System. Reimbursement shall be for that portion of the cost of the health plan less the cost of employee only coverage. Reimbursement shall be quarterly (July 1 through September 30, 1982 and each quarter thereafter) and shall be paid within two (2) months of the end of each quarter. At such time as the Health Service System is able to revise its deduction program, the dependent coverage portion of the payroll deduction shall terminate and the Department of Public Health shall make the required payments directly to the System.

SEC. VII.B. Dental Insurance. For fiscal years 1986-87 and 1987-88, the City agrees to maintain the existing and present level of dental benefits (dental care insurance) for the registered nurses in bargaining units 8-D and 11-B at no cost to the employee. In the event the Charter is amended to provide dental insurance to all City employees, this provision shall become void except to the extent it is necessary to reimburse or pay employee dependent coverage costs pursuant to Section VII.A above.

SECTION VIII. CHARGE NURSE.

Any registered nurse who is regularly employed who is assigned to do work as a charge nurse for four hours or more in a day shall be paid a premium of $1.20 an hour above the base hourly rate of pay for such hours actually assigned, provided that at San Francisco General Hospital and Laguna Honda Hospital such assignment shall be made for p.m. night and weekend shifts when no head nurse is present on the unit.

SECTION IX. SALARY STEP PLAN AND SALARY ADJUSTMENT.

SEC. IX.A. Appointments to positions in the City and County service shall be at the entrance rate established for the position except as otherwise provided herein.

SEC. IX.A.1. Promotive Appointment in Higher Class. An employee or officer who is a permanent appointee following completion of the probationary period or six months of permanent service, and who is appointed to a position in a higher classification, either permanent or temporary, deemed to be promotive by the Civil Service Commission shall have his/her salary adjusted to that

step in the promotive class as follows:

(A) If the employee is receiving a salary in his/her present classification equal to or above the entrance step of the promotive class, the employee's salary in the promotive class shall be adjusted to two steps in the compensation schedule over the salary received by the lower class but not above the maximum of the salary range of the promotive classification.

(B) If the employee is receiving a salary in his/her present classification which is less than the entrance step of the salary range of the promotive classification, the employee shall receive a salary step in the promotive class which is closest to an adjustment of 7-1/2% above the salary received in the class from which promoted. The proper step shall be determined by the biweekly compensation schedule and shall not be above the maximum of the salary range of the promotive class.

(C) If the appointment deemed promotive described in IX.A.1. above is a temporary appointment, and the employee, following a period of continuous service at least equal to the prescribed probationary period is subsequently given another appointment either permanent or temporary, deemed promotive from the prior temporary appointment class, the salary step in the subsequent promotive appointment shall be deemed promotive in accordance with Sections IX.A.1.(A) and (B).

SEC. IX.A.2. Limited Tenure to Promotive. A limited tenure appointee who accepts appointment to a promotive position from a regular eligible list shall have his/her salary in the promotive appointment based on the salary in his/her regular civil service next lower rank position from which he/she gained promotive eligibility, except as herein provided.

If the following conditions are met, the salary in the promotive appointment shall be not less than the salary received under limited tenure appointment:

SEC. IX.A.2.(A). That the employee was serving under permanent limited tenure appointment for at least six months immediately prior to accepting such regular promotive appointment.

SEC. IX.A.2.(B). That the employee received a salary above the entrance rate of the compensation schedule in the permanent limited tenure appointment.

SEC. IX.A.2.(C). That if the salary steps in the limited tenure class and the regular promotional class do not match, the



employee shall be advanced to the salary step in the compensation schedule nearest that received in the limited tenure appointment.

SEC. IX.A.2.(D). Further increments in the compensation schedule in the regular promotive class shall be based on the date of permanent appointment to the regular promotional appointment.

SEC. IX.A.3. Nonpromotive Appointment.

(A) When an employee who is a permanent appointee, occupying a permanent position, following completion of the probationary period or six months of permanent service, accepts a nonpromotive appointment in a classification having a higher salary schedule, the appointee shall enter the new position at that salary fixed for such class (including seniority increments) in the schedules of compensation which is immediately in excess of the salary which the employee received immediately prior to his/her appointment to such position.

(B) When such employee accepts a nonpromotive appointment in a classification having the same salary schedule, or a lower salary schedule, the appointee shall enter the new position at that salary step which is the same as that received in the prior appointment, or if the salary steps do not match, then the salary step which is immediately in excess of that received in the prior appointment, provided that such salary shall not exceed the maximum of the salary schedule. Further increments shall be based upon the seniority increment anniversary date in the prior appointment.

SEC. IX.A.4. Appointment Above Entrance Rate. Upon the request of an appointing officer, permanent or exempt appointments may be made at any step in the compensation schedule upon recommendation of the General Manager, Personnel and the approval of the Civil Service Commission under the following conditions:

(A) A former permanent City employee, following resignation with service satisfactory, is being reappointed to a permanent position in his/her former classification;

(B) Loss of compensation would result if appointee accepts position at the normal step;

(C) A severe, easily demonstrated and documented recruiting and retention problem exists, such that all City appointments in the particular class should be above the normal step; and

(D) The Controller certifies that funds are available.

///////

SEC. IX.A.5. Determination of Pay for Position Formerly Exempt. When a position in the municipal service has been exempt from the Salary Standardization provisions of the Charter and becomes subject thereto, or when a position becomes subject to the Salary Standardization provisions of the Charter through acquisition of a public utility, the salary of the employee holding such position shall be calculated by including credit for continuous paid or nonpaid service in the position immediately prior to its becoming subject to salary standardization.

SEC. IX.A.6. Appointive Position. An employee who holds an appointive position whose services are terminated, through lack of funds or reduction in force, and is thereupon appointed to another appointive position with the same or lesser salary schedule, shall receive a salary in the second position based upon the relationship of the duties and responsibilities and length of prior continuous service as determined by the Civil Service Commission.

SEC. IX.A.7. Reappointment Within Six Months. A permanent employee who resigns and is subsequently reappointed to a position in the same classification within six (6) months of the effective date of resignation shall be reappointed to the same salary step that the employee received at the time of resignation.

SEC. IX.B. Compensation Adjustments.

SEC. IX.B.1. When an employee promoted to a higher classification during a prior fiscal year receives a lesser salary than if promoted in the same class and from the same salary step during the current fiscal year, his/her salary shall be adjusted on July 1, to the rate he/she would have received had he/she been promoted in the current fiscal year.

The Civil Service Commission is hereby authorized to adjust the salary and anniversary increment date of any employee promoted from one class to a higher classification who would receive a lesser salary than an employee promoted at a later date to the same classification from the same salary step in the same base class from which the promotional examination was held.

SEC. IX.B.2. When a classification that was formerly a next lower rank in a regular civil service promotional examination receives through salary standardization a salary schedule higher than the salary schedule of the classification to which it was



formerly promotive, the Civil Service Commission shall authorize a rate of pay to an employee who was promoted from such lower class equivalent to the salary he/she would have received had he/she remained in such lower class, provided that such employee must file with the Civil Service Commission an approved request for reinstatement in accordance with the provisions of the Civil Service Commission rule governing reinstatements to the first vacancy in his/her former classification and provided, further, that the increased payment shall be discontinued if the employee waives an offer of promotion from his/her current classification or refuses an exempt appointment to a higher classification. This provision shall not apply to offers of appointment which would involve a change of residence.

The special rate of pay herein provided shall be discontinued if the employee fails to file and compete in any promotional examination for which he/she is otherwise qualified, and which has a compensation schedule higher than the protected salary of the employee.

SEC. IX.B.3. When an employee is promoted under temporary appointment to a higher classification during a prior fiscal year and is continued in the same classification without a break in service in the current fiscal year, or is appointed to a permanent position in the same classification, such appointment shall be in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, provided that the salary shall not be less than the same step in the salary schedule the employee received in the immediately prior temporary appointment.

SEC. IX.B.4. A temporary employee certified from a regular civil service entrance list who has completed six months or more of temporary employment within the immediately preceding one year period before appointment to a permanent position in the same class shall be appointed at the next higher step in the salary schedule and to successive steps upon completion of the six months or one year required service from the date of permanent appointment. These provisions shall not apply to temporary employees who are terminated for unsatisfactory services or resign their temporary position.

SEC. IX.B.5. Permanent employees working under limited tenure appointment in other classifications or temporary appointments from eligible lists in other classifications shall have their

salary adjusted in the limited tenure or temporary class when such employees reach their salary anniversary date in their permanent class.

SEC. IX.C. Compensation Upon Transfer or Re-Employment

SEC. IX.C.1. An employee transferred in accordance with Civil Service Commission rules from one department to another, but in the same classification, shall transfer at his/her current salary, and if he/she is not at the maximum salary for the class, further increments shall be allowed following the completion of the required service based upon the seniority increment anniversary date in the former department.

SEC. IX.C.2. An employee who has acquired permanent status in a position and who is laid off because of lack of work or funds and is re-employed in the same class after such layoff shall be paid a salary which included credit for actual time served, either permanent or temporary, in the class prior to the layoff.

SEC. IX.C.3. An employee who has completed the probationary period in a promotive appointment that is two or more steps higher in an occupational series than the permanent position from which promoted who is subsequently laid off and returned to a position in an intermediate ranking classification shall receive a salary based upon actual permanent service in the higher classification, unless such salary is less than the employee would have been entitled to if promoted directly to the intermediate classification. Further increments shall be based upon the increment anniversary date that would have applied in the higher classification.

SEC. IX.C.4. An employee who has completed the probationary period in an entrance appointment who is laid off and is returned to a classification formerly held on a permanent basis shall receive a salary based upon the original appointment date in the classification to which the employee is returned. An employee who is returned to a classification not formerly held on a permanent basis shall receive a salary in accordance with Section IX.A.3.(B) of this ordinance.

SEC. IX.D. Notwithstanding any of the other provisions of this ordinance, no employee working in a federally funded position shall be paid at a rate less than the established federal minimum wage if that is a condition upon receipt of the federal funds.

///////



SEC. IX.E. Longevity Premium. Permanent employees inclassifications:

| | |
|---|---|
| 2320 | Registered Nurse |
| 2323 | Clinical Nurse Specialist |
| 2330 | Anesthetist |
| 2340 | Operating Room Nurse |
| 2830 | Public Health Nurse |
| 2328 | Nurse Practitioner |

with ten years or more continuous full-time or part-time service in any nurse classification shall be entitled to a longevity premium of $0.30 per hour in addition to their basic hourly rate.

Employees in classifications:

| | |
|---|---|
| 2322 | Head Nurse |
| 2324 | Nursing Supervisor |
| 2326 | Nursing Supervisor Psychiatric |
| 2342 | Head Nurse, Surgery |
| 2344 | Chief of Surgery, Nurses |
| 2350 | Instructor of Nurses |
| 2352 | Assistant Director of Nursing, Staff Development and Research |
| 2366 | Assistant Director of Nurses, Laguna Honda Hospital |
| 2368 | Assistant Director of Nurses, San Francisco General Hospital |
| 2832 | Supervising Public Health Nurse |
| 2369 | Director of Nurses Laguna Honda Hospital |
| 2370 | Director of Nurses San Francisco General |

with ten years or more continuous full-time or part-time service shall be entitled to a longevity premium of $0.36 per hour in addition to their basic hourly rate.

SECTION X. METHODS OF CALCULATION.

SEC. X.A. Monthly - An employee whose compensation is fixed on a monthly basis shall be paid monthly or biweekly in accordance with state law or other applicable provision. There shall be no compensation for time not worked unless such time off is authorized time with pay.

SEC. X.B. Biweekly - An employee whose compensation is fixed on a biweekly basis shall be paid the biweekly salary for his/her position for work performed during the biweekly payroll period. There shall be no compensation for time not worked unless such time off is authorized time off with pay.

SEC. X. C. Per Diem or Hourly - An employee whose compensation is fixed on a per diem or hourly basis shall be paid the daily or hourly rate of work performed during the biweekly payroll period on a biweekly pay schedule. There shall be no compensation for

time not worked unless such time off is authorized time off with pay.

SEC. X. D. Weekly - An employee whose compensation is fixed on a weekly basis shall be paid biweekly for work performed during the biweekly payroll period. There shall be no compensation for time not worked unless such time off is authorized time off with pay.

SEC. X. E. Conversion of Annual or Monthly Rates to Semi-Monthly or Biweekly Rates - When rates of compensation provided on an annual or monthly basis are converted to biweekly rates for payroll purposes and the resulting amount involves a fraction of a cent, the converted biweekly rate shall be adjusted to eliminate such fraction of a cent on a following basis:

1. A fraction of less than one-half (1/2) shall be dropped and the amount reduced to the next full cent.
2. A fraction of one-half (1/2) or more shall be increased to the next full cent.

SEC. X.F. Daily Rates for Monthly and Biweekly Employees - A day's pay shall be determined by dividing the number of work days in a normal work schedule in a monthly payroll period (including specified holidays) into the monthly salary established for the position, or the amount of a day's pay shall be 1/10th of the compensation of a normal work schedule in a biweekly period (including specified holidays).

SEC. X.G. Conversion to Biweekly Rates - Rates of compensation established on other than biweekly basis may be converted to biweekly rates by the Controller for payroll purposes.

SECTION XI. SENIORITY INCREMENTS.

SEC. XI.A. Entry at the First Step. Permanent registered nurse employees appointed at Step One shall advance to the second step upon completion of six months service and to each successive step upon completion of one year required service.

SEC. XI.B. Entry at Other Than the First Step. Newly employed permanent and temporary employees in registered nurse classifications shall be appointed at the second salary step if they have three (3) years' experience within the last five (5) years prior to appointment. Permanent employees shall advance at one (1) year intervals thereafter.

Newly employed permanent and temporary employees in registered nurse classifications shall be appointed at the third



salary step if they have had six (6) years of experience within the last ten (10) years prior to appointment. Permanent employees shall advance at one (1) year intervals thereafter.

SEC. XI.C. Date Increment Due. Increments shall accrue and become due and payable on the next day following completion of required service as a permanent employee in the class, unless otherwise provided herein.

SEC. XI.D. Schedule of Salary Increments. The schedule of seniority increments as set forth at the end of Section XIV is hereby made a part of the schedules of compensation.

SEC. XI.E. Exceptions. An employee shall not receive a salary adjustment based upon service as herein provided if he/she has been absent by reason of suspension or on any type of leave without pay (excluding a military, educational, or industrial accident leave) for more than one-sixth of the required service in the anniversary year, provided that such employee shall receive a salary increment when the aggregate time worked since his/her previous increment equals or exceeds the service required for the increment, and such increment date shall be his/her new anniversary date, provided that time spent on approved military leave or in an appointive or promotive position shall be counted as actual service when calculating salary increment due dates.

When records of service required for advancement in the step increments within a compensation schedule are established and maintained by electronic data processing, then the following shall apply:

An employee certified to permanent appointment or appointed to a permanent position exempt from civil service shall be compensated under such appointment at the beginning step of the compensation schedule plan, unless otherwise specifically provided for in the salary standardization ordinance. Employees under permanent civil service appointment shall receive salary adjustments through the steps of the compensation schedule plan by completion of actual paid service in total scheduled hours equivalent to one year or six months, whichever is applicable.

Paid service for this purpose is herein defined as exclusive of any type of overtime but shall include military or educational leave without pay.

Advancement through the increment steps of the compensation schedules shall accrue and become due and payable on the next day

following completion of required service as a permanent appointee in the class, provided that the above procedure for advancement to the compensation schedule increment steps is modified as follows:

SEC. XI.E.1. An employee who during the portion of his/her anniversary year prior to January 1, 1987, is absent without pay for a period of less than one-sixth of the time required to earn the next increment will have such absence credited as if it were paid service for the purposes of calculating the date of the increment due during calendar year 1987.

SEC. XI.E.2. An employee who during that portion of his/her anniversary year prior to January 1, 1987, is absent without pay for a period in excess of one-sixth of the time required to earn the next prior increment will be credited with actual paid service prior to January 1, 1987.

SECTION XII. ADMINISTRATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF THIS ORDINANCE.

The grievance procedure referred to in a memorandum of understanding with the Board of Supervisor shall be utilized to resolve all problems or questions of the interpretation which may arise in connection with the administration of the provisions of this ordinance until such time as the Civil Service Commission amends Rule 18 with regard to arbitration. At such time as Civil Service Commission Rule 18 is amended, the grievance procedure referred to in the memorandum of understanding shall become inoperative and Rule 18 shall become the appropriate grievance procedure.

In the absence of a memorandum of understanding grievance procedure, problems or questions of interpretation which may arise in connection with the administration of the provisions of this ordinance shall be determined by the General Manager, Personnel, after meeting and conferring with the employee or employee organization affected until such time as the Civil Service Commission amends Rule 18 with regard to arbitration. At such time as Civil Service Commission Rule 18 is amended, Rule 18 shall become the appropriate procedure to resolve problems or questions of interpretation which may arise in connection with the administration of the provisions of this ordinance.

///////

///////



SECTION XIII. SAVINGS CLAUSE

If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or contrary to law, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. If any salary, wage or schedule of compensation herein fixed is held to be contrary to the provisions of the Charter, other law or statute, such decisions shall not affect the validity of any other salary, wage or schedule of compensation fixed in this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, sentence, clause or phase hereof, and approved and adopted each salary, wage or schedule of compensation herein, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other section, subsection, clause or phrase may be declared unconstitutional, or that any other salary, wage or schedule of compensation may be declared contrary to law.

SECTION XIV. SCHEDULES OF COMPENSATION

The schedules of compensation for the respective classifications of employment subject to the provisions of Section 8.403 of the Charter are shown in the following:

Rates for employees' classes are on a biweekly basis for a normal work schedule of five days per week, eight hours per day.

| CLASSIFICATION | SCHEDULE | STEP ONE Biweekly HOURLY | STEP TWO Biweekly HOURLY | STEP THREE Biweekly HOURLY | STEP FOUR Biweekly HOURLY | STEP FIVE Biweekly HOURLY |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2320 Registered Nurse | - | 1334<br>16.68 | 1381<br>17.26 | 1426<br>17.82 | 1471<br>18.39 | 1516<br>18.95 |
| 2322 Head Nurse | 57.5 | 1513<br>18.9125 | 1588<br>19.8500 | 1666<br>20.8250 | 1748<br>21.8500 | 1835<br>22.9375 |
| 2323 Clinical Nurse Specialist | 57.5 | 1513<br>18.9125 | 1588<br>19.8500 | 1666<br>20.8250 | 1748<br>21.8500 | 1835<br>22.9375 |
| | 59.5* | 1666<br>20.8250 | 1748<br>21.8500 | 1835<br>22.9375 | 1927<br>24.0875 | 2023<br>25.2875 |
| 2324 Nursing Supervisor -Z- | 58.9 | 1618<br>20.2250 | 1698<br>21.2250 | 1782<br>22.2750 | 1871<br>23.3875 | 1965<br>24.5625 |
| 2326 Nursing Supervisor | 58.9 | 1618<br>20.2250 | 1698<br>21.2250 | 1782<br>22.2750 | 1871<br>23.3875 | 1965<br>24.5625 |
| Psychiatric -Z- | 59.5* | 1666<br>20.8250 | 1748<br>21.8500 | 1835<br>22.9375 | 1927<br>24.0875 | 2023<br>25.2875 |

| CLASSIFICATION | SCHEDULE | STEP ONE HOURLY | STEP TWO HOURLY | STEP THREE HOURLY | STEP FOUR HOURLY | STEP FIVE HOURLY |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2328 Nurse Practitioner | 56.0 | 1407<br>17.5875 | 1477<br>18.4625 | 1550<br>19.3750 | 1626<br>20.3250 | 1706<br>21.3250 |
| 2330 Anesthetist | 59.6 | 1674<br>20.9250 | 1756<br>21.9500 | 1844<br>23.0500 | 1936<br>24.2000 | 2033<br>25.4125 |
| 2340 Operating Room Nurse | -- | 1334<br>16.68 | 1381<br>17.26 | 1426<br>17.82 | 1471<br>18.39 | 1516<br>18.95 |
| 2342 Head Nurse, Surgery | 57.5 | 1513<br>18.9125 | 1588<br>19.8500 | 1666<br>20.8250 | 1748<br>21.8500 | 1835<br>22.9375 |
| 2344 Chief of Surgery, Nurses | 62.4 | 1918<br>23.9750 | 2014<br>25.1750 | 2115<br>26.4375 | 2221<br>27.7625 | 2332<br>29.1500 |
| 2350 Instructor of Nursing -Z- | 58.9 | 1618<br>20.2250 | 1698<br>21.2250 | 1782<br>22.2750 | 1871<br>23.3875 | 1965<br>24.5625 |
| 2352 Assistant Director of Nursing -Z- | 62.4 | 1918<br>23.9750 | 2014<br>25.1750 | 2115<br>26.4375 | 2221<br>27.7625 | 2332<br>29.1500 |
| 2366 Assistant Director of Nursing, Laguna Honda Hospital -Z- | 62.4 | 1918<br>23.9750 | 2014<br>25.1750 | 2115<br>26.4375 | 2221<br>27.7625 | 2332<br>29.1500 |
| 2368 Assistant Director of Nursing, SF General Hospital -Z- | 62.4 | 1918<br>23.9750 | 2014<br>25.1750 | 2115<br>26.4375 | 2221<br>27.7625 | 2332<br>29.1500 |
| 2369 Director of Nurses, Laguna Honda Hospital -Z- | 67.5 | 2459<br>30.7375 | 2582<br>32.2750 | 2711<br>33.8875 | 2847<br>35.5875 | 2989<br>37.3625 |
| 2370 Director of Nurses, SF General Hospital -Z- | 65.6 | 2242<br>28.0250 | 2354<br>29.4250 | 2472<br>30.9000 | 2596<br>32.4500 | 2726<br>34.0750 |
| 2394 Central Processing & Distribution Supervisor | 58.4 | 1580<br>19.7500 | 1658<br>20.7250 | 1740<br>21.7500 | 1827<br>22.8375 | 1918<br>23.9750 |
| 2830 Public Health Nurse -Z- | 57.5 | 1513<br>18.9125 | 1588<br>19.8500 | 1666<br>20.8250 | 1748<br>21.8500 | 1835<br>22.9375 |
| 2832 Supervising Public Health Nurse -Z- | 60.9 | 1782<br>22.2750 | 1871<br>23.3875 | 1965<br>24.5625 | 2063<br>25.7875 | 2166<br>27.0750 |
| 2833 Public Health Nursing Admin. -Z- | 63.1 | 1985<br>24.8125 | 2084<br>26.0500 | 2188<br>27.3500 | 2297<br>28.7125 | 2412<br>30.1500 |
| 2836 Director of Public Health Nursing -Z- | 67.6 | 2472<br>30.9000 | 2596<br>32.4500 | 2726<br>34.0750 | 2862<br>35.7750 | 3005<br>37.5625 |
| P103 Special Nurse | | Contract Rate | | | | |



*Compensation rate if the Civil Service Commission requires a Master's degree in an educational program accredited by the National League for Nursing or the American Public Health Association in the appropriate clinical specialty area and the Master's degree is included in the official class specifications and examination announcements.

Newly employed permanent and temporary employees appointed in registered nurse classifications shall be appointed at the second salary step if they have had three (3) years of experience within the last five (5) years prior to appointment.

Newly employed permanent and temporary employees appointed in registered nurse classifications shall be appointed at the third salary step if they have had six (6) years of experience within the last ten (10) years prior to appointment.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

///////

///////

///////

///////

///////

///////

///////

///////

///////

///////

///////

///////

///////

///////

///////

///////

///////

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
| | § | |
| May 26, 1987 | § | June 1, 1987 |
| | § | |
| | § | |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Ward Molinari Nelder Silver Walker | § | Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward |
| | § | |
| | § | Absent: Supervisors Britt |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
103-87-1

JUN 12 1987
Date Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 45-87-43

ORDINANCE NO. 210-87

RO #6797

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]
AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF JOSEPH MARTIN AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO for $12,500.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "JOSEPH MARTIN v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.", Superior Court No. 774-188 by the payment of $12,500.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

FRANK JORDAN, Chief

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

CONTROLLER

01 001 97 09 38
309781 1450 $12,500.00
APR 29 1987

APPROVED:
SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION

SECRETARY

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 2 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
|---|---|---|
| June 1, 1987 | § | June 8, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Walker | § | Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Nelder Silver Ward | § | Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
45-87-43

JUN 12 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 46-87-3 ORDINANCE NO. 211-87

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF PASSMORE V. BOARD OF PERMIT APPEALS, SAN FRANCISCO SUPERIOR COURT NO. 859-371; JOHNSON V. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, SAN FRANCISCO SUPERIOR COURT NO. 866-108; CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO V. BARRETO, SAN FRANCISCO SUPERIOR COURT NO. 870-401; JOHNSON V. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, SAN FRANCISCO SUPERIOR COURT NO. 870-638 BY RECEIPT OF $27,500.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is authorized to settle the action entitled Passmore v. Board of Permit Appeals, San Francisco Superior Court No. 859-371; Johnson v. City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco Superior Court No. 866-108; City and County of San Francisco v. Barreto, San Francisco Superior Court No. 870-401; Johnson v. City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco Superior Court No. 870-638 by the acceptance of $27,500.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:
ZONING ADMINISTRATOR

ROBERT W. PASSMORE

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 2 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
|---|---|---|
| May 18, 1987 | § | June 1, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Nelder Walker Ward | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Ward Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Maher Molinari Silver | § | |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 46-87-3

JUN 12 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-106 ORDINANCE NO. 212-87

RO 6788 SA 146

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $5,276,259 FOR PROFESSIONAL AND SPECIAL SERVICES, COMMISSION ON THE AGING, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 02-003 | Senior Citizens Program Fund | | |
| G.L. 490 (000091) | Unappropriated Revenues | $5,276,259 | |
| 26-00-00 | Commission on the Aging | | |
| 10-1001 (326090) | Professional and Special Services | | $5,276,259 |
| | Total | $5,276,259 | $5,276,259 |

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

CONTROLLER

APR 22 1987

RECOMMENDED:

MAYOR

ac(0/21P25)

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 2 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | |
|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
| June 1, 1987 | June 8, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Britt | Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 101-86-106

JUN. 15, 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 316-87 ORDINANCE NO. 213-87

APPROVING A CONTRACT FOR THE TOWING, STORING AND DISPOSAL OF ABANDONED AND ILLEGALLY PARKED VEHICLES BETWEEN PICK YOUR PART AUTO WRECKING AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ACTING BY AND THROUGH ITS DIRECTOR OF PURCHASING AND SERVICES.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Pursuant to section 3.502 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the contract for the towing, storing, and disposal of abandoned and illegally parked vehicles between Pick Your Part Auto Wrecking and the City and County of San Francisco, operating throught its Director of Purchasing and Services, a copy of which contract is contained in Board of Supervisors' file number 316-87.

Approved as to form:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By: _____
Deputy City Attorney

0182E/05-18-87

PURCHASER

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 2 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 8, 1987 | June 15, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward |
| Absent: Supervisors Britt | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No. 316-87

JUN 16 1987
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

Amended in Committee 5/27/87

FILE NO. 101-86-107

ORDINANCE NO. 214-87

RO #6796 SA #78

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING ~~$262,379~~ $65,595 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES, AND RELATED MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS, FOR THE ADDITION OF FORTY-ONE (41) PERMANENT POSITIONS, SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORTS COMMISSION, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 30-001 | Airport Operating Fund | | |
| G.L. 490 (022012) | Unappropriated Revenue | 65,595 ~~$262,379~~ | |
| 30-001 | Airport Operating Fund | | |
| 27-00-00 | Airports Commission | | |
| 01-0010 (570416) | Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous | | 47,287 ~~$189,128~~ |
| 01-0030 | Permanent Salaries - Craft | | 3,863 ~~15,438~~ |
| 04-0600 | Retirement - City Miscellaneous | | 9,205 ~~36,821~~ |
| 04-0606 | Social Security | | 3,359 ~~13,437~~ |
| 04-0610 | Health Service - City Match | | 1,881 ~~7,555~~ |
| | Total | $65,595 ~~$262,379~~ | $65,595 ~~$262,379~~ |

//////

/////

Section 2. Funds are hereby appropriated for the addition of forty-one (41) positions by amendment to the General Salary Ordinance (Board of Supervisors' File No. 102-86-38 as follows:

| Fund Department Classification | | | Airport Operating Fund Airports Commission Rate of Pay | Added |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1424 | A | Clerk Typist | 641 B 773 | 1 |
| 1630 | A | Account Clerk | 661 B 800 | 1 |
| 1720 | A | Data Entry Operator | 631 B 762 | 4 |
| 2708 | A | Custodian | 652 B 788 | 8 |
| 2719 | A | Janitorial Services Assistant Supervisor | 866 B 1,047 | 1 |
| 8214 | A | Parking Control Officer | 800 B 966 | 16 |
| 9202 | A | Airport Communications Operator | 846 B 1,022 | 1 |
| 9210 | A | Airport Police Officer | 1,104 B 1,336 | 4 |
| 9240 | A | Airport Electrician | 1,550 B 1,881 | 1 |
| 9241 | A | Airport Electrician Supervisor | 1,626 B 1,975 | 1 |
| 9345 | A | Sheet Metal Supervisor I | 1,650 B 2,004 | 1 |
| 7347 | A | Plumber | 1,429 B 1,731 | 1 |
| 7376 | A | Sheet Metal Worker | 1,499 B 1,818 | 1 |

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

MAY 05 1987

APPROVED:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

BY: John J. Walsh ex
GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

RECOMMENDED:

Diane Feinstein
MAYOR

lp-0896M-12&13)

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 10 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
| | § | |
| June 1, 1987 | § | June 8, 1987 |
| | § | |
| | § | |
| Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hongisto | § | Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hsieh |
| Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari | § | Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder |
| Nelder Silver Walker Ward | § | Silver Walker |
| | § | |
| Absent: Supervisors Britt | § | Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto |
| | § | Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John Taylor
Clerk

File No.
101-86-107

JUN 19 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 102-86-38 ORDINANCE NO. 215-87

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT SAL.ORD.AMEND.NO. 23A

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 316-86 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1986-87) REFLECTING THE ADDITION OF 41 PERMANENT POSITIONS FOR THE SAN FRANCISCO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 1. The hereinafter designated items and section of Ordinance No. 316-86 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1986-87) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

| (Amendment) | No. Pos. | Class & Item No. | Compensation Schedule |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | Dept.: 27 Airport<br>Division: 99 Airport Costs<br>Section: 00 Airport Costs<br>Fund: 30001 Airport Operating Fund<br>Object: 001 Regular Misc. | |
| 1 added | 1 | 1424 A Clerk Typist.....SC | $ 641B773 |
| 1 added | 1 | 1630 A Account Clerk.....SC | 661B800 |
| 4 added | 4 | 1720 A Data Entry Operator.....SC | 631B762 |
| 8 added | 8 | 2708 A Custodian.....SC | 652B788 |
| 1 added | 1 | 2719 A Janitorial Services Assistant Supv....SC | 866B1047 |
| 16 added | 16 | 8214 A Parking Control Officer.....SC | 800B966 |
| 1 added | 1 | 9202 A Airport Communications Operator.....SC | 846B1022 |
| 4 added | 4 | 9210 A Airport Police Officer.....SC | 1104B1336 |
| 1 added | 1 | 9240 A Airport Electrician.....SC | 1550B1881 |
| 1 added | 1 | 9241 A Airport Electrician Supervisor.....SC | 1626B1975 |
| 1 added | 1 | 9345 A Sheet Metal Supervisor I.....SC | 1650B2004 |
| | | Object: 003 Regular Craft | |
| 1 added | 1 | 7347 A Plummer.....SC | 1429B1731 |
| 1 added | 1 | 7376 A Sheet Metal Worker.....SC | 1499B1818 |

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

By: DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

NO. 23A

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 10 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading
June 1, 1987
Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward
Absent: Supervisors Britt

Finally Passed
June 8, 1987
Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker
Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Ward

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

File No. 102-86-38

JUN 19 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 64-87-14 ORDINANCE NO. 216-87

(AIRPORT - AIRLINES EXTENSION OF GROUND LEASE)

APPROVING, EXERCISING THE FIRST OPTION TO EXTEND THE LEASE OF PLOT 1-C BETWEEN PAN AMERICAN WORLD AIRWAYS AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ACTING BY AND THROUGH ITS AIRPORTS COMMISSION.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO:

SECTION 1: Pursuant to City Charter Section 7.402-1 the Board of Supervisors hereby approves exercising the first option to extend the Lease of Plot 1-C between Pan American World Airways, and the City and County of San Francisco, acting by and through its Airports Commission. The option was exercised by Airports Commission No. 87-0070.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
CITY ATTORNEY

By: Donald J. Scarboller
Airports General Counsel

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 10 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 1, 1987 | June 8, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Britt | Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 64-87-14

JUN 19 1987
Date Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

1987 JUN -1 PM 1:03

FILE NO. 121-86-23 ORDINANCE NO. 217-87

(Escort Services)

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER VIII, ARTICLE 15.6 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (POLICE CODE) BY AMENDING SECTIONS 1074.12, 1074.13, 1074.20, 1074.21 AND 1074.22, (PERTAINING TO PERMIT ISSUANCE AND INSPECTIONS OF ESCORT SERVICES AND PROHIBITING CRIMINAL CONDUCT BETWEEN ESCORT AND CUSTOMER), REPEALING SECTION 1074.27 (PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF LIMITED SUSPENSION OR REVOCATION), AND RENUMBERING SECTIONS 1074.28, 1074.29, AND 1074.30.

Note: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter VIII, Article 15.6 of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Police Code) is hereby amended by amending Sections 1074.12, 1074.13, 1074.21 and 1074.22, as follows:

SEC. 1074.12. ISSUANCE OF PERMIT FOR AN ESCORT SERVICE. The Chief of Police shall issue an escort service permit within 14 days following the hearing if all requirements for an escort service described in this Article are met unless he finds:

(1) That the ((operation as proposed by the applicant if permitted would not have complied)) premises where the escort service business will be conducted does not comply with all applicable laws, including, but not limited to, the Building, City Planning, Housing and Fire Codes of the City and County of San Francisco, and the rules and regulations adopted by the Chief of Police pursuant to this Article;

(2) That the applicant or an officer, director or partner thereof and any other person who will be directly engaged in the



JUL 10 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

management and operation of an escort service has been convicted of an offense within or without the State of California that would have constituted any of the following offenses if committed within the State of California:

(a) An offense involving conduct which requires registration pursuant to Section 290 of the Penal Code;

(b) An offense involving the use of force and violence upon the person of another;

(c) An offense involving sexual misconduct with children; or

(d) An offense as defined in Sections 311, 647(a), 647a, 647(b), 315, 316, 318, or 266 through 267 inclusive of the Penal Code of the State of California; or

(3) That the applicant, an officer, director or partner thereof or any other person who will be directly engaged in the management and operation of the escort service has had a permit or license to engage in a business or occupation granted by the State of California or the City and County of San Francisco or a license or permit issued by the Alcohol Beverage Control Commission revoked by the granting authority.

The Chief of Police shall issue a permit to any person convicted of any of the crimes described in Subsections (2)(a), (2)(b), (2)(c), or (2)(d) of this section or subjected to a license or permit revocation as described in Subsection (3) of this section if he finds that the termination of any disability resulting from such conviction or revocation occurred at least three years prior to the date of the application and the applicant, its officers, directors and partners have had no subsequent felony convictions of any nature, no subsequent misdemeanor convictions for crimes mentioned in this section and

no permit or license revocations as mentioned in Subsection (3) of this section.

SEC. 1074.13. APPLICATIONS BARRED FOR ONE YEAR. Whenever any application is filed for a permit under this Article and said application has been denied by the Chief of Police, or a permit issued under this Article has been revoked, and no appeal has been taken to the Board of Permit Appeals or when any appeal from such denial or revocation has been taken to the Board of Permit Appeals and said Board has concurred in the judgment or order of denial or revocation, said application for said permit, or for a like permit for the same location or by the same applicant shall not be heard by the Chief of Police until the expiration of one year from the date of the previous denial or revocation of said permit or application and there shall be no appeal to said Board of Permit Appeals for failure or refusal to hear any such application or appeal within said one year period. For the purposes of this section, "same applicant" shall mean a corporation having substantially the same shareholders as a prior corporate applicant, or a partnership having substantially the same membership as a prior partnership applicant; furthermore, "same applicant" shall mean any officer, director, shareholder or partner of a prior corporate or partnership applicant((,)); provided that when any permit is denied by reason of definite existing conditions which prevent the granting of said permit, and said conditions are removed or remedied, the one year's prohibition against reapplication will not apply.

SEC. 1074.20. INSPECTION. The Police Department may ((shall)), from time to time during business hours, ((and at least twice a year, make an)) inspect ((inspection of)) each escort service in the City and County of San Francisco for the purposes



of determining that there is compliance with the provisions of this Article.

SEC. 1074.21. DAILY REGISTER. Every person who engages in, conducts, or carries on the operation of an escort service shall keep a daily register, approved as to form by the Police Department, containing the following information:

(a) The identification of all employees employed by such establishment together with a duplicate of each of said employees' employee permit;

(b) The hours of employment of each employee for each day; and

(c) The names of all patrons, including true full names, residential addresses, including street, city and state, hours of employment of escort service, name of escort or employee providing escort services, location and place where escort services took place, and fee charged.

Said daily register shall at all times during business hours be subject to inspection by the Police Department only for the limited purpose of determining that said daily registers are being maintained in compliance with the provisions of this section ((and by the Health Department)) and shall be kept on file for one year on the premises. Any evidence so obtained shall not be admissible for any purpose other than proof of compliance or noncompliance with this section. The Police Department and the Health Department are authorized to inspect said daily registers for other purposes or to obtain information therefrom only if they have first obtained a warrant.

SEC. 1074.22. PROHIBITED ACTIVITIES.

((A.No person, while acting as an escort in an escort service, shall engage in any type of criminal conduct with a

customer of an escort service.

B.)) No person who is a patron of an escort service shall place or cause to be placed in the daily register a false name or address.

((SEC. 1074.27. PENALTY; LIMITED SUSPENSION OR REVOCATION. Any permit issued under the terms of this Article may be suspended for a period of 30 days by the Chief of Police if the Chief of Police deems, after a noticed hearing, that violation of this Article or any provisions of the Municipal Code has occurred or when any of the rules or regulations adopted by the Chief of Police pursuant to this Article are violated. A permit may also be revoked for such violation as set forth in Section 1074.15 above.))

SEC. 1074.27. ((1074.28)) TIME LIMIT FOR OBTAINING PERMIT. All premises required to obtain a permit and license pursuant to this Article must obtain a permit within 60 days of the effective date of this Article; failure to do so shall make continued operation of said escort service a violation of Section 1074.2 hereof.

SEC. 1074.28.((1074.29)) RULES AND REGULATIONS. The Chief of Police may adopt rules and regulations supplemental to this Article and not in conflict therewith.

SEC. 1074.29. ((1074.30)) SEVERABILITY. If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Article, or application thereof to any person or circumstances, is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or invalid or ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or effectiveness of the remaining portions of this Article or any part thereof. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed



such section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional or invalid or ineffective.

Section 2. Part II, Chapter VIII, Article 15.6 of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Police Code) is hereby amended by repealing Section 1074.27 thereof.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By Judith A. Bragjon
Deputy City Attorney

6662E

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | $ | Finally Passed |
| | $ | |
| June 1, 1987 | $ | June 8, 1987 |
| | $ | |
| | $ | |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Ward Molinari Nelder Silver Walker | $ | Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| | $ | |
| | $ | Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
121-86-23

JUN 19 1987

Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-87-42

ORDINANCE NO. 218-87

RO #6789

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF DENNIS M. SEPE AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO for $ 6,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled DENNIS M. SEPE V. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al., Superior Court No. 855-827, by the payment of $6,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

Margaret Kessler for
DAVID WERDEGAR, M.D.

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

01 001 97 09 86
309807 1450 $6,000.00
APR 24 1987

APPROVED:

PUBLIC HEALTH COMMISSION

Sandy Ange Mon
SECRETARY

0739P

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 10 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 1, 1987 | June 8, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Walker | Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Nelder Silver Ward | Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John Taylor
Clerk

File No.
45-87-42

JUN 19 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 48-87-3

ORDINANCE NO. 219-87

RO # 6775

(SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM)

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT ~~OR LITIGATION~~ OF CLAIM OF FONG BROTHERS PRINTING, INC. AGAINST CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $11,741.63.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled CLAIM OF FONG BROTHERS PRINTING, INC. AGAINST CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $11,741.63, by the payment of $11,741.63.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

6471F

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING

DEAN MACRIS, Head
Department of City
Planning

APPROVED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

SECRETARY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

Controller
01 001 97 09 29
331280 1450 $11,741.63

APR 10 1987

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 10 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 1, 1987 | June 8, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Walker | Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Nelder Silver Ward | Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
48-87-3

JUN 19 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-87-40

ORDINANCE NO. 220-87

RO #6786

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF ETTA LUCKEY AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $12,500.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "ETTA LUCKEY V. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al." Superior Court No. 849-260, by the payment of $12,500.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

APPROVED:

SHERIFF DEPARTMENT

MICHAEL HENNESSEY, Sheriff

KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

CONTROLLER

01 001 97 09 06
309740 1450 $12,500.00

APR 23 1987

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 10 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 1, 1987 | June 8, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Walker | Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Nelder Silver Ward | Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 45-87-40

JUN 19 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 6/3/87

FILE NO. 101-86-111

ORDINANCE NO. 221-87

R/O #6793 SA 137

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $532,000 FOR PROGRAM PROJECT - OTHER CONTRACTUAL SERVICES (FIRE FIGHTER AND LIEUTENANT EXAMINATIONS), FIRE DEPARTMENT, AND RESCINDING $340,000 FROM PERMANENT AND TEMPORARY SALARIES, AND RELATED MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS, PURCHASER, AND $192,000 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87 AND PROVIDING FOR RATIFICATION OF ACTION PREVIOUSLY TAKEN; PLACING RESERVE ON $248,460.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 01-001 | General Fund | | |
| 91-00-00 | Purchaser | | |
| 01-0010 (540013) | Permanent Salaries | $280,000 | |
| 02-0200 | Temporary Salaries | 10,000 | |
| 01-0600 | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 50,000 | |
| 90-00-00 | Department of Public Works | | |
| 01-0010 (805002) | Permanent Salaries | 192,000 | |
| 31-03-00 | Fire Department | | |
| 20-2010 (530071) | Program Project Budget | | |
| 10-1090 | Other Contractual Services | | |
| Project | Fire Fighter and Lieutenant Examinations | | |
| | Examination Experts | | $225,000 |
| | Examination Unit | | 97,000 |
| | Administration and Support | | 160,000 |
| | Recruitment Director | | 50,000 |
| | Total | $532,000 | $532,000 * |

////////////////////
////////////////////

6/3/87
ah

Section 2. Although the City has incurred no legal obligation under the Charter, the Board of Supervisors does hereby appropriate the funds necessary for the obligation and does hereby ratify the action previously taken.

* Section 3. Funds in the amount of $248,460 are hereby held in reserve until such time as released by the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

CONTROLLER MAY 13 1987

RECOMMENDED:

MAYOR

lm(#0779P-4)

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 10 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
| | § | |
| June 8, 1987 | § | June 15, 1987 |
| | § | |
| | § | |
| Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hongisto | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez |
| Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari | § | Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari |
| Nelder Silver Ward | § | Nelder Silver Walker Ward |
| | § | |
| Absent: Supervisors Britt Walker | § | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
101-86-111

JUN 19 1987
Date Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-110 ORDINANCE NO. 222-87

RO# 6794 SA# 129, 145

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $680,000 FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (CANDLESTICK PARK RENOVATION), RECREATION AND PARK, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 02-222 | Candlestick Park Fund | | |
| G.L. 490 (002196) | Addition to Surplus | $104,000 | |
| G.L. 496 (000026) | Unappropriated Revenue Reserve | | |
| Subsidiary (000005) | Estimated June 30, 1987 Closeouts | 576,000 | |
| 43-03-08 | Recreation and Park | | |
| 21-2030 (375782) | Capital Improvement Project | | |
| Project 616-01 | Candlestick Park Renovation | _____ | $680,000 |
| | Total | $680,000 | $680,000 |

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
[signature]
CONTROLLER

MAY 13 1987

RECOMMENDED:
[signature]
MAYOR

ac(0/21P26)

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 10 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 8, 1987 | June 15, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward |
| Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Ward | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

[signature]
Clerk

File No.
101-86-110

JUN 19 1987
Date Approved

[signature]
Mayor

FILE NO. 117-87-1 ORDINANCE NO. 223-87

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER IV OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (FIRE CODE) BY ADDING SECTION 4.208 THERETO ESTABLISHING A FEE SCHEDULE FOR FIRE DEPARTMENT REVIEW OF PLANS SUBMITTED WITH BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATIONS.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

NOTE: All sections are entirely new

Section 1. Part II, Chapter IV of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Fire Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 4.208 thereto to read as follows:

Sec. 4.208. Plan Review Fee Schedule.

Upon application for a permit for the erection of a new building or for alteration work for which plans are required by the Bureau of Building Inspection, the Central Permit Bureau shall charge and collect a fee to compensate the Fire Department for its costs to review plans submitted with building permit applications for compliance with fire safety regulations. The fee shall be based on the valuation of the work as determined by the Bureau of Building Inspection. The plan review fee shall be as follows:

| VALUATION | | FEE |
|---|---|---|
| Over | Not more than | |
| $0 | $1,000 | $24.00 |
| $1,000 | $5,000 | $24.00 plus $5.25 per $1,000 over $1,000 |
| $5,000 | $10,000 | $45.00 plus $2.80 per $1,000 over $5,000 |
| $10,000 | $50,000 | $59.00 plus $1.275 per $1,000 over $10,000 |
| $50,000 | $100,000 | $110.00 plus $0.70 per $1,000 over $50,000 |
| $100,000 | $500,000 | $145.00 plus $0.325 per $1,000 over $100,000 |
| $500,000 | $2,500,000 | $275.00 plus $0.12 per $1,000 over $500,000 |
| $2,500,000 | $5,000,000 | $515.00 plus $0.064 per $1,000 over $2,500,000 |
| $5,000,000 | $15,000,000 | $675.00 plus $0.0375 per $1,000 over $5,000,000 |
| $15,000,000 | $30,000,000 | $1,050.00 plus $0.022 per $1,000 over $15,000,000 |
| $30,000,000 | $50,000,000 | $1,380.00 plus $0.015 per $1,000 over $30,000,000 |
| $50,000,000 | $100,000,000 | $1,680.00 plus $0.0104 per $1,000 over $50,000,000 |
| $100,000,000 | -- | $2,200.00 plus $0.005 per $1,000 over $100,000,000 |

Approved as to Form:

LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

1166F/19-20

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 10 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
| | § | |
| June 8, 1987 | § | June 15, 1987 |
| | § | |
| | § | |
| Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hongisto | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez |
| Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari | § | Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari |
| Nelder Silver Walker Ward | § | Nelder Silver Walker Ward |
| | § | |
| Absent: Supervisors Britt | § | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John Taylor
Clerk

File No.
117-87-1

JUN 19 1987
Date Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 250-87-1

6/8/87

ORDINANCE NO. 224-87

(Sublease of Property)

AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF LAND DISPOSITION AGREEMENT CONCERNING THE SUBLEASE TO POLY HIGH ASSOCIATES, A CALIFORNIA GENERAL PARTNERSHIP, FOR PURPOSES OF DEVELOPING SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING FOR SALE TO LOWER INCOME, MODERATE INCOME AND MIDDLE INCOME HOUSEHOLDS, OF A PORTION OF CERTAIN REAL PROPERTY COMMONLY KNOWN AS POLYTECHNIC HIGH SCHOOL HERETOFORE LEASED BY CITY FROM SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT; AND FURTHER AUTHORIZING CERTAIN ACTIONS REQUIRED BY SAID DISPOSITION AGREEMENT, INCLUDING THE EXECUTION OF A HOUSING SUBLEASE, THE EXECUTION OF AN AMENDED AND RESTATED HOUSING SUBLEASE, AND THE MAKING OF TWO LOANS TO POLY HIGH ASSOCIATES IN THE AGGREGATE SUM OF $3,500,000.

Note: This section is entirely new.

BE IT ORDAINED, by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City and County of San Francisco, as lessee, holds a ground lease from the San Francisco Unified School District, as lessor, on that certain real property, commonly known as Polytechnic High School.

Section 2. The Mayor's Office of Housing and Economic Development proposes that a portion of the property (described in Exhibit A attached hereto) be privately developed with single family housing for sale to lower income, moderate income and middle income households.

Section 3. On or about October 3, 1986 by Resolution No. 824-86, the Board of Supervisors adopted the final negative declaration for the zoning reclassification of the Polytechnic High School property. The Board has analyzed and considered the Land Disposition Agreement and the activities contemplated thereby in light of said negative declaration and finds that the Land



DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 10 1987

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Disposition Agreement and the activities contemplated thereby raise no impacts which were not analyzed in the final negative declaration for the zoning reclassification, and further finds that no further environmental review is necessary to approve the Land Disposition Agreement.

Section 4. The Planning Commission of the City and County of San Francisco has reported the project to be in conformance with the Master Plan.

Section 5. The Director of Property has determined that the site has a value of $2,500,000 under the uses required by the Disposition Agreement.

Section 6. The Board of Supervisors on August 19, 1985 and September 15, 1986 approved Resolution Nos. 748-85 and 793-86, respectively, authorizing the issuance, sale and delivery of City and County of San Francisco 1985 and 1986 Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds. Under the terms of said resolution, the Home Mortgage Finance Program Rules and Regulations Establishing Eligibility Criteria for Mortgagors and Home Mortgagees were approved and adopted. Under Section 2(D) of the Rules and Regulations the acquisition cost of a home shall not exceed 100 percent of the applicable average area purchase price for the type of home being financed. Under the terms of the Disposition Agreement, the acquisition cost of a unit may in some cases exceed this 100 percent limit due to the attachment of a land subsidy lien. Pursuant to Section 7(A) of the Rules and Regulations, the City has the right to waive any rule or regulation, to the extent permitted by Chapters 1-5 of Part 5 of Division 31 of the Health and Safety Code of the State of California ("the Act"). This waiver is permitted by the Act. The Board hereby finds that the 1985 and 1986 Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bond Rules and Regulations, Section

2(D), should be waived for this Project.

Section 7. The Board hereby finds that the property described in Exhibit A can be used to provide housing affordable to lower and moderate income households, and that the execution of a Land Disposition Agreement for the Property between the City and County of San Francisco and Poly High Associates, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of this Board, and the execution of a sublease of said property to Poly High Associates for $2,500,000 for development in accordance with said Agreement is for a public purpose and is in the City's best interests, and will promote the general welfare of the community.

Section 8. The Board hereby approves the demolition loan in the sum of not more than $1,000,000 and the loan of prepaid rent in the sum of $2,500,000 upon the terms and and conditions set forth in the Disposition Agreements.

Section 9. The Board hereby declares its support of an affordable housing project on the Polytechnic High School site and the Mayor is hereby authorized and directed to execute said Land Disposition Agreement.

Section 10. The Mayor, acting by and through the Executive Director of the Mayor's Office of Housing, Economic Development, and Small Business, is hereby authorized, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, to monitor and supervise the affordable housing project described in said Land Disposition Agreement, and is further authorized to make all decisions, and to take all actions, required to be made or taken by the City and County of San Francisco under said Land Disposition Agreement, other than those decisions or actions which are vested by law in other city commissions, departments or agencies. In connection therewith, the Mayor, in consultation with the City Attorney, is specifically authorized to



execute any amendments to said Land Disposition Agreement which are necessary or appropriate to carry out the affordable housing goals embodied in said Land Disposition Agreement.

Section 11. In accordance with said Land Disposition Agreement, the Mayor is hereby authorized and directed to execute, and to deliver to Poly High Associates, a Housing Sublease, and thereafter an Amended and Restated Housing Sublease, the form of which shall substantially similar to the documents attached to the land disposition agreement as Exhibits G and H; provided, however, the Mayor, in consultation with City Attorney is hereby authorized to approve, execute and deliver to Poly High Associates a Housing Sublease and an Amended and Restated Housing Sublease which have been revised to meet any requirements of bond counsel, lenders, title companied and/or insurance companies, so long as such revisions are consistent with the affordable housing goals embodied in said Land Disposition Agreement.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By: Julie Hansen
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

By: _____
Executive Director
Mayor's Office of Housing,
Economic Development
and Small Business

APPROVED:

By: _____
Director of Property

By: _____
Chief Administrative Officer

1168P

THAT CERTAIN REAL PROPERTY SITUATED WITHIN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCING at a point on the southerly line of Frederick Street distant thereon 229 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Arguello Boulevard (formerly 1st Avenue), running thence easterly along said southerly line of Frederick Street 363 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 19 feet 10 inches to the easterly line of Byfield Tract; thence southerly and along said easterly line of Byfield Tract 19 feet 10 inches more or less to the northerly line of Carl Street; thence westerly and along said northerly line of Carl Street 464 feet 8 inches more or less to a point distant thereon 151 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Arguello Boulevard; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 1 foot 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 12 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 108 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 163 feet to the southerly line of Frederick Street and the point of commencement.

BEING a portion of Western Addition Block Number 740.

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 8, 1987 | June 15, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward |
| Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Ward | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
250-87-1

JUN 19 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

EXHIBIT A

FILE NO. 123-87-4 ORDINANCE NO. 225-87

(Street Vacation)

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER X (PUBLIC WORKS CODE) OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE BY AMENDING SECTION 787 THEREOF TO EXPRESS POLICY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO NOT TO SELL VACATED STREETS IN THE BERNAL HEIGHTS AREA TO PRIVATE OWNERSHIP.

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter X (Public Works Code) of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by amending Section 787 thereof to read as follows:

SEC. 787. Street Vacations. (a) Upon petition to the Board of Supervisors for any street vacation, the Board of Supervisors will refer the request to the Director of Public Works for investigation and recommendation. The street vacation procedure shall be in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Streets and Highways Code of the State of California and such rules and conditions adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

(b) The Board of Supervisors finds that unimproved streets in the Bernal Heights area are an important open space resource to the citizens in an area where the lots are small, the streets are narrow and space is at a premium. Therefore the Board of Supervisors declares that it is the policy of the City and County of San Francisco not to vacate unimproved streets and sell, transfer, or relinquish its interest in the underlying property to private ownership in the Bernal Heights area bounded by Army Street on the north, Mission Street on the west, Interstate 280 on the south and Highway 101 on the east except not to include Esmeralda Avenue, between Brewster Street and Holladay Avenue. These streets shall be kept as open space, unless the Board of Supervisors finds that the streets are needed for public passage and proposes to improve and accept them for the same purpose.

Approved as to Form:

LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

By [signature]
Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
| | § | |
| June 1, 1987 | § | June 8, 1987 |
| | § | |
| | § | |
| Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | § | Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| | § | |
| Absent: Supervisors Britt | § | Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
123-87-4

JUN 19 1987
Date Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

(Flower Stands)

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER X OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (PUBLIC WORKS CODE) BY AMENDING SECTION 161 THEREOF, TO LIMIT DISPLAY AREA OF FLOWER STAND TO NOT MORE THAN FIVE FEET IN WIDTH AND TWENTY FEET IN LENGTH; AND TO INCLUDE AS PERMITTEE IMMEDIATE FAMILY A CO-HABITANT, AS DEFINED.

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter X of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Public Works Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 161 thereof, to read as follows:

SEC. 161. Conditions and Restrictions. The issuance of permits and licenses and the maintenance of sidewalk flower-vending stands by the persons granted permits hereunder shall be subject to the following conditions and restrictions:

(a) No permanent stands of any kind shall be erected on the sidewalks of said locations;

(b) The design and construction of all flower-vending stands placed at the locations designated in Section 155 of this Article and the maintenance of said stands shall be subject to the approval of the Director of Public Works; provided that no such flower-vending stand shall exceed the following dimensions, to-wit: 10 feet in length and three feet in width, and any person, firm or corporation having a permit to maintain and operate a flower-vending stand as provided in Sections 155 to 162 of this Article may maintain and operate a stand not exceeding 10 feet in length and three feet in width, provided that no part of



the flower vender's display or activities may take place outside of an area that exceeds 5 feet in width and 20 feet in length;

(c) No more than one flower-vending stand shall be granted a permit to operate at any one of the ((above-designated)) locations designated in Section 155;

(d) No more than two persons shall at any given time be engaged or employed in displaying or selling flowers at any stand, provided that, for a substantial portion of time during the hours of operation of any stand, one of said persons engaged in displaying or selling flowers thereat shall be the person to whom the permit to operate said stand is issued; provide, however, that if the permit is issued to a firm or corporation, one of said persons engaged in displaying or selling flowers for a substantial portion of the time said stand is operated shall be an officer or partner of said firm or corporation;

(e) Only cut flowers, evergreens, and corsages shall be displayed or sold at any stand, and no display, sale or orders for the sale or delivery of floral arrangements, potted plants, or shrubs shall be made, taken, received or solicited, at any stand, or by the owners or operators thereof;

(f) No rubbish or cuttings shall be deposited in any public waste container;

(g) No flower stand shall be operated between the hours of 9:00 P.M. and 7:00 A.M., and the sidewalk and street surrounding each stand shall be kept free of rubbish, cuttings or debris resulting from the operation of the stand;

(h) No permit shall be issued to any person unless he or she has been a resident of the City and County of San Francisco for a period of one year prior to his or her application for a permit hereunder;

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 21 1987

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

(i) No owner, landlord, lessee, or occupant of any premises in the block occupied by a flower-vending stand as provided for herein, shall receive rent or any remuneration directly or indirectly for the space occupied by such flower-vending stand or its operation;

(j) Each person operating a flower-vending stand under a permit as herein provided for, shall at all times carry and maintain at ((his)) the permittee's own cost and expense a policy of liability insurance in the minimum amount of $500,000 single limit applying to bodily injuries, personal injuries and property damage. Said policy shall directly protect the City and County of San Francisco, its officers and employees and agents as named insureds, and shall provide that the insurance will operate as primary insurance and no other insurance effected by City will be called on to contribute to a loss covered by said policy. Said policy shall provide 30 days' notice of cancellation or material change to the Director of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco. Said policy shall be approved in writing by the Director of Public Works and the City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco. ((C)) A copy of policy and certificate shall be filed with the Director of Public Works.

In addition, the permittee shall agree to hold harmless and indemnify the City and County of San Francisco, its officers, agents and employees from any damage or injury caused by reason of the placement or maintenance of the flower-vending stand on the sidewalk, and the permittee shall be solely liable for any damage or loss, claims, injury and liability of every kind, nature and description directly or indirectly arising from said activity. Approval of insurance shall in no way relieve operator from liability under this hold-harmless clause.



(k) There shall be no liability on the City or upon any of its officers, agents or employees for any damage sustained by the owner or operator of any flower-vending stand from any cause whatsoever, no matter whether said damage be caused by an officer, agent or employee of the City or by any other person;

(l) An assignment or sale of a flower stand permit, without the approval of the Board of Supervisors, is prohibited; provided, however, that in the event of the death of a permittee, his or her permit shall be considered to be assigned to a living member of ((his)) the deceased permittee's immediate family. Provided further, however, that such living member of a deceased permittee's immediate family must thereafter ((himself)) personally operate the flower-vending stand within six months from the date of the distribution of the proceeds of the deceased permittee's estate or, with the approval of the Board of Supervisors, sell the permit within said six-month period.

For the purposes of this subparagraph (l), the term "immediate family" shall be limited to the children, grandchildren, spouse, co-habitant, parent, brother, sister, or child of a deceased brother or sister of a deceased permittee.

An individual shall be considered a co-habitant if he or she resided with the permittee, shared the common necessaries of life with the permittee, was not married to or related by marriage to the permittee, was the permittee's sole co-habitant, and is over eighteen years of age.

An individual claiming a right to a permit as a cohabitant under this subparagraph (l) shall have resided with the permittee, and been a resident of the City and County of San

/ / /

/ / /

6/1/87

Francisco, for a period of one year prior to the assignment or sale of said permit.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

1166F/06-02-87/11-15



Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
|---|---|---|
| June 1, 1987 | § | June 8, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Walker Ward | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Ward |
| Noes: Supervisors Silver | § | |
| Absent: Supervisors Hongisto | § | Absent: Supervisors Walker |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No.
123-87-2

JUN 19 1987
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

Marion O'Donnell
Civic Center Library
Attn: Government Documents

Ordinance & Resolution

FILE NO. 101-86-109 ORDINANCE NO. 227-87

RO # 6806 SA # 157

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $9,750 FOR FEES AND OTHER COMPENSATION AND RESCINDING $9,750 FROM PERMANENT AND TEMPORARY SALARIES, PROFESSIONAL SERVICES, MATERIAL AND SUPPLIES, AND EQUIPMENT PURCHASE, RENT ARBITRATION BOARD, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 01-001 | General Fund | | |
| 65-00-00 | Rent Arbitration Board | | |
| 01-0010 (404012) | Permanent Salaries | $3,000 | |
| 02-0200 | Temporary Salaries | 3,600 | |
| 10-1000 | Professional Services | 2,000 | |
| 11-1300 | Material and Supplies | 1,000 | |
| 22-2200 | Equipment Purchase | 150 | |
| 02-0400 (404012) | Fees and Other Compensation | | $9,750 |
| | Total | $9,750 | $9,750 |

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

[signature]
CONTROLLER

MAY 13 1987

RECOMMENDED:

[signature]
MAYOR

lp-1834A-2

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 10 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Passed for Second Reading
June 8, 1987

Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker

Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Ward

Finally Passed
June 15, 1987

Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

[signature]
Clerk

File No.
101-86-109

JUN 19, 1987
Date Approved

[signature]
Mayor

FILE NO. 45-87-47

ORDINANCE NO. 228-87

RO #6800

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF KING V. DUTTO AND BOSSHARD FOR $78,000.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is authorized to settle the action entitled "KING V. DUTTO AND BOSSHARD", United States District Court No. C82-6617 RPA, by the payment of $78,000.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

FUNDS AVAILABLE

CONTROLLER

01 001 97 09 38
309781 1450 $78,000.00
6667F

MAY 01 1987

RECOMMENDED:
SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

FRANK JORDAN
Chief of Police

APPROVED:
POLICE COMMISSION

Secretary

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 10 1987

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

**Passed for Second Reading**

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

June 15, 1987

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Gonzalez, Hongisto, Hsieh, ~~Kennedy~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy

John L. Taylor MB Clerk

45-87-47
File No.

JUN 23 1987
Approved

**Read Second Time and Finally Passed**

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

June 22, 1987

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Gonzalez, Hongisto, Hsieh, ~~Kennedy~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy, Ward

*I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.*

Mary Anne Buhr Acting Clerk

Mayor

FILE NO. 45 87-45

ORDINANCE NO. 229-87

RO #6798

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF JESUS FRANCO AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO for $20,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "JESUS FRANCO v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO" Superior Court No. 809-984, by the payment of $20,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

Kimberly A. Reiley
KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

Frank M. Jordan
FRANK JORDAN, Chief
POLICE COMMISSION

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

01 001 97 09 38
309781 1450 $20,000.00

MAY 01 1987

APPROVED:

Willie E. Frazier
SECRETARY

RECEIVED
POLICE COMMISSION
1987 APR -9 A 9:34

POLICE COMMISSION

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 10 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

**Passed for Second Reading**

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

June 15, 1987

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Gonzalez, Hongisto, Hsieh, ~~Kennedy~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy

John L. Taylor Clerk

**Read Second Time and Finally Passed**

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

June 22, 1987

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Gonzalez, Hongisto, Hsieh, ~~Kennedy~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy, Ward

*I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.*

Mary Anne Baker Acting Clerk

45-87-45
File No.

JUN 23 1987
Approved

Harry Britt
Mayor

FILE NO. 45-87-56

ORDINANCE NO. 230-87

RO #6847

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF MICHAEL MASON AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $100,000.00

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "MASON, MICHAEL v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO" Court No. 845-604, DPW2129, by the payment of $100,000.00

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

Kimberly A. Reiley

KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

for Joan B. Cooney

RICHARD J. EVANS, Head

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C. Farrell

JOHN C. FARRELL
Controller

7796F/5 01 001 97 09 90
309674 1450 $100,000.00

JUN 01 1987

APPROVED:

RUDOLPH NOTHENBERG
Chief Administrative Officer

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 10 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

**Passed for Second Reading**

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

June 15, 1987

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Gonzalez, Hongisto, Hsieh, ~~Kennedy~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy

John L. Taylor mcs Clerk

JUN 25 1987

45-87-56
File No.

Approved

**Read Second Time and Finally Passed**

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

June 22, 1987

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Gonzalez, Hongisto, Hsieh, Kennedy, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors

Absent: Supervisors ~~Kennedy~~, Ward

*I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.*

Mary Anne Baker ACTING Clerk

Mayor

ORIGINAL

AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 6/10/87

FILE NO. 101-87-1

ORDINANCE NO. 231-87

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

CONSOLIDATED BUDGET

AND

INTERIM ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE

FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1988

ORIGINAL

FILE NO. 102-87-2

ORDINANCE NO. 232-87

INTERIM

AN ORDINANCE ENUMERATING ALL POSITIONS CONTINUED OR CREATED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS IN ADOPTING THE ANNUAL BUDGET AND APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1988, CONTINUING, CREATING OR ESTABLISHING THESE POSITIONS. CHARTER OR STATE LAW FOR WHICH COMPENSATIONS ARE PAID FROM ENUMERATING AND INCLUDING THEREIN ALL POSITIONS CREATED BY CITY AND COUNTY FUNDS AND APPROPRIATED IN THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE. AUTHORIZING APPOINTMENTS OR CONTINUATION OF APPOINTMENTS THERETO. SPECIFYING AND FIXING THE COMPENSATIONS AND WORK SCHEDULES THEREOF, AND AUTHORIZING APPOINTMENTS TO TEMPORARY POSITIONS AND FIXING COMPENSATIONS THEREFOR.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

SECTION 1. ESTABLISHMENT, CREATION AND CONTINUATION OF POSITIONS.

In accordance with the provisions of Section 6.207 of the Charter, the positions hereinafter enumerated under the respective departments are hereby created, established or continued for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1988. Positions created or authorized by charter or state law compensations for which are paid from City and County funds and appropriated in the annual appropriation ordinance are enumerated and included herein.

The word - position - or - positions - as used in the ordinance shall be construed to include - office - or - offices - and the word - employee - or employees - shall be construed to include - officer - or officers.

SECTION 1.1. APPOINTMENTS AND VACANCIES - PERMANENT POSITIONS.

Appointing officers as specified in the charter are hereby authorized, subject to the provisions of this ordinance, to make or continue appointments as needed during the fiscal year to permanent positions enumerated in their respective sections of this ordinance. Such appointments shall be made in accordance with the Civil Service provisions of the Charter. Appointing officers shall not make an appointment to a vacancy in a permanent position until the Mayor shall approve the requisition for such service as herein provided. Provided further that in order to prevent the stoppage of essential services the Civil Service Commission, under its rules, may authorize an emergency appointment pending approval or disapproval of the requisition by the Mayor, if funds are available to pay the compensation of such emergency appointee. The requisition for filling such positions shall be completed in quadruplicate, one copy to be retained by the department and the three remaining copies to be submitted immediately and simultaneously to the Controller, the Mayor and the Civil Service Commission. If the requisition is approved by the Mayor within two working days after the date of receipt of the requisition, he shall promptly transmit it to the

FILE NO. 101-86-108 ORDINANCE NO. 233-87

RO 6805 SA #169

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $35,000 FOR OVERTIME AND RESCINDING $35,000 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES, SOCIAL SERVICES, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 01-001 | General Fund | | |
| 45-00-00 | Social Services | | |
| 01-0010 (640037) | Permanent Salaries | $35,000 | |
| 03-0100 (640037) | Overtime | | $35,000 |
| | Total | $35,000 | $35,000 |

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

CONTROLLER

MAY 13 1987

RECOMMENDED:

MAYOR

lp-1834A

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 10 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 8, 1987 | June 15, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward |
| Absent: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Ward | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 101-86-108

JUN 25 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-115

ORDINANCE NO. 234-87

RO # 6836 SA # 179

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $1,637,108 FOR GENERAL ASSISTANCE, SOCIAL SERVICES, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87, AND PROVIDING FOR RATIFICATION OF ACTION PREVIOUSLY TAKEN.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 01-001 | General Fund | | |
| G.L. 496 (000026) | Unappropriated Revenue Reserve | | |
| Subsidiary (000005) | Estimated June 30, 1987 Closeouts | $1,637,108 | |
| 45-04-00 | Social Services | | |
| 15-1530 (64/206) | General Assistance | | $1,637,108 |
| | Total | $1,637,108 | $1,637,108 |

Section 2. Although the City has incurred no legal obligation under the Charter, the Board of Superivors does hereby appropriate the funds necessary for the obligation and does hereby ratify the action previously taken.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
[signature]
CONTROLLER

MAY 27 1987

RECOMMENDED:
[signature]
MAYOR

lp-0939M-4

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 10 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 15, 1987 | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

[signature]
ACTING Clerk

File No.
101-86-115

JUN 25 1987
Date Approved

[signature]
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-117

ORDINANCE NO. 235-87

RO # 6822 SA # 176

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $423,963 FOR PROGRAM PROJECT BUDGET (OTHER CONTRACTUAL SERVICES), MAYOR-CRIMINAL JUSTICE COUNCIL, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87 TO BE HELD IN RESERVE TO BE FORWARDED TO FISCAL YEAR 1987-88.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 01-001 | General Fund | | |
| G.L. 496 (000026) | Unappropriated Revenue Reserve | | |
| SUB. (000001) | Contributed Revenue Reserve | $423,963 | |
| 01-001 | General Fund | | |
| 24-06-00 | Mayor - Criminal Justice Council | | |
| 20-2010 | Program Program Budget | | |
| 10-1090 (323402) | Other Contractual Services | | |
| Project 093-01 | Project Calles | | $114,300 |
| 10-1090 (323402) | Other Contractual Services | | |
| Project 094-01 | Operation Contact | | 139,663 |
| 10-1090 (323402) | Other Contractual Services | | |
| Project 152-01 | Larkin Street Youth Center | | 170,000 |
| | Total | $423,963 | $423,963 |

Section 2. These funds are to held in reserve to be forwarded to Fiscal Year 1987-88.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY
BY: DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
CONTROLLER

RECOMMENDED: MAY 27 1987
MAYOR

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 10 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
| June 15, 1987 | § | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisor Kennedy | § | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 101-86-117

JUN 25 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 97-87-21 ORDINANCE NO. 236-87

[BBI FEE SCHEDULE]

AMENDING CHAPTER 41 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY AMENDING SECTION 41.8 THEREOF RELATING TO FEE SCHEDULES AND SETTING EFFECTIVE DATE.

Note: Additions or substitutions are underlined;

Deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses))

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Chapter 41 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by amending Section 41.8 thereof to read as follows:

Sec. 41.8 Administration

(a) FEES

The owner or operator shall pay the following filing fees to the Bureau of Building Inspection to cover its costs of investigating and reporting on eligibility. Fees shall be waived for an individual who files an affidavit under penalty of perjury stating that he or she is an indigent person who cannot pay the filing fee without using money needed for the necessities of life.

(1) Statement of exemption: ((One hundred and twenty-five dollars ($125))) One hundred and fifty-eight dollars ($158).

(2) Claim of exemption based on low-income housing: ((One hundred and twenty-five dollars ($125.00))). One hundred and fifty-eight dollars ($158).

(3) Claim of exemption based on partially completed conversion: ((Two hundred and fifty dollars ($250.00))) Three hundred and sixteen dollars ($316).

(4) Initial Unit Usage Report: ((One hundred and twenty-five dollars ($125))) One hundred and fifty-eight dollars ($158) if no challenge is filed. If a challenge is filed, the party with the adverse decision shall be assessed an additional ((two hundred dollars ($200.00))) two hundred and





JUL 10 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

fifty-three dollars ($253) to reimburse the City for costs of public hearing prior to the issuance of a certificate of use as defined in Section 41.4(n).

(5) Annual Unit Usage Report: Forty dollars ($40.00) if no challenge is filed. If a challenge is filed, the party with the adverse decision shall be assessed an additional ((two hundred dollars ($200.00))) two hundred and fifty-three dollars ($253) to reimburse the City for costs of public hearing prior to the issuance of a certificate of use as defined in Section 41.4(n).

(6) Permit to convert: ((Two hundred dollars ($200.00))) Two hundred and fifty-three dollars ($253) .

(7) Challenge to claims of exemption, Initial Units Usage Report or Annual Unit Usage Report: ((Ten dollars ($10.00))) Thirteen dollars ($13).

(8) Complaint of unlawful conversion: ((Ten dollars ($10.00))) Thirteen dollars ($13).

(9) Appeal of initial or annual status determination: ((Fifty dollars ($50))). Sixty-three dollars ($63). The party with the adverse decision shall be assessed an additional ((two hundred dollars ($200))) two hundred and fifty-three dollars ($253) to reimburse the City for costs of public hearing prior to the issuance of a certificate of use as defined in Section 41.4(n).

(10) Determination by Department of Real Estate: Seven hundred and fifty dollars ($750.00) and the actual amount necessary to reimburse the Department for obtaining independent appraisals.

(b) Hearing

(1) Notice of Hearing

Whenever a hearing is required or requested in this Chapter, the Superintendent of the Bureau of Building Inspection shall within forty five (45) calendar days notify the owner or operator of the date, time, place, and nature of the hearing by registered or certified mail. The Superintendent of the Bureau of Building Inspection shall appoint a hearing officer. Notice of

such a hearing shall be posted by the Bureau of Building Inspection. The owner or operator shall state under oath at the hearing that the notice remained posted for at least ten (10) calendar days prior to the hearing. Said notice shall state that all permanent residents residing in the hotel may appear and testify at the public hearing, provided that the Bureau of Building Inspection is notified of such an intent 72 hours prior to the hearing date.

(2) Hearing Procedure

If more than one hearing for the same hotel is required, the Superintendent of the Bureau of Building Insepction shall consolidate all of the appeals and challenges into one hearing; however, if a civil action has been filed pursuant to the provisions of Section 41.16(d) of this Chapter, all hearings on administrative complaints of unlawful conversions involving the same hotel shall be abated until such time as final judgment has been entered in the civil action; an interested party may file a complaint in intervention. The hearing shall be tape recorded. Any party to the appeal may, at his/her own expense, cause the hearing to be recorded by a certified court reporter. The hearing officer is empowered to issue subpoenas upon application of the parties three (3) calendar days prior to the date of the hearing. During the hearing, evidence and testimony may be presented to the hearing officer. Parties to the hearing may be represented by counsel and have the right to cross-examine witnesses. All testimony shall be given under oath. Written decision and findings shall be rendered by the hearing officer within twenty (20) working days of the hearing. Copies of the findings and decision shall be served upon the parties to the hearing by registered or certified mail. A notice that a copy of the findings and decision is available for inspection between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday shall be posted by the owner or operator.



(3) Judicial Review

The decision of the hearing officer shall be final unless judicial review pursuant to Section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure is filed with a court of competent jurisdiction within thirty (30) calendar days of the issuance of the written decision.

(c) Inspection

The Superintendent of the Bureau of Building Inspection shall conduct from time to time on-site inspections of the daily logs and other supporting documents to determine if the provisions of this Chapter have been complied with. In addition, the Superintendent of the Bureau of Building Inspection or his designee shall conduct such an inspection as soon as practicable upon the request of a permanent resident of the hotel. If upon such an inspection, the Superintendent or his designee determines that an apparent violation of the provisions of this Chapter has occurred, he shall post a notice of apparent violation informing the permanent residents of the hotel thereof. This notice shall remain posted until the Superintendent of the Bureau of Building Inspection, or his designee, determines that the hotel is no longer in violation of the provisions of this Chapter.

(d) The Superintendent of the Bureau of Building Inspection may impose a penalty of two hundred and fifty dollars ($250.00) per violation for failure to maintain daily logs as required under Section 41.6(h) above and for failure to post materials as required under Sections 41.6(b),(c),(d),(f), and (i), 41.7(a)(3), 41.7(e), 41.7(f), 41.8(b)(2), 41.9(b)(10), and 41.15(b) and (c). In order to impose such penalties, the Superintendent shall notify both the owner and operator by certified mail that he is imposing the penalty or penalties, which must be paid within thirty (30) days of the mailing of the notification. The written notification shall state that if the penalty is not paid, a lien to secure the amount of the penalty will be recorded against the real property

pursuant to the provisions of Section 41.16(d) of this Chapter.

(e) Costs of Enforcement

The proceeds from the filing fees and civil fines assessed shall be used exclusively to cover the costs of investigation and enforcement of this ordinance by the City and County of San Francisco. The Superintendent of the Bureau of Building Inspection shall annually report these costs to the Board of Supervisors and recommend adjustments thereof.

(f) Inspection of Records

The Bureau of Building Inspection shall maintain a file for each residential hotel which shall contain copies of all applications, exemptions, permits, reports and decisions filed pursuant to the provisions of this Chapter. All documents maintained in said files, except for all tax returns and documents specifically exempted from the California Public Record Act, shall be made available for public inspection and copying.

(g) Promulgation of Rules and Regulations

The Superintendent of the Bureau of Building Inspection shall propose rules and regulations governing the appointment of an administrative officer and the administration and enforcement of this Chapter. After reasonable notice and opportunity to submit written comment are given, final rules and regulations shall be promulgated.





Section 2. This ordinance shall be operative on the 16th day after its effective date.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Louise H. Renne
City Attorney

by: _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED

_____
Deputy Director and City Engineer

DESCRIPTION APPROVED

_____
Superintendent
Bureau of Building Inspection

RECOMMENDED

_____
Director of Public Works

APPROVED

_____
Chief Administrative Officer

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | $ | Finally Passed |
| | $ | |
| June 8, 1987 | $ | June 15, 1987 |
| | $ | |
| | $ | |
| Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hongisto | $ | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez |
| Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari | $ | Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari |
| Silver Ward | $ | Nelder Silver Walker Ward |
| | $ | |
| Noes: Supervisors Nelder | $ | |
| | $ | |
| Absent: Supervisors Britt Walker | $ | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
97-87-27

JUN 25 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 97-86-44

ORDINANCE NO. 237-87

[Community Mental Health and Substance Abuse Service Plans]
AMENDING CHAPTER 15 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY REPEALING SECTION 15.7 THEREOF, AND ADDING A NEW SECTION 15.7 RELATING TO THE LOCATION OR RELOCATION OF MENTAL HEALTH AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE FACILITIES.

Note: SEC. 15.7 in Section 2 of this ordinance is new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Chapter 15 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by repealing Section 15.7.

SEC. 15.7. SEC 15.7 is hereby repealed.

Section 2. Chapter 15 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding a new Section 15.7 thereof, to read as follows:

SEC. 15.7. COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE SERVICES SITE APPROVAL. The Community Mental Health and Substance Abuse plans submitted to the Board of Supervisors shall be accompanied by an inventory listing each public and private service facility and its location by street address that are part of said plans, and no subsequent new location or relocation of any service facility which is part of any such plan or is to be included in any such plan by amendment thereto shall be permitted or proposed by the Director of Public Health until said new location or relocation is approved by either the Health Commission, after review by the appropriate City-wide advisory board, or by the City Planning Commission where use of the service facility was subject to a public hearing before that Commission.

The owner or operator of any new or relocated service facility shall, at least 20 days prior to the first noticed hearing before the Health Commission or the Planning Commission, as required by this ordinance, post a sign at least 30 inches by 30 inches. The sign shall meet the requirements of Sections 306.8(b)(1), (2) and (3) of the Planning Code. The requirements of these subsections may be modified upon a determination by the Deputy Director of Health for Mental Health Programs or the Zoning Administrator that a different location for the sign would provide better notice or that the physical conditions make the requirements impossible or impractical, in which case the sign shall be posted as directed by the Deputy Director of Health for Mental Health Programs or the Zoning Administrator The sign shall be entitled "NOTICE OF HEARING FOR MENTAL HEALTH OR SUBSTANCE ABUSE FACILITIES". The lettering shall be at least 1-1/4-inch uppercase for the title. All other letters shall be at least 3/4-inch uppercase and 1/2-inch lower case. The sign shall provide notice of a case or calendar number, the time, date, location and purpose of the public hearing, a description of the proposed project, and the procedure for obtaining additional information.

Where approval of a service facility is by the Health Commission, within ten days following Health Commission approval, any person may file a Notice of Appeal with the President of the Board of Supervisors, who shall refer such matter to the appropriate committee of the Board for hearing. The committee shall consider such appeal and recommend to the Board that it either concur in the decision of the Health Commission or overrule such action and grant approval of

/ / /

/ / /

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 10 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

the facility. Pending decision by the Board of Supervisors, approval by the Health Commission is suspended.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: _____
Deputy City Attorney

2961F

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
|---|---|---|
| June 8, 1987 | § | June 15, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Ward Molinari Nelder Silver Walker | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward |
| | § | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No.
97-86-44

JUN 25 1987
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

FILE NO. 118-87-2 ORDINANCE NO. 238-87

(Dog Fees)

AMENDING SECTION 41.10 OF PART II, CHAPTER V OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE (HEALTH CODE) AND SECTION 215 OF PART III OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE TO INCREASE DOG AND CAT REDEMPTION FEES AND DOG LICENSE FEES.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Section 1. Part II, Chapter V of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Health Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 41.10 thereof to read as follows:

SEC. 41.10. Charges and Fees. The Animal Control Officer shall charge and collect the following fees from the owner of any animal impounded or otherwise taken into custody:

(a) Redemption fees:

| | |
|---|---|
| For each dog the sum of | ((($10.00)) |
| | $15.00 |
| For each cat the sum of | ((($ 3.00)) |
| | $ 8.00 |
| For each hoofed animal the sum of | $25.00 |
| For each rabbit, bird or other animal the sum of | $ 1.00 |

In the event that the Animal Control Officer shall determine that payment of the redemption fee by the owner of a dog which is impounded or otherwise taken into custody would cause extreme financial difficulty to said owner, the Animal Control Officer may, at his or her discretion, waive the redemption fee for said dog.

MAR 13 1987



(b) For feeding and providing ordinary care for animals, the following sums, per day:

| | |
|---|---|
| For each dog the sum of | $ 2.00 |
| For each cat the sum of | $ 1.50 |
| For each hoofed animal the sum of | $ 5.00 |
| For each rabbit, bird or other animal the sum of | $ 1.00 |

(c) For extraordinary care or expense provided for an animal, an additional fee consisting of the actual expense incurred shall also be charged.

Section 2. Part III of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by amending Section 215 thereof to read as follows:

SEC. 215. DOGS; DOG LICENSE FEE; LATE CHARGE FOR DOG LICENSE. It shall be unlawful for any person who owns, keeps or has control of any dog to fail to obtain a current San Francisco license for such dog each fiscal year as herein provided.

(a) Every person owning, keeping or having control of any dog over the age of four (4) months within the City and County of San Francisco shall, each fiscal year, pay an annual fee of ((ten dollars ($10.00))) twelve dollars ($12.00) for each dog so owned, kept or controlled. Such dog license shall be for the current fiscal year or any part thereof during which any such dog is so owned, kept or controlled, and shall be payable in advance. In the event a certificate is presented from a licensed veterinarian stating that said dog has been spayed or neutered, said license fee shall be in the amount of ((five dollars ($5.00))) six dollars ($6.00) for each fiscal year.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 10 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

(b) The following exceptions apply to the fees set forth in paragraph (a):

1. 50% of the applicable fee in paragraph (a) for a first-time license.
2. If the owner of a dog is 65 years of age or older, the license fee for each dog shall be two dollars ($2.00).

(c) A late charge of ((four dollars ($4.00))) <u>five dollars ($5.00)</u> shall be assessed for failure to obtain a current San Francisco dog license before September 1 of any year, except that no late charge will be assessed if the applicable license fee is paid within thirty (30) days after such dog first becomes owned, kept or controlled by a person, or if the applicable license fee is paid within thirty (30) days after the person owning, keeping or controlling such dog moves into the City and County of San Francisco. Proof of eligibility for exemption from the late charge shall be the responsibility of the person applying for the license.

Approved as to Form:

LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

1166F/21-23

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | |
|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
| June 8, 1987 | June 15, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward |
| Absent: Supervisors Britt | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No.
118-87-2

JUN 25 1987
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 6/3/87

FILE NO. 120-87-1 ORDINANCE NO. 239-87

[BBI FEE SCHEDULE]

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER VII OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (PLUMBING CODE) BY AMENDING SECTIONS C7.1 AND D8.5-1 THEREOF RELATING TO FEE SCHEDULES, SETTING EFFECTIVE DATE, AND ~~REPEALING~~ AMENDING SUNSET CLAUSE.

ah 6/3/87 ah

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter VII, of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Plumbing Code) is hereby amended by amending Sections C7.1 and D8.5-1 thereof to read as follows:

ah

Sec.C7.1 Fee Schedule. For construction, alteration, addition, repair or replacement of drainage piping, venting or fixtures, water piping or water outlets; gas piping, gas outlets or gas appliances, except as specifically excluded under C3, the following fee schedule shall apply: A separate permit issuance fee shall be paid for each class of work.

For Plumbing permit issuance .....((\$8.50)) \$12.00

For gas permit issuance .....((\$8.50)) 12.00

For water line permit issuance, including 1 t 4 outlets per line.....((\$8.50)) 12.00

For each plumbing fixture and waste discharging device..((\$7.75)) 10.50

For each sump ejector .....((\$7.75)) 10.50

For each building sewer,replacement, repair or sewer trap((\$8.85)) 12.00

For each grease interceptor .....((\$6.60)) 9.00

For side sewer installation or repair including inspection of connection to main sewer .....((\$23.00)) 31.00

For each leader (all kinds) .....((\$7.75)) 10.50



For each miscellaneous plumbing fixture .....((\$7.75)) 10.50

For each additional water outlet (over 4) .....((\$1.50)) 2.00

For each gas line including 1 outlet per line .....((\$3.70)) 5.00

For each additional gas outlet .....((\$1.50)) 2.00

For each gas conversion .....((\$11.00)) 15.00

For each warm air furnace .....((\$23.00)) 31.00

For solar panels(per each collector).....((\$4.00)) 5.50

For lawn sprinklers:

For each control valve or vacuum breaker..... ((\$5.50)) \$7.50

For each sprinkler head.....((\$0.10)) 0.14

For each water heater .....((\$6.60)) 9.00

For each miscellaneous gas appliance .....((\$6.60)) 9.00

For each Extra Charge (for "shorts)* .....((\$5.00)) 7.00

For each reinspection** .....((\$20.00)) 40.00

For each survey permit per hour or fraction thereof***.((\$40.00)) 55.00

For fire sprinkler piping removal or alteration or the replacement of valves, attachments or devices .....((\$ 7.00)) 9.50

For underground fire sprinkler piping system:

When permit fee has not been obtained for complete fire sprinkler system .....((\$23.00)) \$31.00

For replacing of fire sprinkler heads (except fused or broken heads):

From 1 to 10 heads.....((\$7.00)) 9.50

for each additional head over 10.....((\$0.20)) 0.27

For fire sprinkler piping installations:

From 1 to 10 fire sprinkler heads.....((\$7.00)) 9.50

From 11 to 500 fire sprinkler heads: ((\$7.00)) \$9.50 plus ((\$0.20)) \$0.27 per additional head over 10



DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 10 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

From 501 and over heads: ((\$98.00)) \$141.00 plus ((\$0.10)) \$0.14 per additional head over 500

For flushing of fire sprinkler systems subsequent to Final Inspection (reinspection) .....((\$20.00)) \$40.00

The fee for converting a system from upright heads to pendant heads or from pendant heads to upright heads shall be as set forth for a new installation.

The Superintendent or Chief Plumbing Inspector may employ an alternate method of fee determination for those plumbing permits issued in conjunction with a building permit. Such plumbing permit fees shall be computed at a rate of 15% of the building permit fee. Where such calculations are not appropriate as determined by the Superintendent, the standard item count fee determination shall be used.

*Extra charge for "shorts" is defined as the fee required for the issuance of a permit to cover the additional appliances and fixtures, additions to piping, venting or drainage that are found to be included in a job on an amended permit application. The Extra Charge fee shall be in addition to the regular unit fees as stated in the Fee Schedule.

**Reinspection or additional inspection is defined as an inspection made necessary:

1. Through fault, error, deficient or defective work on the part of the holder of the permit or on the part of his employees; or

2. Due to the failure or neglect of the applicant to give the correct address or location of the work specified in the permit when applying therefor, or,

3. When an additional inspection is required due to "Extra Work" and that work cannot be inspected under the original permit inspection, or,





4. When the work to be inspected has not been completed at the time for which the inspection has been called.

On jobs which may be completely inspected on one inspection trip, 2 inspections trips will be allowed.

On progress jobs, the number of inspection trips will not be limited, provided, however, that only one inspection without a fee charge will be permitted for checking a correction. For the purpose of this Section, progress jobs are those which due to the nature or magnitude of the job will not permit the completion of the entire installation at a specific time.

***Survey is defined as assistance in layout of plumbing piping and appliances, to either a home owner or contractor necessitated by lack of detailed knowledge of plumbing work or inadequacy of an installation to conform to this Code and requiring more than one-quarter (1/4) hour of time consumed in the field on the job.

((Sec. D8.5-1. The Board of Supervisors shall review the provisions of Sections C7.1, D5.12, D8.4, and D8.5 on or before June 30th of each year, and shall by resolution authorize the continuance of the fees imposed by said sections for the next ensuing fiscal year; otherwise, the fees shall revert to those in effect on June 30, 1982))

Sec. D8.5-1. Fee Review. The Director of Public Works shall cause an annual report of fees to be made and filed with the Controller as set forth in Section 3.17-2 of the San Francisco Administrative Code. The Controller shall review the report and file it with the Board of Supervisors along with a proposed ordinance readjusting the fee rates as necessary.

Section 2. This ordinance shall be operative on the 16th day after its effective date.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Louise H. Renne

City Attorney

by: _____

Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED

_____

Deputy Director and City Engineer

DESCRIPTION APPROVED

_____

Superintendent

Bureau of Building Inspection

RECOMMENDED

_____

Director of Public Works

APPROVED

_____

Chief Administrative Officer

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS



Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 8, 1987 | June 15, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward |
| Absent: Supervisors Britt | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

_____
Clerk

File No.
120-87-1

JUN 25 1987
Date Approved

_____
Mayor

FILE NO. 125-87-2

AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 6/3/87
As amended in Board 6/8/87
ORDINANCE NO. 240-87

[BBI FEE SCHEDULE]

ejp 6/3/87 AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER XII OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (HOUSING

6/3/87 ah CODE) BY AMENDING SECTIONS 351.5, 351.6, 351.7, 1216.1 AND 1216.2 (SUNSET CLAUSE)

ah THEREOF RELATING TO FEE SCHEDULES, CORRECTING CLERICAL ERRORS, AND SETTING

6/3/87 ah EFFECTIVE DATE, ~~AND REPEALING SUNSET CLAUSE~~.

Note: Additions or substitutions are underlined;

Deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses))

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter XII, San Francisco Municipal Code (Housing

ejp 6/3/87 ah Code), is hereby amended by amending Sections 351.5, 351.6, 351.7 and 1216.1 and 1216.2 thereof to read as follows:

SEC. 351.5. Fee for Furnishing Report

Every person who applies for a Report of Residential Building Record hereunder, shall pay to the Bureau of Building Inspection, City and County of San Francisco, at the time of making such application, a fee therefor in the amount

6/3/87 ah of ((($11.00)) ~~$15.00~~. $20.00.

SEC. 351.6. Inspection of Residential Building and Fee Therefor

If the owner or his agent desires a physical inspection of the property, the Bureau shall make an inspection of the property and shall furnish to the owner or his agent a list of the violations, if any, of the law disclosed by the inspection, for the following fees which are payable by the owner or agent at the Central Permit Bureau:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Single Family Dwelling | (($230.00)) | $300 |
| Two Family Dwelling | (($230.00)) | $300 |
| Apartment House | | |
| Including 3 units | (($270.00)) | $350 |
| 4 units through 10 units | (($345.00)) | $350 plus (($40.00)) $44 per unit over ((4)) 3 |
| 11 Units through 20 units | (($600.00)) | $658 plus (($30.00)) $33 per unit over ((11)) 10 |
| 21 units through 40 units | (($875.00)) | $988 plus (($20.00)) $22 per unit over ((21)) 20 |
| 41 or more units | (($1,075.00)) | $1,428 plus (($10.00)) $11 per unit over ((41)) 40 |
| Hotels | | |
| Including 10 guestrooms | (($345.00)) | $380 |
| 11 guestrooms thru 20 guestrooms | (($365.00)) | $380 plus ($15.00)) $16 per guestroom over ((11)) 10 |
| 21 guestrooms thru 40 guestrooms | (($520.00)) | $540 plus (($10.00)) $11 per guestroom over ((21)) 20 |
| 41 or more guestrooms | (($720.00)) | $760 plus $5.00 per guestroom over ((41)) 40 |

ejp ((SEC. 351.7. The Board of Supervisors shall review the provisions of Section 351.5 and 351.6 on or before the 30th day of June of each year and shall by resolution authorize the continuance of the fees imposed by said sections for the next ensuing fiscal year; otherwise, the fees shall revert to those in effect on June 30, 1982.))

6/8/87 SEC. 351.7. Fee Review. The Director of Public Works shall cause an annual report of fees to be made and filed with the Controller as set forth in Section 3.17-2 of the San Francisco Administrative Code. The Controller shall review the report and file it with the Board of Supervisors along with a proposed ordinance readjusting the fee rates as necessary.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 10 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

SEC. 1216.1. Fee Schedule

(A) Energy Inspection and Compliance Inspection fee upon resale, metering conversion, and major alteration:

| | Initial Inspection | | Compliance Inspection | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| ((Single and Two Family (I) Occupancy Apartments and Hotels (H) Occupancy (As itemized in 2 below))) | $30 | | $15 | |
| (1) Single Family Dwellings and Two Family Dwellings | ((($30)) | $38 | ((($15)) | $19 |
| (2) Apartment Houses of less than 20 rooms | ((($34)) | $43 | ((($17)) | $21 |
| of 20 to 29 rooms | ((($40)) | $51 | ((($20)) | $25 |
| of 30 to 39 rooms | ((($48)) | $61 | ((($24)) | $30 |
| of 40 to 49 rooms | ((($54)) | $68 | ((($27)) | $34 |
| of 50 to 59 rooms | ((($60)) | $76 | ((($30)) | $38 |
| of 60 rooms or over | ((($86)) | $86 | ((($34)) | $43 |

(3) Residential Hotels: Same as (2) above

(B) Call Back Fee: 50% of the fee for the incomplete inspection involved.

(C) Filing Fees for documents

(1) Filing fee for all energy inspection reports except BBI compliance $4.00

(2) Filing fee for all energy compliance certification inspections except BBI complete inspections $4.00

(D) Filing fee for appeals $30.00

(E) Certification of qualified energy inspector fee $15.00



6/3/87
ah

((SEC. 1216.2. The Board of Supervisors shall review the provisions of Section 1216.1 on or before the 30th day of June of each year and shall by resolution authorize the continuance of the fees imposed by said section for the next ensuing fiscal year.))

SEC. 1216.2. Fee Review. The Director of Public Works shall cause an annual report of fees to be made and filed with the Controller as set forth in Section 3.17-2 of the San Francisco Administrative Code. The Controller shall review the report and file it with the Board of Supervisors along with a proposed ordinance readjusting the fee rates as necessary.

Section 2. This ordinance shall be operative on the 16th day after its effective date.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: (See file for departmental signatures)

Louise H. Renne

City Attorney

by: [signature]

Deputy City Attorney

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
| | § | |
| June 8, 1987 | § | June 15, 1987 |
| | § | |
| | § | |
| Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hongisto | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez |
| Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari | § | Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari |
| Nelder Silver Walker Ward | § | Nelder Silver Walker Ward |
| | § | |
| Absent: Supervisors Britt | § | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
125-87-2

JUN 25 1987

Date Approved

Mayor

Amended in Committee 6/3/87

FILE NO. 127-87-6 ORDINANCE NO. 241-87

[BBI Fee Schedule]

AMENDING PART III, ARTICLE 2 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE BY AMENDING SECTIONS 86 AND 160 THEREOF, RELEATING TO FEE SCHEDULES, SETTING EFFECTIVE DATE, AND ~~REPEALING~~ AMENDING SUNSET CLAUSE.

6/3/87 ah

(NOTE: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).)

Be it Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part III, Article 2, San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended by amending Sections 86 and 160 thereof, to read as follows:

SEC. 86 Apartment Houses. Every person, firm or corporation maintaining, conducting or operating an apartment house shall pay a license fee as follows to defray the cost of inspection and regulation by the Bureau of Building Inspection of the Department of Public Works and the Bureau of Licenses and no Permits of Occupancy shall be issued by the Bureau of Building Inspection therefor without said license first having been had and obtained:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Apartment houses of less than 20 rooms, | ((($65.00)) | $82.00 per annum |
| Apartment houses of 20 to 29 rooms, | ((($82.00)) | $104.00 per annum |
| Apartment houses of 30 to 39 rooms, | ((($109.00)) | $138.00 per annum |
| Apartment houses of 40 to 49 rooms, | ((($144.00)) | $182.00 per annum |
| Apartment houses of 50 to 59 rooms, | ((($200.00)) | $253.00 per annum |
| Apartment houses of 60 rooms or over, | ((($235.00)) | $297.00 per annum |

Said license fee shall be due and payable on the first day of October of each year. ((No deduction in the amount of said license fee herein provided for shall be allowed, although the period of use by the licensee shall prove to be less than one year.)) When the license fee has been paid in full for the applicable fiscal year and a change of ownership occurs during that year, then the new owner shall be liable for only a twenty-five dollar ($25.00) administrative fee to defray the cost of issuing a new permit. This inspection and regulation shall be made by

6/3/87 ah

//

//

//



DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 10 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

the Bureau of Building Inspection of the Department of Public Works at least once a year and as often thereafter as may be deemed necessary.

For the purpose of this section, an apartment house shall be deemed to be any building or portion thereof, which is designed, built, rented, leased, let or hired out to be occupied, or which is occupied as the home, or residence of three (3) or more families living independently of each other and doing their cooking in the same building.

(a) Apartment Defined. An apartment is a room or suite of two or more habitable rooms which are occupied or intended or designed to be occupied by one (1) family with facilities for living, sleeping, bathing, cooking and eating and having only one kitchen except where a variance is granted by the Department of City Planning.

(b) Room defined. A room is any legal, habitable space between two (2) floors, and a floor and roof, of any apartment house, and divided and separated by proper partitions and doors.

(c) Family Defined. A family is one (1) person living alone or a group of two (2) or more persons living together in an apartment, whether related to each other by birth or not.

SEC. 160 Hotels. Every person, firm, partnership or corporation conducting or operating a hotel shall pay a license fee as hereafter indicated and no permit shall be issued therefor without said license first having been had and obtained.

For the purpose of this section a hotel shall be deemed to be any building or portion thereof, containing six (6) or more guest rooms used or intended or designed to be used, let or hired out to be occupied or which are occupied by six (6) or more guests, whether the compensation for hire be paid directly or indirectly in money, goods, wares, merchandise, labor or otherwise, and shall include hotels, motels, public and private clubs, and

any such building of any nature whatsoever so occupied, designed, or intended to be occupied, except jails, hospitals, asylums, sanitariums, orphanages, prisons, detention and similar buildings where human beings are housed and detained under legal restraint.

"Guest Room" is a room which is occupied or is intended, arranged or designed to be occupied for sleeping purposes by one (1) or more guests, but shall not be deemed to include dormitories used for sleeping purposes.

"Dormitory" is a room in which more than two (2) persons are "guests" and not living together and shall, for the purpose of computing the number of rooms, be deemed a separate guest room for each one hundred (100) square feet of superficial floor area therein.

"Guest" is any person hiring and occupying a room for sleeping purposes and shall include both boarders and lodgers.

License Fee. A license fee as follows is payable annually in advance: The license fees prescribed in this section are due and payable ((on a calendar year basis starting January 1, 1983. Fees for new licenses issued prior to January 1, 1983, or after the first day of January in that calendar year or in any subsequent calendar year shall be prorated to the end of the calendar year on a monthly basis:)) on the first day of January each year. When the license fee has been paid in full for the applicable fiscal year and a change of ownership occurs during that year, then the new owner shall be liable for only a twenty-five dollar ($25.00) administrative fee to defray the cost of issuing a new permit.

6/3/87
ah

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Hotels of less than 20 rooms, | (($100.00)) | $126.00 per annum |
| Hotels of 20 to 29 rooms, | (($117.00)) | $148.00 per annum |
| Hotels of 30 to 39 rooms, | (($144.00)) | $182.00 per annum |
| Hotels of 40 to 49 rooms, | (($178.00)) | $225.00 per annum |
| Hotels of 50 to 59 rooms, | (($233.00)) | $295.00 per annum |
| Hotels of 60 to 99 rooms, | (($269.00)) | $340.00 per annum |
| Hotels of 100 to 149 rooms, | (($290.00)) | $367.00 per annum |

(cont.)



| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Hotels of 150 rooms or over, | (($327.00)) | $413.00 per annum |

((Continuance of Fee Schedules. The Board of Supervisors shall review the provisions of this ordinance on or before June 30th of each year, and shall by resolution authorize continuance of said fees for the next ensuing fiscal year, otherwise, the fees shall revert to those in effect on June 30, 1982.))

/87
h

Fee Review. The Director of Public Works shall cause an annual report of fees to be made and filed with the Controller as set forth in Section 3.17-2 of the San Francisco Administrative Code. The Controller shall review the report and file it with the Board of Supervisors along with a proposed ordinance readjusting the fee rates as necessary.

Section 2. This ordinance shall be operative on the 16th day after its effective date.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Louise H. Renne, City Attorney

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

(See file for departmental signatures)

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
| | § | |
| June 8, 1987 | § | June 15, 1987 |
| | § | |
| | § | |
| Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hongisto | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez |
| Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari | § | Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari |
| Nelder Silver Walker Ward | § | Nelder Silver Walker Ward |
| | § | |
| Absent: Supervisors Britt | § | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
127-87-6

JUN 25 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. NS-87-4 ORDINANCE NO. 242-87

RO #6801

[Reward Authorization)

AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF REWARD TO CHRIS CHRISTENSEN, JACALYN PITCHEN, MRS. R.F. SMITH, AND RICHARD SUAREZ.

NOTE: This material is entirely new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to draft a warrant upon the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco in the amount of Five Hundred Dollars ($500.00) payable to Chris Christensen, for supplying information leading to the arrest and conviction (or admissions of guilt with voluntary probation) of the perpetrators of several graffiti incidents.

Section 2. The Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to draft a warrant upon the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco in the amount of Five Hundred Dollars ($500.00) payable to Jacalyn Pitchen, for supplying information leading to the arrest and conviction (or admissions of guilt with voluntary probation) of the perpetrators of several graffiti incidents.

Section 3. The Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to draft a warrant upon the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco in the amount of Five Hundred Dollars ($500.00) payable to Mrs. R. F. Smith, for supplying information leading to the arrest and conviction (or admissions of guilt with voluntary probation) of the perpetrators of several graffiti incidents.

/ / /

Section 4. The Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to draft a warrant upon the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco in the amount of Five Hundred Dollars ($500.00) payable to Richard Suarez, for supplying information leading to the arrest and conviction (or admissions of guilt with voluntary probation) of the perpetrators of several graffiti incidents.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: _____
Deputy City Attorney

8099F

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell

01 001 97 09 25
323428 1450 $2,000.00

MAY 0 6 1987

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 1 0 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
|---|---|---|
| June 8, 1987 | § | June 15, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward |
| Absent: Supervisors Britt | § | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
155-87-4

JUN 25 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE IN COMMITTEE 6/3/87

FILE NO. [illegible] ORIGINAL ORDINANCE NO. 243-87

[BBI FEE SCHEDULE]

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER 1, ARTICLE III OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (BUILDING CODE) BY AMENDING SECTIONS 302, 303, 304, 305, 308, 312, 316, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325 THEREOF RELATING TO FEE SCHEDULES, SETTING EFFECTIVE DATE, AND ~~REPEALING~~ AMENDING SUNSET CLAUSE.

6/3/87
ah

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are <u>underlined</u> ; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter 1, Article III of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Building Code) is hereby amended by amending Sections 302, 303, 304, 305, 308, 312, 316, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325 thereof to read as follows:

APPLICATION FOR PERMIT

Sec. 302. (a) Application. To obtain a permit, the applicant shall first file an application therefor with the Bureau of Building Inspection, on forms furnished by the Bureau. The applicant shall be the owner or his authorized agent. See Section 304(a) for filing fee. Every such application shall:

1. Identify and describe the work to be covered by the permit for which application is made.

2. Describe the land on which the proposed work is to be done by legal description, street address or similar description that will readily identify





and definitely locate the proposed building or work.

3. For new buildings, indicate the use or occupancy for all parts of the building. For alteration work, indicate the proposed uses or occupancies and the most current legal uses or occupancies for all portions of the building affected by or relevant to the proposed work.

4. Include the name, address, and telephone number of the owner, architect, engineer and contractor. Applicable State and City license numbers shall be indicated.

5. Be accompanied by plans and other documents as required in Section 302(b).

6. Give such other data and information as may be required by the Superintendent.

7. State the valuation of any new building or structure or any addition, remodeling or alteration to an existing building.

8. Be signed by the permittee, or his authorized agent, who may be required to submit evidence to indicate such authority.

9. Contain an agreement by the owner of the premises to save the City and its officials and employees harmless from all costs, liability and damages resulting, whether directly or indirectly, from use or occupancy of the sidewalk, street, or subsidewalk space, or from anything in connection with the



DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 10 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

work included in the permit. The agreement shall run with the land and be binding on all of his successors in title.

An application for a permit to demolish a building or structure shall not be deemed complete until the applicant declares under penalty of perjury that every party who has a recorded mortgage or recorded deed of trust on the property that is the subject of the application has been notified of the filing of the application. A fee of $5.00 shall be charged at the time of filing to defray the cost of maintaining records of such declarations.

The Bureau shall not process an application which is not completely or properly filled out. When the submitted plans and documents required by Section 302(b) and (c) are determined at the time of filing to be incomplete, unclear or otherwise lacking information to the extent and of a nature that plan review therefrom for compliance with the Building Code, Mechanical Code or Planning Code cannot be made, the applicant may be given written notice immediately of this situation, and the application held in abeyance until the plans and documents are made complete for review. Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 303(a).1.B, an application held in abeyance for 21 calendar days during this stage of processing may be deemed cancelled without further action by the Bureau.

Anyone who files an application or obtains the permit as an owner's agent shall be responsible for advising the owner of all conditions attached to the application by the various approving agencies. Applications are transferable without payment of fees when the new party submits a letter to the Bureau agreeing to all conditions of approval, stipulations and agreements contained on the application.



Upon receipt of an application which would authorize the tearing down or demolition of a building or structure, the Bureau shall mail written notice to the owners of properties within a three hundred foot radius from the edge of the property on which the proposed demolition work will take place, as shown on the last equalized assessment roll, and shall provide notice to each residential tenant of the property that is the subject of the application and of the property immediately adjacent to such property. Said notice shall include the street address of the proposed work and the name and address of the property owner and, if known, of the contractor.

Any organization or group with an interest in architectural or historical preservation or housing conservation may request notification of the receipt by the Bureau of an application for a demolition permit and of the issuance thereof. Such request shall be in writing to the Bureau, on forms furnished by the Bureau. The organization or group shall specify the area(s) of the City for which notification is requested and the Superintendent of the Bureau shall establish the boundaries of such areas for purposes of such notification. Requests shall be made annually, within 30 days after July 1 of each year, and shall be accompanied by a (($25)) $30 fee for one area and a (($75)) $90 fee for multiple areas. Fees may be prorated by the Bureau for any requests which are received at other times during the year.

In addition to any other requirements for notice set forth in this Code, the following shall apply:

A. Any person filing an application to substantially alter, as that term is defined by the Superintendent of the Bureau of Building Inspection, an apartment house or a residential hotel (as defined in Section 41.4(b) of the

San Francisco Administrative Code) that contains 5 or more units shall post a sign at least 15 inches by 15 inches in a conspicuous common area of the apartment house or residential hotel for at least 15 days with the following information: notice that the application has been made, the nature of the work to be performed, the means of obtaining information from the Bureau of Building Inspection, and the procedure for appealing the issuance of building permits. The applicant shall thereafter submit an affidavit signed under penalty of perjury stating that the sign has been posted as required by this section. A fee of $5.00 shall be charged at the issuance of the permit to defray the cost of maintaining records of said affidavits. The Superintendent shall not approve the application until this affidavit is submitted. If there is reason to believe that the sign was not posted as required, the Superintendent shall investigate the matter, shall provide the applicant an opportunity to respond to any complaint of noncompliance, shall determine whether the requirements of this section have been substantially met, and shall cancel an application if it is determined they have not been substantially met.

(b) Plans and Specifications. Unless waived by the Superintendent, plans, specifications, design calculations, geotechnical reports and other documents and data shall be submitted with the application to substantiate that the building will comply with applicable codes and regulations. Such documents may be required to be prepared under the responsible charge of an engineer or architect licensed by the State to practice as such, and to be signed by said architect or engineer. The signatures may consist of an original signature on the first sheet of each set of documents, and facsimile stamp plus the registration seal of the design professional on the balance of the sheets.

Two complete sets of plans and specifications, and one set of design calculations and other documents, shall be submitted. For new building permit





applications, and for alteration permit applications with a valuation exceeding $5 million, the applicant may elect to have the plans checked in parallel by the approving agencies. For this option, four complete sets of plans and specifications must be submitted.

The requirements for plans or specifications may be waived, provided that the nature and extent of the proposed constuction can be clearly described in writing, and such a description is filed with the application.

The requirements of Article 20, Chapter 10 of Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Public Works Code) relating to analyzing the soil for the presence of hazardous wastes apply to all applications, except as otherwise provided therein.

(c) Information on Plans and Specifications. Plans and specifications shall be drawn to scale and reproduced on substantial paper, and shall be of sufficient clarity to indicate the location, nature and extent of the work proposed and show in detail that it will conform to the provisions of this code and all relevant laws, ordinances, rules, and regulations. Specific plans and information required shall include any of the following that is appropriate for a specific application and the work being proposed:

1. A plot plan showing sidewalk widths, street widths, lot lines and the locations of the proposed or existing buildings or structures on the property, and the buildings on adjacent properties in the instance where their locations or heights affect the code requirements of the subject building. Locations of parking or loading spaces, and of above-ground hydrants and utility poles, shall also be shown.

2. All existing and future finished grades for new structures and additions to existing structures. Official curb and street grades shall also be shown.

3. Four complete exterior elevations showing types of wall materials, locations and sizes of wall openings, roof heights and setbacks from lot lines. The existing and future exterior grade profiles on each side of the building must be superimposed on the elevations unless a topographic map prepared by a licensed surveyor is submitted.

4. A complete floor plan for each floor, basement and roof unless the floor plans are identical. The scale shall be not less than 1/8-inch to 1 foot unless otherwise permitted by the Superintendent. Architectural, structural and mechanical information shall not be superimposed unless the resultant floor plans are clearly legible and understandable.

5. For alteration work, show all existing partitions and construction that are to be removed or altered, and all that are to remain unchanged.

6. Identifications on the architectural floor plans of the use or occupancy classifications for all new and existing areas of the building.

7. Cross-sections are necessary, including information on locations and depths of footings of adjacent buildings.

8. Identification of all architectural and structural materials to be installed in the building, including structural properties and fire-resistive ratings as applicable.

9. Identification of the installation, location and support of building



utilities, including plumbing piping, and wiring and cabling for power distribution, communications and computer purposes. Provisions for maintaining the integrity of fire-resistive building construction and separations.

10. Identification on the structural floor plans of all components of the vertical load carrying system, including joists, beams, girders, columns and bearing walls. Connection details and cross-sections to show how the loads are transferred and carried to the grounds. Identification of the design live loads in all areas.

11. All elements of the lateral force resisting system, including horizontal and vertical diaphragms, connections and details, that completely identify the lateral force paths to the foundation.

12. Structural information on any existing building construction that would be affected by the proposed work, including locations and depths of footings of adjacent buildings.

13. Geotechnical report when work involves significant grading, excavation or fill, or uses special foundations.

14. Calculations for the structural and seismic design of the building, for hydraulic design of sprinkler systems and standpipes, and for compliance with energy conservation requirements of Title 24, CAC.

15. Information on plans demonstrating compliance with energy conservation measures of Title 24, CAC.

16. Information on plans demonstrating compliance with handicap access provisions of Title 24, CAC.

17. Information on all parts of construction which are necessary for determining compliance with applicable codes and regulations.

(d) Separate Permit Required. A separate permit shall be required for each separate structure. Where structures are constructed on top of a larger base structure, and such structures are likely to have their own addresses or functional identities, separate permits shall be required for the base structure and for each of the top structures.

APPLICATION PROCESSING AND PERMIT ISSUANCE.

Sec.303.(a) Processing and Issuance. 1. Application Processing.

A. General. The application, plans, specifications and other data shall be referred for such reviews and approvals as are required under applicable ordinances and laws. Each interested reviewing bureau, department or agency shall indicate on the application its approval, approval with conditions, or disapproval.

Every building permit application which requires the approval of three or more bureaus, departments, agencies or subdivisions, thereof of any Federal, State and local government body shall be reviewed by a permit process expediter. The permit process expediter shall be under the jurisdiction of the Chief Administrative Officer. The duties of the permit process expediter shall be to facilitate the coordination of interdepartmental review of the permit applications so that time limitations for review by a bureau, agency, department or subdivision thereof are maintained in compliance with the





applicable procedures set forth by the governmental body, to provide the public with any information concerning mandatory permit procedures or requirements, and to process all complaints caused by the lack of coordination among the departments , bureaus or agencies or subdivisions thereof. A ((~~$15~~)) $19 fee for such service shall be paid upon filing of an application for a new building, and upon issuance of a building permit for alteration work.

B. Cancellation of Application During Processing. The Superintendent may hold in abeyance any application, plans, and specifications filed which in his opinion do not provide the necessary information in a clear and concise manner as required in Section 302(c), and shall cancel such an application upon the expiration of the time period set forth below.

During the processing of the application, any corrections, additional information, plans or documents that are necessary to complete the processing by any of the enforcing agencies shall be submitted and approved within the following time limitations:

| VALUATION | TIME LIMITATION |
|---|---|
| Under $100,000 | 60 calendar days |
| $100,000 to $1 million | 90 calendar days |
| Over $1 million | 120 calendar days |

The above time limits shall start when the applicant or his representative has been notified by the enforcing agency representative that corrections are required. The time limitation shall apply until all corrections have been satisfactorily made. A one-time extension of 60 days, at any point during the approval procedure, may be granted by the Superintendent upon written request

by the applicant. In the event the corrections have not been made within 21 days before the stated or extended time period, the Bureau shall notify the applicant by certified mail that the application will be cancelled in 21 days unless the plans are made approvable within that time. An application which exceeds the stated or extended time period after such notice shall be deemed cancelled without further action by the Bureau.

A separate time limit period shall apply for each of the review stages within the Bureau; however, at no time shall the time limitation in any one review stage exceed that stated above.

C. Approval of Application. When the application has been reviewed and approved by all interested bureaus, departments and agencies, the Superintendent shall stamp the application, each set of plans and each copy of specifications as "APPROVED."

D. Disapproval of Application. Any application that does not meet the requirements of this code or any other laws, ordinances or regulations enforced by any interested bureaus, departments or agencies shall be disapproved by the Superintendent upon request by the applicant. If such a request is not made, the application shall be held in abeyance and then cancelled as provided for in Subsection B above.

E. Withdrawal of Application. Applications filed for permits may be withdrawn by the applicant, provided that no part of the work proposed on the application, or other work requiring a permit, has been performed.

F. Cancellation of Approved Application. The Bureau shall cancel an





application 120 calendar days after notification of approval was mailed to the applicant if the applicant has failed to pay the fees and obtain the permit. The Bureau shall notify the applicant by certified mail 21 days prior to any cancellation action. If the permit is not obtained within those 21 days, the application shall be deemed cancelled without further action by the Bureau. Upon written request by the applicant prior to cancellation, a one-time 60-day extension may be granted by the Superintendent, provided such extension had not been previously granted under Subsection B above.

EXCEPTIONS: 1. For applications resulting from enforcement actions initiated by the Superintendent to abate code violations, the above time limits shall be reduced to 30 days and 10 days, respectively. The Superintendent may grant a 30-day extension for hardship or procedural error. Upon cancellation, such cases shall be referred to the City Attorney for legal action.

2. The above time limits shall not apply to applications which are subject to the investigation fee per Section 304(d). Such applications shall be cancelled only through specific action by the Superintendent.

2. Issuance of Permit. When the Superintendent has approved an application, he shall issue a building permit for the specified construction upon receipt of all required fees. The permit shall be posted on the job before any work is started, and be kept posted on the job until the Certificate of Occupancy is issued.

Permits for side sewers and sidewalk and street space, when required, shall be obtained at the same time as the building permit.

Permits issued under the provisions of this code shall not be construed to exempt the owner or his agent from obtaining permits or licenses required by other ordinances or governmental agencies.

3. Recommencement of Work on Permit Expired Due to Work not Started. Before work can be commenced on an expired permit on which no work was performed, an application shall be filed and a new permit shall be obtained. If not more than one year has elapsed since the expiration of the original permit, the permit fee for the new permit shall be half the amount of the original permit; the plan review fee shall be half of the amount of the original permit, but shall not exceed $100. All other applicable fees in Section 304 shall be collected in the full amount. To qualify for the reduced fees, the original approved plans and specifications in the possession of the owner shall be submitted with the new application, together with a notarized certification that there are no changes made on those plans and specifications.

In the event a refund has been granted upon request of the applicant prior to recommencement of the work, the provisions of this section shall not apply and a new permit shall be applied for and all fees shall be required to be paid.

4. Recommencement of Work on Permit Expired Due to Work Not Completed. An alteration permit shall be secured for the work not completed. The permit fee shall be based upon the valuation of the uncompleted work. When the permit is for completing the work as shown on the original approved plans, no additional plan review fee shall be required.



Where illegal or unsafe conditions are to be corrected the Superintendent shall have the authority to establish, at the time the application for the permit is approved, a reasonable time within which such alterations authorized by the permit shall be completed.

(b) Retention of Plans. One set of approved plans and specifications and application shall be given to the party obtaining the permit. The owner or his authorized agent shall be responsible for keeping these documents on the building site and making them available for inspection and use by the inspector at all times during such construction until final inspection has been made. Failure to do so shall result in stoppage of work. The approved plans and specifications shall not be changed, modified or altered without authorization from the Superintendent; all work shall be done in accordance with the approved plans.

One set of approved plans and specifications for all building permits, except for demolition, shall be retained by the Bureau in microfilm form as public records.

(c) Validity of Permit. The issuance or granting of a permit or approval of plans and specifications shall not be construed to be a permit for, or an approval of, any violation of any of the provisions of this code or of any other applicable laws and regulations. No permit presuming to give authority to violate or cancel the provisions of this code shall be valid.

The issuance of a permit based upon plans, specifications and other data shall not prevent the Superintendent from thereafter requiring the correction of errors in said plans, specifications and other data, or from preventing

building operations being carried on thereunder when in violation of this code or other applicable laws and regulations.

(d) Expiration. Every permit issued under the provisions of this code, unless an extension of time has been specifically approved by the Superintendent, expires by limitation and becomes null and void when the time allowed in Table No. 3-A is reached, or when any of the following circumstances is applicable:

1. If the building or work authorized by such permit is not started within 90 days from the date of such permit, except for site permits and Superintendent-initiated code compliance permits.

2. For site permits the work shall start within 18 months or half the time period specified in Table No. 3-A whichever is the longer amount of time.

3. For Superintendent-initiated code compliance permits, the work shall start within 30 days from the date of such permit.

4. If the building or work authorized is suspended or abandoned at any time after the work has started, for a period as follows:

A. 30 days for Superintendent-initiated code compliance permits.

B. 90 days for all other permits.

TABLE NO. 3-A. - MAXIMUM TIME ALLOWED TO COMPLETE ALL WORK AUTHORIZED BY BUILDING PERMIT

| Valuation | Time Allowed[1] |
|---|---|
| Under $5,000 | 4 months |
| $5,001 to $25,000 | 6 months |





| | |
|---|---|
| $25,001 to $300,000 | 12 months |
| $300,001 to $1,000,000 | 24 months |
| $1,000,001 to $5,000,000 | 30 months |
| $5,000,001 to $20,000,000 | 36 months |
| Over $20,000,000 | 48 months |

[1]For Site Permits, time allowed may be increased by 50 percent.

An extension of time from the stated periods may be permitted for good reason, provided such requests for an extension are submitted to the Superintendent in writing prior to the end of the time period. Only one extension of time shall be permitted. The extension of time shall not exceed the following time periods:

A. 180 days for site permits.

B. 30 days for Superintendent-initiated code compliance permits with a valuation of less than $25,000.

C. 90 days for all other permits.

The maximum time allowed for Superintendent-initiated code compliance permits shall be 12 months for all permits exceeding $25,000 total valuation.

Where the permit is issued but delayed due to actions before the Board of Permit Appeals, or cases in any court of competent jurisdiction, or is under review by a state or regional regulatory body, the time allowable shall be computed from the date of the final action of the Board of Permit Appeals, court or state or regional regulatory body. When a demolition permit is issued but demolition is delayed under City Planning Code Section 232, the time allowable shall be computed from the date the related building or site permit is issued for the site in question.

(e) Suspension or Revocation. The Superintendent may, in writing, suspend or revoke a permit issued under the provisions of this code whenever the permit has been issued in error or on the basis of incorrect information supplied, or in violation of any ordinance or regulations or any provision of this code.

Any permit issued for which less than the correct permit and plan checking fees were paid shall be considered an invalid permit and no work shall be performed until the complete bill of fees has been paid. Failure to pay the correct fees shall be sufficient grounds for denial of a temporary permit of occupancy or a Permit of Occupancy.

Section 303(f). Notice of Permit Issuance. Within 24 hours after the issuance of a building permit authorizing the types of work described below, notice of such issuance shall be given in the manner set forth below.

((1.For new buildings, the notice shall be posted on a utility pole, or in a manner giving comparable visibility, in the vicinity of the site.))

1. For permits to demolish or erect a building or structure, the permittee shall obtain from the Bureau of Building Inspection a sign containing the following information: permit number; filing date; address and phone number of the agency to contact for information regarding permit issuance; the date of permit issuance; address and phone of agency to contact to appeal issuance of permit; name, address and phone number of permittee. A fee of $10.00 shall be charged at the issuance of the permit to recover Bureau costs in preparing the sign.

((The Superintendent shall charge a fee to permittees in an amount determined appropriate to cover the cost of providing the sign.))The permittee shall cause





the sign to be erected on the site of the property to which the application applies.

The sign shall be clearly visible to passing motorists and passing pedestrians. The minimum dimensions shall be 30 inches by 30 inches, unless the permit relates to a vacant site or a vacant building, in which case the Superintendent may require a sign up to 8 feet wide and 4 feet high upon a determination that the larger sign will provide better public notice. If a larger sign is required, the permittee shall provide it and it shall contain the information set forth above. The sign required herein shall be installed as follows: The bottom edge of the sign shall be at least 6 feet above grade; the face of the sign shall be parallel to the main street frontage, and shall be located 5 feet or less from the street property line; the sign shall be attached to one or more posts substantially embedded into the ground in order to withstand wind or other load factors, or may be attached to an existing front building wall. The background color of the sign shall be white and the color of the text shall be black; the letter size of the first line shall be a minimum of 8 inches high; the size and style of the text shall be such that the message is clear and legible from a distance of 10 feet to a viewer with normal vision.

The permittee shall cause the sign to be erected within 24 hours after a permit is issued. The sign shall remain posted until either the conclusion of the hearing on the permit before the Board of Permit Appeals or the time for filing such appeal has lapsed without an appeal being filed.

The Superintendent shall, after providing the permittee an opportunity to respond to any complaint of noncompliance, revoke any permit where the

applicant has not substantially complied with the provisions of this section or Section 302(a) requiring notice of permit application and issuance unless work under the permit has substantially commenced on the site.

The requirements contained herein and in Section 302(a) relating to notice are not intended to give any right to any person to challenge in any administrative or judicial proceeding any action for which notice is given if such person would not otherwise have the legal right to do so.

2. For a permit which would authorize a structural addition to an existing building, the Bureau shall mail written notice to the owners of properties immediately adjacent to the subject building as shown on the current assessment roll.

3. For a permit which would authorize the tearing down or demolition of a building or structure, written notice shall be mailed to the owners of properties within a three hundred foot radius from the edge of the property on which the proposed demolition work will take place, as shown on the last equalized assessment roll. Notice to interested organizations or groups shall be made as provided in Section 302 of this code.

4. For changes in occupancy per the San Francisco Building Code, notice shall be provided as specified in Part III, Section 6 of the San Francisco Municipal Code. A fee of $18 shall be charged at the issuance of the permit. An additional $4 will be charged for each adjacent building for which posting is required.

(g) Site Permit. A Site Permit may be issued for the construction or



alteration of a structure upon approval of preliminary drawings and before the entire working drawings and specifications of the structure have been completed and submitted for approval, provided the total valuation of the work exceeds $2,500,000.

Such preliminary drawings and specifications shall clearly indicate the nature, character, extent, and value of the work proposed. The application procedure shall generally comply with Section 302(a) through (c) except for the completeness of plans. The permit fees and plan checking fees shall be as set forth in Sections 304(a) and 304(b) and shall be calculated on the basis of the total valuation of the work. No construction work shall be done under the site permit until detailed plans are submitted and are approved. When the detailed plans and specifications are in accordance with the nature, character, extent and valuation indicated by the preliminary plans and specifications, an additional permit will not be required.

Detailed plans for construction may be divided and submitted in accordance with an addenda schedule approved in writing by the Superintendent. In no case shall more than 6 addenda be allowed. A fee of $600 shall be charged upon the issuance of the site permit to recover the additional plan check and clerical costs of this process.

The holder of such permit and addenda shall proceed with approved addenda work at his own risk, without assurance that approvals for the remaining addenda or for the entire building will be granted.

Each addendum shall be considered an application for the purposes of Section 303(a).1.B. When an addendum or Site Permit is cancelled after being

held in abeyance for the stipulated time period, the Site Permit and all approved or pending addenda shall also be deemed to be cancelled. When a Site Permit has been cancelled, an alteration work application shall be required to resume processing. The provisions of Section 304(b).4.A and 4.B shall apply to such alteration work application.

(h) Additional Work, Permit Required. When an approved permit has been issued, a separate permit for alteration work shall be required for any change in work or additional work as set forth hereafter. The fees shall be as set forth in Section 304(a).3 and 304(b), based on the difference in the valuation of the changed work and that of the original permit. The valuation shall be not less than $1. Situations which require a separate permit include the following:

1. The construction differs from the approved plans sufficiently to require revised plans or additional plans to be submitted to the Bureau for approval, including changes in partition layout that impact on other code requirements, changes in framing directions, spans and locations of concentrated loads, and changes in types of materials used.

2. Proposed changes which alter the outside dimensions more than 6 inches either in vertical or horizontal measurement, change the visual appearance through changes in exterior wall materials and windows, change the number of dwelling units in the case of residential property, change the use or occupancy, or decrease the amount of off-street parking provided.

3. The value of the additional work or the value of the changes exceeds 10 percent of the valuation of the approved permit work or $50,000 whichever is





the smaller amount.

4. There is a change in occupancy or use, as defined in this code.

5. There is a change in the construction type in any portion of the building, for any purpose or intent.

6. There is an unusual condition that should require a permit procedure to protect the interest of the public. When the building has been given a final completion, any additional work shall require a separate permit.

7. Any tenant improvement work for Site Permit jobs, including partitions, regardless of whether the value of such work was included in the valuation of the Site Permit.

(i) Replacement of Approved Plans. When the permit holder's set of approved plans and specifications are not available as required by Section 303(b), a duplicate set of approved plans shall be obtained from the Bureau. The permit holder shall submit a set of unapproved documents, along with a notarized certification that they are identical to the previously approved set, except for notations by City agencies. The Bureau shall then copy such notations from its retained set to the duplicate set, and [illegible]p the duplicate set APPROVED.

The charge for this service shall be $3.00 for each sheet and $5.00 for each 50 pages of specifications or fraction thereof.

FEES

Sec. 304. (a) Permit Fees. 1. General. The fee for each permit shall be based on the valuation of the work, and on whether the permit is for the

erection of a new building or for alteration work. The alteration work fee schedule shall be used for all permits to alter, repair, add to, or perform other work to an existing building which requires a permit, or to modify the scope of a valid permit as required in Section 303(h).

At the time an application for a building permit is filed, an application fee of (($17.00)) $15 shall be paid which shall be credited toward the permit fee. The application fee shall not be refundable in the event a permit is not obtained, except for the case where an application was filed at the request or on the advice of the Bureau for work which subsequently are determined to not require a permit.

The determination of valuation under any provision of this code shall be made by the Superintendent. The valuation shall mean the final valuation upon completion of all construction work for which the permit is issued, as well as all finish work, painting, roofing, mechanical, electrical, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, elevators, fire extinguishing systems, and all other permanently installed equipment and construction, even though other permits to perform such work may be required.

The valuation shall be calculated at the time of permit issuance according to a cost schedule posted in the office of the Bureau of Building Inspection. The cost schedule shall be adjusted every 6 months based on construction cost data reported by Marshall and Swift, Valuation Engineers. Contractor overhead and profit shall be reflected in the schedule.

When addenda processing does not begin within 6 months after the issuance of a Site Permit, an application for alteration work shall be filed at the time





the first addendum is submitted. The valuation to be used on the application shall be the difference between the valuation for the project calculated with the current posted schedule, and the valuation used in calculating the fees for the Site Permit.

2. New Buildings. The building permit fee for a new building shall be as follows:

(( 

| Valuation | Building Permit Fee |
|---|---|
| To and including $1,000 | $17.00 (Minimum fee) |
| Over $1,000 to and including $10,000 | $17.00 plus $13.20 per $1,000 or fraction thereof, for part over $1,000 |
| Over $10,000 to and including $25,000 | $135.80 plus $8.30 per $1,000 or fraction thereof, for part over $10,000 |
| Over $25,000 to and including $50,000 | $260.30 plus $7.25 per $1,000 or fraction thereof, for part over $25,000 |
| Over $50,000 | $441.55 plus $2.65 per $1,000 or fraction thereof for part over $50,000)) |

| Total Valuation | Fee[1] |
|---|---|
| $1.00 to $500.00 | $15.00 |
| $501.00 to $2,000.00 | $15.00 for the first $500.00 plus $2.00 for each additional $100.00 or fraction thereof, to and including $2,000.00 |
| $2,001.00 to $25,000.00 | $45.00 for the first $2,000.00 plus $9.00 for each additional $1,000.00 or fraction thereof, to and including $25,000.00 |
| $25,001.00 to $50,000.00 | $252.00 for the first $25,000.00 plus $6.50 for each additional $1,000.00 or fraction thereof, to and including $50,000.00 |
| $50,001.00 to $100,000.00 | $414.50 for the first $50,000.00 plus $4.50 for each additional $1,000.00 or fraction thereof, to and including $100,000.00 |
| $100,001.00 to $500,000.00 | $639.50 for the first $100,000.00 plus $3.50 for each additional $1,000.00 or fraction thereof |
| $500,001.00 to $1,000,000.00 | $2039.50 for the first $500,000.00 plus $3.00 for each additional $1,000.00 or fraction thereof, to and including $1,000,000.00 |





| | |
|---|---|
| $1,000,001.00 and up | $3539.50 for the first $1,000,000.00 plus $2.00 for each additional $1,000.00 or fraction thereof. |

The application shall state at the time of filing whether the permit is to include the partition and other tenant work for the entire building. If this work is not to be included, the valuation shall be reduced accordingly. The installation of such work shall then require permits for alteration work, the fees for which shall be in accordance with Subsection 3 below.

3. Alteration Work. The building permit fee for alterations and additions to an existing building shall be ((as follows:)) 1.3 times the fee from the new construction table for the first $100,000 of valuation and 1.25 times the fee from the new construction table for that portion of valuation in excess of $100,000.

((

| Valuation | Building Permit Fee[1] |
|---|---|
| To and including $1,000 | $17.00 (Minimum fee) |
| Over $1,000 to and including $25,000 | $17.00 plus $14.45 per $1,000 or fraction thereof, for part over $1,000 |
| Over $25,000 to and including $50,000 | $363.80 plus $8.35 per $1,000 or fraction thereof, for part over $25,000 )) |

((Over $50,000.....$572.55 plus $3.20 per $1,000
or fraction thereof,
for part over $50,000 ))

(([1]When the permit is for first-time tenant improvement work in a new building, and the value of such work was included in the valuation of the original building permit, the valuation for each alteration permit for part or all of such work shall be shown as $1,000 and only the minimum $17.00 permit fee shall be collected.))

When a permit for alteration work authorizes a structural addition to an existing building, thus requiring notification pursuant to Section 303(f), an additional fee of((($10.00)) $12.00 shall be added to the total permit fee as hereinabove determined.

(b) Plan Review Fees. 1. General. A plan review fee shall be paid at the time of filing of an application for building permit for which plans are required pursuant to Section 302(b). The fee shall be based on the valuation determined by Section 304(a). For new and alteration work, the plan review fee shall be 65% of the new construction permit fee table.

((2. New Buildings. The plan review fee for new buildings shall be as follows:

| Valuation | Plan Review Fee[1] |
|---|---|
| To and including $1,000 | $6.20 |
| Over $1,000 to and including $5,000 | $6.20 plus $5.35 per $1,000 or fraction thereof, for part over $1,000)) |





((Over $5,000 to and including $10,000 .....$27.60 plus $3.80 per $1,000
or fraction thereof,
for part over $5,000

Over $10,000 to and including $50,000 .....$46.60 plus $3.05 per $1,000
or fraction thereof,
for part over $10,000

Over $50,000 to and including $200,000..... $168.60 plus $1.95 per $1,000
or fraction thereof,
for part over $50,000

Over $200,000 to and including $2,000,000 ..... $461.10 plus $1.25 per $1,000
or fraction thereof,
for part over $200,000

Over $2,000,000 ..... $2,711.10 plus $1.10 per $1,000
of fraction thereof, for
part over $2,000,000))

((3. Alteration Work. The plan review fee for alterations and additions to an existing building shall be as follows:))

((Valuation Plan Review Fee[1]

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| To and including $1,000 ..... | $8.60 | |
| Over $1,000 to and including $5,000 ..... | $8.60 | plus $6.35 per $1,000 or fraction thereof, for part over $1,000 |
| Over $5,000 to and including $10,000 ..... | $34.00 | plus $5.35 per $1,000 or fraction thereof, for part over $5,000 |
| Over $10,000 to and including $50,000 ..... | $60.75 | plus $3.80 per $1,000 or fraction thereof, for part over $10,000 |
| Over $50,000 to and including $200,000 ..... | $212.75 | plus $2.35 per $1,000 or fraction thereof, for part over $50,000 |
| Over $200,000 to and including $2,000,000 ..... | $565.25 | plus $1.60 per $1,000 or fraction thereof, for part over $200,000 |
| Over $2,000,000 ..... | $3,445.15 | plus $1.25 per $1,000 or fraction thereof, for part over $2,000,000 )) |

[1]When the plans are for first-time tenant improvement work in a new building, and the value of such work was included in the valuation of the original building permit, the valuation for each alteration permit for part or all of such work shall be shown as (($1,000)) $500 and only the minimum(($8.60)) permit fee and plan review fee shall be collected.





4. Reduced Plan Review Fee. A. A reduced plan review fee shall be collected for reviewing plans identical to that filed within one year and for which the full plan review fee was paid. For this purpose, plans may be considered identical when they contain only such minor differences as exterior finishes on roof or wall sidings, or if they are identical but opposite hand. The reduced plan review fee for the second and each subsequent identical plans within the stated time period shall be 25 percent of the full fee as set forth in this section, but shall not be less than $15. To obtain this reduction the owner shall submit his copy of the original approved plans, for which the full fee was paid.

B. When the plans for a building are substantially changed at the initiation and option of the applicant, from those that have been submitted and reviewed, so as to constitute a new design both architecturally and structurally, the additional plan review fee shall be the fee indicated in the schedule of fees for the value of the entire part of the building affected by the changes. ((If only substantial architectural changes are involved or only substantial structural changes are involved the applicable fee shall be half the additional fee indicated.))

C. A 20 percent reduction in plan review fees shall be applicable where a qualified third party is employed by the applicant to review and check for compliance with local and state law in those permit applications for new buildings with a valuation exceeding $2,000,000 or such figures as may be determined by the Superintendent and published in an Administrative Bulletin, for alteration permit applications for revisions during construction of the new shell building, and for the first tenant improvement in the unimproved space in the construction of a shell building at the option of the applicant, provided that:

(1) A qualified third party will review the entire plans for the building construction or alteration process, including addenda to Site Permits where applicable, and revisions to plans during construction in accordance with Administrative Bulletin No. B-79, and subsequent revisions thereof, issued by the Superintendent; and,

(2) A signed statement is submitted by the applicant stating that the same third party will be responsible for reviewing the plans to be submitted throughout the entire plan review process including the plans for any alteration permit application described hereinabove. The statement will also provide that the applicant will pay the entire plan checking fee which otherwise would have been required under Section 304(b) at the time of the submittal of the application in the event that the original third party does not complete the plan review for all the plans submitted by the applicant, except tenant work.

A third party plan review may be separated into the three separate categories of architectural, structural and energy (as defined by Administrative Bulletin No. B-79) reviews. In the event that not all categories are reviewed by the third party, the reduction of plan review fees shall be as follows: 7 percent for architectural plan review, 8 percent for structural plan review, and 5 percent for energy plan review. These percentages of reduction for each category of plan review may be modified periodically by the Superintendent, provided that such modifications be published and set forth in Administrative Bulletin No. B-79 or its revisions, and provided that any modification be reasonably related to a current evaluation of the staffing availability and nature of permit application plan review in the Bureau within the previous 6-month period.

For the purposes of this subsection, the shell of a building shall be the building, excluding all tenant improvements. Tenant improvements shall





include all those items within the space to be occupied or leased by the tenant or occupant such as, but not limited to lighting, partitions, floor covering, relocation of sprinklers, suspended ceilings, and decorations.

(c) Expiration of Plan Review. See Section 303(a).1.F.

(d) Investigation Fees: Work Without a Permit. Whenever any work, for which a permit is required under the provisions of this code, has been started without a permit subsequent to September 1, 1960 and where no specific additional fees are imposed as penalties as provided in this Chapter, a special investigation shall be made before a permit may be issued for such work.

An investigation fee equal to 9 times the permit fee called for in this code shall be collected at the time the permit is issued, in addition to the permit fee. The investigation fee shall not exceed $2,000. Where only part of a total job has been commenced without a permit, the investigation fee shall be based upon the amount of work done without a permit. The cost of any work on the building, in conjunction with the investigation, shall be borne by the owner.

If any person, firm or corporation, or agent thereof, or any person acting for or on behalf of either thereof, claims that the work without permit was done prior to September 12, 1960 such claim shall be made by filing a notarized affidavit together with substantiating data.

The person, firm or corporation, or agent thereof, or any person acting for or on behalf of either thereof, may appeal the amount of the investigation fee if he can provide just cause, such as unfamiliarity with this code or demonstrable negligence on the part of one of his employees. Appeals shall be filed with the Board of Permit Appeals in the manner provided in Part 3 of the San Francisco Municipal Code, which filing shall be subject to the fees and rules of the Board of Permit Appeals.

The Board of Permit Appeals, in reviewing the appeal of the investigation fee assessed for doing work without a permit, may reduce the amount of said fee but in no case shall such reduced investigation fee be less than 2 times the amount of the permit fee as called for in Section 304 of this code.

(e) Fee Refunds. 1. Permit Fees. When no work has been done and the project has been abandoned or the permit expired, the building permit fee paid, less $20, shall be refunded upon written request of the permit holder, when such request is made within one year of expiration.

2. Plan Review Fees. When an application is withdrawn, the plan review fee paid, less $20, may be refunded upon written request in the case when no site inspection had been made by Bureau personnel, and plan review had not started within any division of the Bureau. For other cases, the amount of refund, if any, shall be determined by the Superintendent, based on the amount of permit processing work already done on the application at the time it was withdrawn. Requests for refunds must be made within 30 days of withdrawal.

If the Superintendent determines that an error has been made in the assessment of fees, a refund for the portion determined to be in error may be made upon written request by the applicant.

(f) Strong Motion Instrumentation Fee. 1. General. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 2705 of the Public Resources Code of the State of California, a fee equal to 0.0001 times the valuation of the permit shall be collected for all permits issued, except for demolition and sign permits. All such fees collected shall be handled in accordance with the provisions of Section 2706 of said Public Resources Code.

(2) Strong Motion Fund. That portion of the Strong Motion Instrumentation fee retained by the Bureau as provided for in Section 2705 shall be deposited into a special Strong Motion Revolving Fund established by the City



Controller. Funds from this revolving fund shall be used, subject to the approval of the Director and Chief Administrative Officer, to defray personnel and equipment costs incurred in carrying out the State mandate. The Strong Motion Revolving Fund shall continue from year to year, and shall not be included in the Cash Reserve Fund.

(((g) Continuance of Fee Schedules. The Board of Supervisors shall review the fees specified in this Chapter on or before June 30th of each year, and shall by resolution authorize the continuance of said fees for the next ensuing fiscal year; otherwise, the fees shall revert to those in effect on June 30, 1982.))

/8 (g) Fee Review. The Director of Public Works shall cause an annual report of fees to be made and filed with the Controller as set forth in Section 3.17-2 of the San Francisco Administrative Code. The Controller shall review the report and file it with the Board of Supervisors along with a proposed ordinance readjusting the fee rates as necessary.

(h) Back Check Defined. Back Check is defined as: (1) that time spent checking applicant initiated revisions to plans regardless of their effect on valuation or scope and size of the project, or (2) any additional plan check performed on required revisions to plans subsequent to the initial revision submittal.

(i) Back Check Fee. For each hour or fraction thereof, of time spent back checking, a back check fee of $42.00 shall be charged.

INSPECTIONS

Sec. 305. (a) General. All construction or work for which a permit is required shall be subject to inspection by the Superintendent, and certain types of construction shall have continuous inspection by special inspectors as specified in Section 306.

It shall be the duty of the permit applicant to cause the work to be accessible and exposed for inspection purposes. Neither the Superintendent nor the City shall be liable for expense entailed in the removal or replacement of any material required to allow inspection.

In the absence of evidence as to the proper location of the lot on which a building is to be erected, for which a building permit has been, or may be, issued, the Superintendent may require the owner to have the lot surveyed and staked by a registered land surveyor, or registered civil engineer, so that the proper location of the building on the lot may be determined. A copy of this survey shall be filed with the application for the permit.

(b) Inspection Requests. It shall be the duty of the person doing the work authorized by a permit to notify the Superintendent that such work is ready for inspection. The Superintendent may require that every request for inspection be filed at least one working day before such inspection is desired. Such request may be in writing or by telephone at the option of the Superintendent.

It shall be the duty of the person requesting any inspections required by this code to provide access to and means for proper inspection of such work.

(c) Inspection Record Card. No requirements.

(d) Approval Required. No work shall be done on any part of the building or structure beyond the point indicated in each successive inspection without first obtaining the approval of the Superintendent. Such approval shall be given only after an inspection shall have been made of each successive step in the construction as indicated by each of the inspections required in Subsection (e) below. Any portions which do not comply with the law shall be corrected and no such portion shall be covered or concealed with additional work until approved.

There shall be a final inspection and approval on all buildings and structures when completed and ready for occupancy or use. An electrical or plumbing final approval shall not be given or posted unless it is ascertained by the Superintendent that no building permit is required. See Section 307 for Certificate of Occupancy requirements.

(e) Required Inspections. The Superintendent, upon notification from the



permit holder or his agent, shall make the following inspections and shall either approve that portion of the construction as completed or shall notify the permit holder or his agent wherein the same fails to comply with this code:

1. Foundations. When the excavation for footings is complete and footing forms and required reinforcing steel are in place, but before any concrete is placed.

2. Reinforced Concrete. When reinforcing is in place in walls, floor and roof framing and other concrete members, and before any concrete is poured or placed. All reinforcing steel shall be visible for inspection.

3. Structural Steel. When structural steel framework, or any structural steel member of a building, is in place and before being covered or concealed in any manner.

4. Frame. When all roof, wall and floor framing, fire-stopping, blocking and bracing, and installation of insulation is complete, and all pipes, chimneys and flues are in place and before any lathing, plaster backing, application of wallboard or sheathing is applied, except gypsum lath installation. All nailing and connections shall be visible for inspection.

5. Fire-rated Suspended Ceilings. After the installation of the hangars, lighting fixtures and air diffusers, the protective fixture boxes and the main suspended ceiling members and before the ceiling is installed.

6. Final. When the construction work has been completed, and the structure is ready for occupancy, but before it is occupied. See also Sec. 307(d).

Whenever any work, on which called inspections are required, is covered or concealed before first having been inspected, or whenever work is performed and concealed without a permit first having been obtained, and in cases where it is necessary to determine if the building or parts thereof are considered unsafe due to any of the conditions as set forth in Section 203, and are, or are not, in compliance with the building laws which the Superintendent

is required to enforce, the Superintendent may require that such work be exposed for examination. The work of exposing or re-covering or reconstructing such portions of the building or structure shall not entail expense to the City and County or any of its officials or employees, but shall be at the expense of the owner.

(f) Other Inspections. In addition to the called inspections specified above, the Superintendent may make or require other inspections of any construction work to ascertain compliance with the provisions of this code and other laws which are enforced by the Bureau.

(g) Reinspections. ((No requirements)) A reinspection fee may be assessed for each inspection or reinspection when such portion of work for which inspection is called is not complete or when corrections called for are not made.

This subsection is not to be interpreted as requiring reinspection fees the first time a job is rejected for failure to comply with the requirements of this code, but as controlling the practice of calling for inspections before the job is ready for such inspection or reinspection.

Reinspection fees may be assessed when the Inspection Record Card is not properly posted on the work site, the approved plans are not readily available to the inspector, for failure to provide access on the date for which inspection is requested, or for deviating from plans requiring the approval of the Superintendent.

A reinspection fee of $40 shall be charged and collected per procedures established by the Superintendent.

SURVEY OF NON-RESIDENTIAL BUILDING

Sec. 308. (a) General. The owner or agent of a building not used for Group R occupancy may apply to the Bureau to make a physical inspection of the



premises for compliance with this code. All levels and areas of the building, or areas defined by area separation walls, shall be inspected, including basements and garages. Upon completion of the inspection, the Bureau shall provide the applicant with a report listing any violations. All such violations shall be corrected within 6 months of the dated of the report. For inspection of Group R occupancy buildings, see the Housing Code.

(b) Fees. Inspection fees shall be paid at the time application is made, and shall be calculated with the following schedule for all occupancies :

((1. Group A occupancies, and B and H occupancies used in warehousing:))

((($230)) $300 for the first 1,000 square feet or fraction thereof, and

((($20)) $25 for each additional 1,000 square feet or fraction thereof,

with a total maximum fee of ((($1,000)) $2,000 .

((2. All other occupancies:

Same schedule as above with a total maximum fee of $2,000.))

BUILDING NUMBERS AND FEES

Sec. 312. Every person shall obtain the official street number at the time he obtains a building permit and shall place the numbers so assigned on the building in a place and manner acceptable to the Director. All numbers must be made of substantial and permanent material and must be so affixed as not to be easily effaced or removed. Any additional numbers assigned to a building shall be subject to the provisions of this section.

The fee for each numbered building entrance shall be ((($10.00)) $12.00.

PERMITS AND FEES FOR MOVING BUILDINGS

Sec. 316 (a) General. The applicant for a permit for moving a building shall pay a permit fee of ((($40.00)) $48.00 for inspection of the moving work. (See Part III, San Francisco Municipal Code, relating to deposits.)

(b) Application. Before a permit may be issued for moving a building, a building permit must be obtained for the necessary alterations and additions to the building on the new site. The 2 permits are to be issued simultaneously. The applications for the alterations at the new site are to be accompanied by complete plans showing floor plans, elevations, plot plan, and such other information as contained in Section 302(c) as may be required by the Superintendent.

EXCEPTION: The provisions of Section 316(d) requiring the payment of an investigation fee for the investigation by the Department of Public Works and the holding of public hearing may not apply to a building being relocated on the same lot or parcel of land, or moved to a location within 300 feet of the lot on which the building is at present located, but all other provisions will be applicable.

(c) Preliminary Approval. After approval by the Department of City Planning, the applications shall be referred to all interested departments or bureaus for a report of the requirements for compliance with the local and state building laws. The applications and plans shall then be returned to the Bureau.

(d) Investigation. 1. General. Upon payment of an investigation fee of ((\$35.00) \$42.00 by the applicant to the Bureau, the Director will order an investigation made to determine compliance with the intent of this section, which is the regulation of the moving of structures into other neighborhoods and new locations in the same neighborhood in such a manner as not to lessen the esthetical standards of the neighborhood as established by existing structures.

The investigation shall be based on the adaptability of the building to the





new site in relation to the neighborhood pattern and the age and appearance of the building. Upon completion of this investigation, the investigator shall furnish the Superintendent with a report of his findings. Based upon this report the Superintendent shall recommend to the Director what changes, if any, should be made in the orientation or architecture of the building.

2. Public Hearing. A public hearing shall be held by the Director or his deputy, on the day and hour and at the place designated in a notice of hearing posted on the property by the Bureau. The hearing shall not be held until 5, and no later than 10, working days after the posting of the notice.

The hearing is to consider any and all protests from property owners within a radius of 300 feet from the property on which the building is to be located. The Director's decision regarding the Superintendent's recommendations shall be final.

3. Issuance of Permit. Upon determination of compliance with all laws, rules and regulations and approval of the Director, the applications and plans shall be approved by the Superintendent, for issuance of the permit. The permit and plan review fees for moved buildings shall be per Sections 304(a).3 and 304(b).3.

(e) Removal of Debris. Immediately after the building is moved and before it may be occupied at the new site, the permittee must remove all debris and remove all walls and footings above grade except where the wall provides support to adjacent property. All excavated areas must be filled in, and a permit obtained, all in compliance with Chapter 70, or protected by substantial fences not less than 5 feet in height and properly maintained.

PERMIT AND FEES FOR DEMOLITION OF BUILDINGS

Sec. 318. A permit shall be required for demolishing any structure. The permit fee shall be (($20)) $22 for every 25 feet, or fractional part thereof, of frontage for each story when the structure is of Type II-1 Hr, II-N or V construction, and (($30)) $33 when of any other type of construction. Where there is no street frontage, or where there are 2 street frontages, the frontage for fee computation shall be the shortest side of the building.

An additional fee comprised of (($40.00)) $48 for notification to adjacent property owners pursuant to Section 302(a) and (($30.00)) $36 for notification to adjacent property owners pursuant to Section 303(f), shall be added to the total permit fee as determined herein. Where tenant notification is required per Section 302 (a) an additional fee of $27 shall be charged.

The demolition work shall not begin until fifteen days after the date on which the permit is issued. A demolition permit shall be cancelled 180 days after issuance, provided, however, that if work under the demolition permit is delayed under City Planning Code Section 232, the demolition permit shall be cancelled 180 days after the issuance of the related building or site permit for the site in question. Only one extension of time of 90 days may be granted upon written request of the Superintendent. See Chapter 56 for general requirements.

APPROVAL OF MATERIALS, METHODS OF CONSTRUCTION, FABRICATORS, AND TESTING AGENCIES

Sec. 319. (a) General. This section is applicable to approvals granted by the Bureau, for use on a general basis in San Francisco, for alternate materials not covered in this code; for plant fabrication of building components which normally require special inspection; and for testing agencies.

Any approval shall be void if, after approval, the design or nature of





the device or material, the method of construction, the quality control program, or the capabilities of the agency, are found to deviate in any way from that represented to the Bureau of the conditions of approval, without first obtaining written authorization from the Superintendent.

Any approval may be suspended or revoked by the Superintendent if he finds the approved device or material, method of construction, or quality control program does not meet the requirements of Sections 105 or 306 to such an extent that the approval should not have been granted.

(b) Fees. A fee shall be charged for an application for the issuance, modification, or renewal of a general approval, according to the following schedule:

General Approval--initial or reinstatement--(($60)) $100

General Approval--modification or revision--(($20)) $35

General Approval--renewal (every 2 years)--(($30)) $50

The fees specified are application fees and are not refundable regardless of whether the action taken is an approval or a denial or whether a subsequent request for hearing by the Board of Examiners is filed, except for the case where an application was filed at the request or on the advice of the Bureau for situations which subsequently are determined to not require an approval.

Each approval shall become null and void unless renewed within the specified period.

(c) Building Inspection Revolving Fund. All fees collected per Section 319(b) shall be deposited into a special Building Inspection Revolving Fund established by the City Controller. Funds from this revolving fund shall be used by the Bureau, subject to the approval of the Director and the Chief Administrative Officer, to defray the costs incurred for personnel, supplies, and equipment in evaluating the applications, maintaining files, disseminating information on the approvals, and reviewing plans and making inspections for

compliance with the conditions of approvals. Any charges established by the Director for copies of approvals, publications or other Bureau records shall also be allocated to this fund to cover such activities. The Building Inspection Revolving Fund shall continue from year to year, and shall not be included in the Cash Reserve Fund.

BOILERS, WATER HEATERS AND PRESSURE VESSELS

Sec. 320 (a) General. High and low pressure boilers, direct-fired water heaters, indirect-heated hot water tanks and unfired pressure vessels shall comply with the provisions of this section unless specifically exempted herein. Nothing contained elsewhere in this code shall be construed as evidence of proper performance or adequate capacity of any of the appliances mentioned above.

(b) Permit to Install. It shall be unlawful for any person to install any of the appliances mentioned in Section 320(a) unless a Permit to Install is obtained from the Bureau, and the permit fee in Section 320(e) is paid.

In addition to the Permit to Install, a building permit at the minimum fee per Section 304(a).3 shall be required for a new boiler installation except where a building permit for other work has been issued which includes such boiler installation.

(c) Permit to Operate. When the initial installation is found to be in a safe condition for operation, a Permit to Operate shall be issued by the Superintendent. The permit shall be posted in a conspicuous place on or near the boiler or tank. When an installation is inspected by an insurance company, the equivalent of a Permit to Operate issued by the company shall be similarly posted.

(d) Renewal of Permit to Operate. A Permit to Operate shall be valid for a period of time equal to the interval between reinspection as specified in



Section 320(f). When the reinspection finds that the installation is in compliance with the applicable regulations, the Permit to Operate shall be renewed upon payment of the renewal fee. When the reinspection finds that repairs are required, such repairs shall be made within 30 days from the date of notice by the Bureau, unless an extension is granted by the Superintendent. A 30-day temporary permit may be issued, and renewed for not more than 30 days, pending the making of replacements and repairs. For cause, and after notice and a hearing, any permit issued pursuant to this section may be revoked.

Reinspections may be made by an insurance company, provided the company submits a copy of each internal inspection and a copy of the first data of the appliances to the Bureau, and issues the equivalent of a Permit to Operate.

(e) Permit Fees. The Permit to Install, together with the initial Permit to Operate, shall be charged a fee based on the schedule below. The fee for renewal of a Permit to Operate, when made by the Bureau, shall be based on the same schedule. Such fees shall be paid whether or not a Permit to Operate is issued. The fee shall be increased to twice the billed amount when payment is not received by the Bureau within 60 days of billing.

1. High Pressure Boilers -- reinspection required every 12 months.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 0 to 20 sq. ft. of heating surface..... | (($25.00)) | $38 |
| Over 20 to 75 sq. ft. of heating surface..... | (( 35.00)) | 53 |
| Over 75 to 250 sq. ft. of heating surface..... | (( 45.00)) | 68 |
| Over 250 to 500 sq. ft. of heating surface..... | (( 55.00)) | 83 |
| Over 500 to 1,500 sq. ft. of heating surface..... | (( 70.00)) | 105 |
| Over 1,500 to 2,500 sq. ft. of heating surface..... | (( 85.00)) | 128 |
| Over 2,500 sq. ft. of heating surface..... | (( 50.00)) | 75 |

per hour with a $100.00 minimum

2. Low Pressure Boilers -- reinspection required every 24 months.

Boilers without Manholes.....((\$45.00)) \$68

Boilers with Manholes.....(( 75.00)) 112

3. Unfired Pressure Vessels --reinspection required every 36 and 60 months for portable and fixed vessels, respectively.

36 inches or less in diameter.....((\$40.00)) \$60

36 inches or less in diameter, with manhole.....(( 50.00)) 75

Over 36 but not more than 55 inches in diameter.....(( 60.00)) 90

Over 55 but not more than 96 inches in diameter.....(( 68.00)) 102

Over 96 in diameter.....(( 50.00)) 75

per hour with a \$80.00 minimum

4.((Water Heaters and Water Tanks)) Hot water supply tank -reinspection when requested by owner.

((Direct-fired heaters))

121 Gallons to 200 Gallons.....((\$25.00)) \$38

201 Gallons to 300 Gallons.....(( 35.00)) 53

Over 300 Gallons.....(( 50.00)) 75

((Indirect-fired tanks)) Hot Water Supply Tanks

121 Gallons to 250 Gallons.....(( 25.00)) 38

Over 250 Gallons.....(( 40.00)) 60

5. Boiler Flues - Reinspection required at time of boiler inspection.

| | New Installation | Reinspection |
|---|---|---|
| Heat Reclaimers - | 50.00 | - |
| Flues - | 25.00 | 15.00 |

((When the objects or installation have not been prepared, or made accessible, for inspection at the time for which the inspection has been))





((agreed to or called by the owner, an additional inspection fee equal to one-half of the applicable fee in the schedule above shall be charged.))

An additional inspection fee equal to one-half of the applicable fee in the schedule above shall be charged when:

A: The objects have not been prepared, or made accessible, for inspection at the time for which the inspection has been agreed to or called by the owner.

B: The initial installation has not been completed at the time the required inspection has been called for by the installing contractor.

All inspections made on Saturdays, Sundays or holidays, or on week days before 8:00a.m. or after 5:00p.m., shall be charged two times the applicable fees when the appointment time was requested by the owner for his convenience.

(f) Violations. 1. Operating without a permit. Every person owning or having the custody, management or operation of a boiler, water heater or hot water tank, who operates it without a permit issued pursuant to this Chapter shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and subject to the penalties set forth in Section 205. When the Superintendent is made aware of any boiler or air tank operating without a valid Permit to Operate, he shall cause the operation to be stopped until an inspection has been made, the applicable fee paid, and a Permit to Operate issued. The installation or operation of a boiler or air tank without a valid permit shall be subject to the investigation fee in Section 304(d).

2. Mismanagement of boilers. Every engineer, or other person having charge of any boiler, water heater or hot water tank, who willfully, or from ignorance or from gross neglect, creates, or allows to be created, such an undue quantity of pressure as to burst or break the tank or boiler, or to cause any other accident whereby human life is endangered, is guilty of a misdemeanor. When a death is caused by such an accident, the punishment shall be by imprisonment for not less than 1 year, by a fine of \$1,000, or by both.

It is the responsibility of the owner to have all oil burning equipment operated by a qualified attendant, who must not be absent from the plant for a period in excess of that provided in the Boiler Safety Orders issued by the State of California.

(g) Technical Requirements. See Chapter 21 of the Uniform Mechanical Code.

(h) Exempt Installations. The following installations and situations are exempt from the requirements of this section:

1. Direct-fired water heaters and indirect-fired hot water tanks having a volume capacity of 120 gallons or less. Such appliances shall comply with the Plumbing Code and be installed under a valid Plumbing Permit.

2. Fixed air pressure tanks and steam pressure vessels with a maximum pressure of 15 psi.

3. Installations which are under the jurisdiction or inspection of the State or Federal government.

4. Air pressure tanks of 1 1/2cu. ft. or less in capacity, installed as part of a motor vehicle or its trucking or accessory equipment, and not subject to a maximum pressure of more than 150 psi.

5. Air pressure tanks, boilers and tanks installed on units of transportation operated under the jurisdiction of the Interstate Commerce Commission or the California Public Utilities Commission.

6. Boilers on automobiles and road vehicles.

This section does not limit the authority of the Superintendent to enforce general or special orders of the Department of Industrial Relations, State of California.

CHIMNEY, FLUE PERMITS AND FEES

Sec. 321 (a) . A flue permit shall be required to erect, construct, alter or





repair any chimney or flue except when it is a grease flue or is constructed of masonry. A separate flue permit shall be required for each flue or chimney. Grease and masonry flues and chimneys shall require building permits per Section 301.

In all buildings, each chimney or flue is ((\$10.00)) \$11.00 .

Grease flues shall not be included under this section, but shall require a building permit.

A permit fee of ((\$10.00)) \$11.00 shall be payable at the time the permit is issued. Permits for chimneys or flues in new buildings may be issued in prepaid books. The Director and the Controller are hereby authorized to make the necessary rules and regulations for the issuance, use, accounting, and return of the permits issued in book form.

Sec. 321(b) A boiler flue permit shall be required to:

1. Install, alter, or replace any boiler flue or section thereof.

2. Install any approved type heat reclaimer or other approved type device within a boiler gas flue.

For boiler flue fees see Sec. 320(e)5.

PERMITS AND FEES FOR TEMPORARY BLEACHERS AND REVIEWING STANDS

Sec. 322. (a) General. A permit is required for the construction and erection of temporary bleachers or reviewing stands. The Superintendent may require that temporary bleachers and reviewing stands be inspected by a registered civil engineer, and found to be in compliance with all provisions of this code, before it is permitted to be used by the public.

(b) Fees. The permit fee for a temporary bleacher or reviewing stand shall be based on its seating capacity, and shall be ((\$15)) \$16 for the first 1,000 seats or fraction thereof, and ((\$7.50)) \$8.00 for each additional 1,000 or fraction thereof.

For permanent bleachers and grandstands, the permit and fee requirements of Sections 301 and 304 shall apply.

GARAGE DOOR PERMITS AND FEES

Sec. 323. A garage door permit shall be required for the installation of such doors in existing buildings. A permit fee of (($7.50)) $8.00 shall be payable at the time the permit is issued. Permits for such doors in private garages may be issued in prepaid books. The Director and the Controller are hereby authorized to make the necessary rules and regulations for the issuance, use, accounting and return of the permits issued in book form.

The provisions of this Section shall not apply where structural alterations are made, or are required in connection with the installation of garage doors. This Section also shall not apply to the alteration, repair, or replacement of garage doors in public garages. In all these cases, the permit and fee requirements of Sections 301 and 304 shall apply.

FEES FOR REPRODUCTION OF REPORTS, RECORDS, AND DOCUMENTS FOR THE PUBLIC

Sec. 324. In order to provide for the cost of reproducing inspection reports, records, documents, and other material in the Bureau of Building Inspection files for the public, including records on microfilm, a reproduction fee shall be required payable in advance. Fees shall be chargeable to all persons, as well as City departments; when such reproduction is in response to subpoenas of records, the attorney requesting such records shall pay the fees. All fees collected shall be deposited into the Building Inspection Revolving Fund.

An authorized City department that is resposible for enforcement of state and local codes and ordinances shall be exempt from the payment of reproduction charges for those reports, records and documents that are necessary for use in





effecting compliance with said codes and ordinances.

The fees shall be determined based upon the number of pages, type of microfilm record, or size of microfilm hard copy enlargement to be reproduced, and the number of copies required. The minimum charge per request shall be (($2)) $2.50 . The reproductions shall be made only after payment of the estimated charges; or when an estimate is not possible a non-refundable deposit of (($2)) $2.50 shall be paid, which shall be credited against the actual charges. All costs of reproduction shall require the pickup of said reproduced material at the office of the Bureau of Building Inspection unless costs of delivery are provided. The Director may make any other rules or regulations necessary to provide for the reproduction of material consistent with the intent of this Section.

The fees for reproduction services shall be as follows:

Electrostatic Copy

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Each page or side of page, first copy | (($ .25)) | .30 |
| Additional copies of page or side of page | (( .10)) | .12 |
| Microfilm copy, microfilm duplicates (diazo copy) | | |
| 16mm aperture duplicard--applications | (( .25)) | .30 |
| 16mm aperture duplicards from roll film | (( .50)) | .60 |
| 35mm duplicards from roll film | (( .75)) | .90 |
| 35mm duplicards, non-roll film | (( .50)) | .60 |
| 4" x 6" microfiche jacket duplicate | (( 1.00)) | 1.20 |

Microfilm Hard Copy Prints

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 8-1/2" x 11" copy from 16mm roll film | (($ .75)) | $0.90 |
| 24" x 18" copy from 35mm roll film | ((1.50)) | 1.80 |
| "Half sized" copy from 35mm roll film | (( 1.00)) | 1.20 |

8-1/2" x 11" copy from 16mm frame in aperture card or microfiche jacket.....(( .50)) 0.60

Sign Permits And Fees

Sec. 325. (a) General. A sign regulated under Chapter 46 shall not be erected or altered until a sign permit has been obtained for such work. Application for a permit shall be made at the Bureau on supplied forms. Where signs are illuminated by electric lighting, a separate permit shall be obtained as required in the Electrical Code. Replacement of copy on the face of a sign, without affecting the structural members or the attachment to a building, structure, or the ground, shall not require a sign permit.

(b) Permit Fees. Permit fees for signs shall be based upon the type of sign, whether electric, non-electric or ground sign, and area of sign face. Where sign is multi-faced, one-half the total sign face area shall be used for fee determination.

Sign permit fees shall be as follows:

| Type of Sign | Area Limits | Fee |
|---|---|---|
| Electric and Non-electric | Up to 50 sq. ft. | (($15.00)) $16.50 |
| | 51 to 100 sq. ft. | (($15.00)) $16.50 plus (($1.70)) $1.90 per each additional 10 square feet or fraction thereof over 50 sq. ft. |
| | 101 to 200 sq. ft. | (($23.50)) $26 plus (($2.15)) $2.35 per each additional 20 square feet or fraction thereof over 100 square feet |





| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | 201 to 400 sq. ft. | (($33.50)) $37.75 plus (($2.50)) $2.75 per each additional 50 square feet or fraction thereof over 200 square feet |
| | Over 400 sq. ft. | (($45.00)) $50.00 |
| Ground Sign | Up to 100 sq. ft. | (($15.00)) $16.50 |
| | 101 to 600 sq. ft. | (($15.00)) $16.50 plus (($2.15)) $2.35 per each additional 20 square feet or fraction thereof over 100 square feet |
| | Over 600 sq. ft. | (($70.00)) $76.00 |

For standardized signs that have been approved and for which plans are on file with the Bureau, the permit fees shall be half the above fees, with a minimum of $15. A non-refundable filing fee of $15 shall be paid which shall be credited toward the permit fee for the standardized sign.

(c) Plan Review Fees for Signs. Plan review fees shall be 1/2 the permit fees in Subsection (b) above, except that no plan review fees shall be charged for electric signs less than 10 square feet in area, nor for non-electric signs less than 25 square feet in area. For standardized signs that have been approved and the plans are on file with the Superintendent, the plan review fee on each application shall be (($5)) $6 .

Section 2. This ordinance shall be operative on the 16th day after its effective date.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Louise H. Renne

City Attorney

by:

Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED

Deputy Director and City Engineer

DESCRIPTION APPROVED

Superintendent

Bureau of Building Inspection

RECOMMENDED

Director of Public Works

APPROVED

Chief Administrative Officer

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS



Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 8, 1987 | June 15, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward |
| Absent: Supervisors Britt | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 114-87-3

JUN 25 1987

Date Approved

Mayor

File no. 118-87-1.6. ORDINANCE NO. 244-87

# E R R A T A

Correct Ordinance No. 244-87 as follows:

Page 1, Line 14, correct "1010" to read "1006";

Page 1, Line 14, correct "1011" to read "1006.1";

Page 1, Line 14, correct "1012" to read "1006.2";

Page 1, Line 14, correct "1013" to read "1006.3";

Page 1, Line 14, correct "1014" to read "1006.4";

Page 1, Line 14, correct "1015" to read "1006.5";

Page 1, Line 19, correct "1010" to read "1006";

Page 2, Line 6, correct "1011" to read "1006.1";

Page 2, Line 18, correct "1012" to read "1006.2";

Page 2, Line 26, correct "1013" to read "1006.3";

Page 3, Line 7, correct "1014" to read "1006.4";

Page 3, Line 13, correct "1015" to read "1006.5".

John L. Taylor
Clerk of the Board
September 9, 1987

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
APR 15 1988
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 118-87-1.6

...s amended in Committee 6/4/87
...s amended in Board 6/15/87
ORDINANCE NO. 244-87

[Smoking Regulations]

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER V, OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (HEALTH CODE) BY ADDING ARTICLE 19A THERETO, REGULATING SMOKING IN EATING ESTABLISHMENTS.

Note: All sections are new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter V, of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Health Code) is hereby amended by adding Article 19A to read as follows:

## ARTICLE 19A

## REGULATING SMOKING IN EATING ESTABLISHMENTS

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Sec. ~~1010~~ | 1006 | Purpose |
| Sec. ~~1011~~ | 1006.1 | Definitions |
| Sec. ~~1012~~ | 1006.2 | Regulation of Smoking in Eating Establishments |
| Sec. ~~1013~~ | 1006.3 | Disclaimers |
| Sec. ~~1014~~ | 1006.4 | Penalties and Enforcement |
| Sec. ~~1015~~ | 1006.5 | Severability |

Sec. ~~1010~~ 1006. Purpose. The Board of Supervisors has a long-standing interest in the risks to human health of tobacco use, and disease prevention and health promotion are essential components of this health policy. Cigarette smoking is a certifiable health danger to smokers and nonsmokers alike. By smoking cigarettes, or being exposed to second-hand smoke, people inhale various chemicals including, for example, formaldehyde, ammonia, tar, nicotine, and carbon monoxide. The Surgeon General of the United States has declared that cigarette smoking causes lung cancer, heart disease, and emphysema, and that smoking by pregnant women may result in fetal injury, premature birth, and low birth weight. The Board of Supervisors desires to prevent disease and promote the health of the

people of San Francisco by making it easier for residents and visitors to avoid second-hand smoke in eating establishments. For the most part, this Article simply extends the smoking prohibitions of Article 19 to include eating establishments.

Sec. ~~1011~~ 1006.1. Definitions. Unless the term is specifically defined in this Article or the contrary stated or clearly appears from the context, the definitions set forth in Article 19, Section 1002 of this Code, shall govern the interpretation of this Article.

(a) "Eating Establishment" shall mean every enclosed restaurant, coffee shop, cafeteria, cafe, luncheonette, sandwich stand, soda fountain, or other enclosed eating establishment serving food to the general public. The term "eating establishment" shall not include banquet rooms in use for private social functions. The term "eating establishment" shall not apply to any property owned or leased by state or federal government agencies.

Sec. ~~1012~~ 1006.2. Regulation of Smoking in Eating Establishments. In eating establishments smoking shall be prohibited in lobbies, waiting areas, restrooms, and dining areas designated for nonsmoking. Unless the eating establishment has been designated entirely non smoking, the owner, manager, or operator of an eating establishment shall allocate an adequate amount of space in these areas to meet the demands of both smokers and nonsmokers, and shall inform all patrons that nonsmoking areas are provided and designate by appropriate signage.

jp
jp
/15

Sec. ~~1013~~ 1006.3. Disclaimers.

(a) By regulating smoking in eating establishments, the City and County of San Francisco is assuming an undertaking only to promote the general welfare. It is not assuming, nor is it imposing on its officers and employees, an obligation for breach of which it is liable



in money damages to any person who claims that such breach approximately caused injury.

(b) No owner of an eating establishment shall be required to construct or erect walls, partitions or other barriers to comply with this ordinance.

Sec. ~~1014~~ 1006.4. Penalties and Enforcement.

The provisions of Section 1005 of Article 19 are applicable to the enforcement of violations of this Article. Any penalty assessed and recovered in an action brought pursuant to this paragraph shall be paid to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. ~~1015~~ 1006.5. Severability. If any provision of this Article, or the application of any such provision to any person or circumstances, shall be held invalid, the remainder of this Article, to the extent it can be given effect, or the application of those provisions to persons or circumstances other than those to which it is held invalid, shall not be affected thereby, and to this end the provisions of this Article are severable.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: _____
Deputy City Attorney

8566F/06-04-87

**Passed for Second Reading**

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

June 15, 1987

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, Gonzalez, ~~Hongisto~~, Hsieh, ~~Kennedy~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors Britt, Hongisto, Kennedy, Ward

John L. Taylor Clerk

118-87-1.6
File No.

JUL 1 1987
Approved

**Read Second Time and Finally Passed**

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

June 22, 1987

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Gonzalez, Hongisto, Hsieh, ~~Kennedy~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy, Ward

*I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.*

ACTING
Mary Rose Burke Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 32-87-4 ORDINANCE NO. 245-87

[Cable Television Franchise]
AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 105-64, THE CABLE TELEVISION FRANCHISE, BY AMENDING SECTION 8(A) THEREOF BY CHANGING THE METHOD OF FRANCHISE PAYMENT FOR ACCESS DEVELOPMENT AND PROGRAMMING (0.2% PROCEEDS) WHICH WAS ADDED TO THE FRANCHISE BY ORDINANCE 237-84.

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are indicated by ((double parenthesis)).

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Ordinance 105-64 is hereby amended by amending Section 8.A. thereof, to read as follows:

Section 8.A. Within thirty (30) days after the date that the ordinance granting this franchise becomes effective, the Grantee shall pay to the City the sum of Two Thousand Five Hundred and no dollars ($2,500) as a purchase price of the franchise hereby granted. The Grantee shall, during the remaining term of this franchise and during any holding over thereafter, beginning at this date when this ordinance shall legally take effect, and as a consideration for the granting thereof, and in consideration of the amendments to this franchise mutually agreed upon by the Grantee and the City, pay to the City as a franchise and permit fee and as further compensation for the rights and privileges granted hereunder, the sum equal to five per cent (5%) of its total gross receipts from Community Antenna System customers as defined in Section 19 hereof.

The five percent (5%) fee on gross receipts shall be paid in the following manner. The Grantee shall pay four and eight-tenths percent (4.8%) of its total gross receipts to the City for deposit in the General Fund. The Grantee shall ((disburse)) pay two-tenths of one percent (0.2%) of its total gross receipts from the same source ((for such access programming and development as is specified in Section 2A, Paragraph 4, in accordance with an annual plan adopted by the Board of Supervisors by resolution)) to the City for deposit into a special fund to be known as the "Cable Television Access Development and Programming Fund." The special fund shall be used for such cable television access development and programming as may be appropriated by the Board of Supervisors. The 0.2% proceeds shall be paid concurrently with payment of the 4.8% proceeds.

The Citizens' Telecommunications Policy Committee shall provide recommendations to the Board of Supervisors ((relative to each proposed annual plan)) each fiscal year by proposing a cable television access development and programming plan for a twelve-month period. In absence of such ((resolution in)) appropriation for a given fiscal year, the unappropriated portion of the 0.2% of gross revenue shall be transferred to ((paid to)) the City general fund as a franchise and permit fee.

Said basis of computation has been hereby agreed upon between the parties hereto as a convenient and proper method of measuring the amount which the Grantee shall annually pay as a franchise fee for the enjoyment of the franchise granted hereunder. Such fee shall be paid to the City quarterly and the payment thereof shall be due on or before the end of each period of ninety (90) days succeeding the close of each quarter of the calendar year during which this franchise is in effect.

The term "quarter of a calendar year" shall include any fraction thereof which may elapse from the granting of this franchise to the close of the next succeeding quarter of a calendar year, and also any fraction of a quarter which may elapse from the close of the last calendar quarter under this franchise to the date of its actual termination.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 5 1987
SAN FRANCISCO

Such payment shall be accompanied by a statement duly verified before a notary public showing in details the total gross receipts as defined by Section 19 received by Grantee during the preceding calendar quarter.

The Grantee of this franchise and its successors or assigns, shall at all times keep and maintain a full, true and correct account of all the gross earnings and income arising out of operations under this franchise.

Their said books of accounts and all other records shall at all reasonable times be open to inspection and examination within the City by the Controller and his representatives, and by officers, agents and employees authorized by the Board of Supervisors of the City, and shall be kept in such form as to enable the Controller to ascertain and check the amounts due to the City and determine any other facts relative to operations under this franchise.

The right is reserved to the City of audit and recomputation of any and all amounts paid under this franchise and no acceptance of any payment made hereunder shall be construed as a release or as an accord and satisfaction of any claim the City may have for further or additional sums payable under the franchise or for performance of any other obligation hereunder.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Louise H. Renne, City Attorney

By _____

Deputy City Attorney

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | |
|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
| June 15, 1987 | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

ACTING Clerk

File No. 32-87-4

JUL 1 1987

Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 97-87-36 ORDINANCE NO. 246-87

[Cable Television Access Development and Programming Fund]
AMENDING THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING SECTION 10.117-68 THERETO, CREATING A CABLE TELEVISION ACCESS DEVELOPMENT AND PROGRAMMING FUND FOR THE RECEIPT AND DISBURSEMENT OF FUNDS FOR CABLE TELEVISION ACCESS DEVELOPMENT AND PROGRAMMING.

NOTE: This section is new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Chapter 10 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Section 10.117-68 thereto, to read as follows:

Section 10.177-68 Cable Television Access Development and Programming Fund.

(a) Establishment of Fund. There is hereby established a special fund for the purpose of receiving the 0.2% portion of the cable television franchise fee proceeds which is used for development of municipal, educational and public access to cable television and for related programming; and also for receiving gifts and grants which may be offered to the City and County for use in the development of municipal, educational and public access to cable television and for related programming. Said special fund shall be known and designated as the Cable Television Access Development and Programming Fund.

(c) Appropriation of Monies. The monies in this fund shall be appropriated as part of the normal city budget process.

(d) Interest. Interest earned from the Cable Television Access Development and Programming Fund shall be part of the principal thereof, and shall not be expended for any purpose other than that for which said fund is established.

FILE NO. _____ ORDINANCE NO. _____

(e) Accumulation of Monies in the Fund. The balance of appropriated funds remaining in the Cable Television Access Development and Programming Fund at the close of each fiscal year shall be deemed to have been provided for a specific purpose within the meaning of City Charter Section 6.306 and shall be carried forward and accumulated in said fund for the purposes recited herein. The balance of unappropriated funds remaining in the Cable Access Development and Programming Fund at the close of each fiscal year shall be transferred to the general fund as franchise and permit fee.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE RENNE, City Attorney

By: _____

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
| | § | |
| June 15, 1987 | § | June 22, 1987 |
| | § | |
| | § | |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez |
| Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari | § | Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari |
| Nelder Silver Walker Ward | § | Nelder Silver Walker |
| | § | |
| Absent: Supervisor Kennedy | § | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Marylane Burton
ACTING Clerk

File No.
97-87-36

JUL 1 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-128 ORDINANCE NO. 247-87

RO# 6819 SA 159

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $259,928 FOR EQUIPMENT PURCHASE, DATA PROCESSING EQUIPMENT AND SERVICES OF OTHER DEPARTMENTS, PUBLIC LIBRARY, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 01-001 | General Fund | | |
| 60-6299 (051904) | Miscellaneous State Subvention-Public Library Foundation Funds | $259,928 | |
| 41-00-00 | Public Library | | |
| 22-2200 (615005) | Equipment Purchase | | $ 64,928 |
| 22-2230 (615013) | Data Processing | | 109,050 |
| 30-3180 (615039) | Building Maintenance | | 20,000 |
| 30-3080 (615039) | Public Works-General Office | | 20,000 |
| 30-3401 (615013) | Systems Development | | 45,950 |
| | Total | $259,928 | $259,928 |

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
[signature]
CONTROLLER

JUN 01 1987

RECOMMENDED:
[signature]
MAYOR

ac(#0721P30)

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 15, 1987 | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

[signature]
ACTING Clerk

File No. 101-86-128

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

[signature]
Mayor

FILE NO. 121-87-7 ORDINANCE NO. 248-87

[Parking Lots]

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER VIII, OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (POLICE CODE) BY AMENDING SECTION 825.1 THEREOF, TO ALLOW THE OWNER OR PROPRIETOR OF A PRIVATE LOT TWO YEARS, OR UNTIL THEIR LEASE IS RENEWED, WHICHEVER COMES FIRST, TO COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS SECTION.

Note: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are in ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter VIII, of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Police Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 825.1 thereof, to read as follows:

SEC. 825.1. REQUIRING PRIVATE PARKING LOTS TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE LIGHTING OR A SECURITY GUARD. It shall be the duty of every owner or proprietor of a private parking lot in the City and County of San Francisco providing parking facilities to the general public for a fee to provide either lighting to one candle foot power adequate to illuminate the entire lot or a security guard between the hours of dusk and 2:30 a.m. Each owner or proprietor shall have two years from the effective date of this ordinance, or until their lease is renewed, whichever comes first, to comply with the requirements of this section.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: Deputy City Attorney

9124F/06-04-87

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 17 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
|---|---|---|
| June 15, 1987 | § | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Britt Kennedy Ward | § | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Acting Clerk

File No. 121-87-7

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

Amendment of the Whole
6/9/87.

FILE NO. 97-87-14

ORDINANCE NO. 249-87

[Contract Discrimination Review]

AMENDING CHAPTER 6 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING SECTION 6.55 THERETO, AUTHORIZING THE SAN FRANCISCO HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION TO REVIEW ALL CONTRACTS PRIOR TO AWARD, FOR COMPLIANCE WITH NONDISCRIMINATION PROVISIONS OF CHAPTER 12B OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE.

Note: This section is new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Chapter 6 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is amended by adding Section 6.55 thereto, to read as follows:

SEC. 6.55. COMPLIANCE WITH NONDISCRIMINATION PROVISIONS.

(a) Subject to the provisions of subsection (c) of this section, as soon as practical prior to awarding any contract subject to this Chapter, the Human Rights Commission (Commission) shall review any such contract to insure compliance with Chapter 12B of the San Francisco Administrative Code. If the Commission determines that there is cause to believe that a proposed contract or subcontractor does not comply with any requirements of Chapter 12B of the Administrative Code, the Commission shall notify the contract awarding officer and attempt to resolve the noncompliance through conciliation. If the noncompliance cannot be resolved, the Commission shall submit to the contract awarding officer and the contractor a written Finding of Noncompliance. The Commission shall give the contractor an opportunity to appeal the Finding. Upon reaching a decision in any appeal, the Commission shall give written notice thereof, to the contract awarding officer, and the appellant or appellants. The decision of the Commission shall be final unless within 15 days of the filing and service of written notice thereof, appropriate legal proceedings are filed in a court of competent jurisdiction by any party to this contract.

(b) Pending any investigation or appeal conducted pursuant to this Section, the Human Rights Commission may, by written notice to the contract awarding officer, stay the award of any contract that is the subject of any investigation or appeal.

(c) The Director of the Commission may waive the review of any contract subject to this Chapter. The Director shall transmit a memorandum to the Commission as soon as possible reporting such waiver. The Director's memorandum regarding the review waiver shall be a public document. The Commission may disapprove the Director's decision to waive review. Commission decision to disapprove must be made within thirty days of receipt of the Director's memorandum but in no event subsequent to the award of any contract.

(d) Any duties required of the Commission under this section may be delegated by the Commission to the Director.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: _____
Deputy City Attorney

0002g(5723F)/06-11-87(3-4)

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
| | § | |
| June 15, 1987 | § | June 22, 1987 |
| | § | |
| | § | |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez |
| Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari | § | Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari |
| Nelder Silver Walker Ward | § | Nelder Silver Walker |
| | § | |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy | § | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Marlene Bulo
ACTING Clerk

File No.
97-87-14

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 48-87-5

ORDINANCE NO. 250-87

RO #6808

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF UNLITIGATED CLAIM OF ROBERT BACH AGAINST THE BANK OF CALIFORNIA, N.A., ET AL FOR $28,803.17.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the claim entitled "ROBERT BACH vs. THE BANK OF CALIFORNIA, N.A., et al.," by the payment of $28,803.17.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
AND RECOMMENDED:

By: Kimberly A. Reiley
KIMBERLY REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

By: Donald Dickinson
DONALD DICKINSON, COUNTY CLERK

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C Farrell
Controller
02 001 97 09 15
309542 1450 $28,803.17 MAY 15 1987

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 10 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
|---|---|---|
| June 15, 1987 | § | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy | § | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Mary Anne Buben
ACTING Clerk

File No.
48-87-5

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 48-87-4

ORDINANCE NO. 251-87

RO #6811

[SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM OF NELL RICHMOND AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $14,100.54.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "CLAIM OF NELL RICHMOND" by the payment of $14,100.54.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND CLEAN WATER PROGRAM

for RICHARD J. EVANS
Director

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

JOHN C. FARRELL
Controller

01 001 97 09 90
309674 1450 $14,100.54

MAY 20 1987

APPROVED:

RUDOLPH NOTHENBERG
Chief Administrative Officer

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 10 1987

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
|---|---|---|
| June 15, 1987 | § | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisor Kennedy | § | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

ACTING Clerk

File No. 48-87-4

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-87-54

ORDINANCE NO. 252-87

RO #6837

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF NANCI CLINCH AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ET AL. FOR $12,500.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "NANCI CLINCH V. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al., "Superior Court No. 844-485, by the payment of $12,500.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:
SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPT.

FRANK JORDAN, Chief

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

CONTROLLER

MAY 26 1987

001 97 09 38
909781 1450 $12,500.00

APPROVED:
SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION

SECRETARY

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 10 1987

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
|---|---|---|
| June 15, 1987 | § | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy | § | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

ACTING Clerk

File No.
45-87-54

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-87-53

ORDINANCE NO. 253-87

RO #6838

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF WARREN AND AULD AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $40,000.00

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "WARREN AND AULD v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO" Court No. 822-899, by the payment of $40,000.00

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

Kimberly A. Reiley

KIMBERLY A. REILEY

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

for Joan B. Cooney

RICHARD J. EVANS, Director

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C. Farrell

JOHN C. FARRELL
Controller
01 001 97 09 90
309674 1450 $40,000.00

MAY 26 1987

APPROVED:

RUDOLPH NOTHENBERG
Chief Administrative Officer

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 10 1987

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | |
|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
| June 15, 1987 | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Mary Anne Bulo
ACTING Clerk

File No. 45-87-53

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-87-52

ORDINANCE NO. 254-87

RO #6834

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]
AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF ISABEL NEFF AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $12,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "ISABEL NEFF v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al." Superior Court No. 855-251, by the payment of $12,000.00

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

for RICHARD J. EVANS, Director

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

CONTROLLER

01 001 97 09 90
309674 1450 $12,000.00

MAY 26 1987

APPROVED:

for
Chief Administrative Officer

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 10 1987

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | |
|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
| June 15, 1987 | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisor Kennedy | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 45-87-52

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-87-51

ORDINANCE NO. 255-87

RO #6812

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF WADE BELL v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al. for $7,000.00

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled WADE BELL v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al., United States District Court No. C85-8762 JPU, by the payment of $7,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

Kimberly A. Reilly

KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

Frank M. Jordan

FRANK JORDAN, Chief
San Francisco Police Department

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C. Farrell

JOHN C. FARRELL
Controller

01 001 97 09 38
309781 1450 $7,000.00
8148F

MAY 20 1987

APPROVED:
POLICE COMMISSION

Willie E. Fraser

Secretary

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 10 1987

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | |
|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
| June 15, 1987 | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Mary Anne Burke
ACTING Clerk

File No. 45-87-51

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 45 87 50

ORDINANCE NO. 256-87

RO #6809

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF JERRY RADCLIFFE AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $10,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "RADCLIFFE v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO" Superior Court No. 847-259, by the payment of $10,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO GENERAL HOSPITAL
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

DAVID WERDEGAR, M.D., M.P.H.
Director

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

JOHN C. FARRELL
Controller

MAY 15 1987

01 001 97 09 86
309807 1450 $10,000.00

APPROVED:
HEALTH COMMISSION

SECRETARY

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 10 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 15, 1987 | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisor Kennedy | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

ACTING Clerk

File No. 45-87-50

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-87-49

ORDINANCE NO. 257-87

RO #6810

(Settlement of Litigation)

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF JEAN M. JORDAN AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $8,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled JEAN M. JORDAN v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al., San Francisco Superior Court No. 843-851, by the payment of $8,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

ROBERT A. KENEALEY
Assistant Chief
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

MAYOR'S OFFICE OF HOUSING, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND SMALL BUSINESS

WILLIAM A. WITTE
Executive Director

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

Controller

01 001 97 09 24
323428 1450 $8,000.00

MAY 13 1987

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 10 1987

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
|---|---|---|
| June 15, 1987 | § | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy | § | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

ACTING Clerk

File No. 45-87-49

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-87-48

ORDINANCE NO. 258-87

RO #6802

(SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM)

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF PATRICIA PACKARD v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, FOR THE AMOUNT OF $10,409.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled PATRICIA PACKARD v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, Superior Court No. 843-736, for the amount of $10,409.00, by the payment of $10,409.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

KIMBERLY A. REPLEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

RICHARD J. EVANS, Director
Department of Public Works

APPROVED:

Chief Administrative Officer

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

By John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

01 001 97 09 90
309674 1450 $10,409.00

MAY 06 1987

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 10 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 15, 1987 | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisor Kennedy | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 45-87-48

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-87-46 ORDINANCE NO. 259-87

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT] RO #6799

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF ANNA WONG, CHRISTOPHER WONG, WILBERT WONG & JANICE WONG AGAINST CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $30,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "ANNA WONG, CHRISTOPHER WONG, WILBERT WONG & JANICE WONG, et al.," Superior Court No. 829-936, by the payment of $30,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By: Kimberly A. Reiley
KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

By: Frank M. Jordan
FRANK JORDAN, Chief
San Francisco Police

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

By John C. Farrell
Controller
01 001 97 09 38
309781 1450 $30,000.00
MAY 01 1987

APPROVED:
POLICE COMMISSION:

Willie E. Frazier
Secretary

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 10 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
|---|---|---|
| June 15, 1987 | § | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy | § | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Mary Rose Barber
ACTING Clerk

File No. 45-87-46

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-87-44

ORDINANCE NO. 260-87

RO #6795

SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT
AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF NAURU PACIFIC LINE VS. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, FOR $36,500.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "NAURU PACIFIC LINE VS. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.," United States District Court No. C85-5201, by the payment of $36,500.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
CITY ATTORNEY

By: Kimberly A. Reiley
KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

By John C Farrell
CONTROLLER
01 001 97 09 90
309674 1450 $36,500.00
APR 29 1987

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

By: Richard Evans
RICHARD EVANS, Director

APPROVED:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 10 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
| June 15, 1987 | § | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy | § | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Mary Anne Buker
ACTING Clerk

File No.
45-87-44

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 213-87-3 ORDINANCE NO. 261-87

(Terminal Revenue-Sharing Agreement)

APPROVING THE TERMINAL REVENUE-SHARING AGREEMENT BETWEEN CANADIAN TROPIC LINE AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ACTING BY AND THROUGH THE SAN FRANCISCO PORT COMMISSION

Be it resolved by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. Pursuant to Section 3.502 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the terminal revenue-sharing agreement between Canadian Tropic Line and the City and County of San Francisco, acting by and through the San Francisco Port Commission.

A copy of said terminal agreement is contained in the Board of Supervisors file number 213-87-3.

Approved as to form:

Louise Renne, City Attorney

By Angela Karikas
Deputy City Attorney

"Port"

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 17 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 15, 1987 | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisor Kennedy | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Maggie Brown
Acting Clerk

File No. 213-87-3

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-127

ORDINANCE NO. 262-87

RO# 6855 SA# 181

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $1,266,591 FOR STATE AND FEDERAL SUBVENTIONS AND MEDICAL SERVICES CONTRACT, AND RESCINDING $857,389 FROM MEDICAL SERVICES CONTRACT, PERMANENT SALARIES AND MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 01-001 | General Fund | | |
| 83-02-00 | Public Health-Forensic Services | | |
| 10-1010 (731406) | Medical Services Contract | $ 533,716*** | |
| 87-22-00 | Public Health-Business and Operations | | |
| 01-0010 (730366) | Permanent Salaries | 40,000 | |
| 87-26-00 | Public Health-Adult Community Services | | |
| 01-0010 (730515) | Permanent Salaries | 250,000 | |
| 04-0600 (730515) | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 33,673 | |
| 01-001 | General Fund | | |
| G.L. 491 | Unappropriated Revenue Retained | | |
| Subsidiary (000098) | Capital Improvements | 409,202 | |
| 01-001 | General Fund | | |
| 87-22-00 | Public Health-Business and Operations | | |
| 60-6074 (480041) | Community Mental Health Service (Short-Doyle) | | $ 455,578 |
| 60-6221 (048041) | Medically Indigent Adult Block Grant | | $ 78,138 |
| 87-25-00 | Public Health-Adult Acute Services | | |
| 10-1010 (730416) | Medical Services Contract | | 732,875 |
| | Total | $1,266,591 | $1,266,591 |

//////////
//////////

ac(721P53)

Section 2. *** Amount reserved pursuant to Board of Supervisors Finance Committee Recommendation for 1986-87 Annual Appropriation Ordinance as an Offset to an unanticipated reduction to State Revenues. File No. 101-86-2.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell

CONTROLLER JUN 01 1987

RECOMMENDED:

MAYOR

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

ac(721P54)

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
| | § | |
| June 15, 1987 | § | June 22, 1987 |
| | § | |
| | § | |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez |
| Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari | § | Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari |
| Nelder Silver Walker Ward | § | Nelder Silver Walker |
| | § | |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy | § | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Marlene Bulen
ACTING Clerk

File No.
101-86-127

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 6/10/87

FILE NO. 101-86-126

ORDINANCE NO. 263-87

RO# 6854 SA# 182

(Government Funding)

6/10/87 APPROPRIATING $803,478 ~~$821,038~~ FOR PERMANENT SALARIES, MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS, OVERTIME, TEMPORARY SALARIES, PROFESSIONAL SERVICES, DATA/WORD PROCESSING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES, MATERIAL AND SUPPLIES, AND MEDICAL SERVICES CONTRACTS, AND RESCINDING $803,478 ~~$821,038~~ FROM PERMANENT SALARIES, MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS, HOLIDAY PAY, AND TEMPORARY SALARIES, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87, AND PROVIDING FOR RATIFICATION OF ACTION PREVIOUSLY TAKEN.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 01-001 | General Fund | | |
| 83-01-00 | Public Health-Administration | | |
| 01-0010 (441006) | Permanent Salaries | $ 40,000 | |
| 04-0600 | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 60,000 | |
| 83-02-00 | Public Health-Forensic | | |
| 03-0120 (441055) | Holiday Pay | 10,640 ~~24,200~~ | |
| 02-0200 | Temporary Salaries | 20,000 | |
| 04-0600 | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 75,000 ~~85,000~~ | |
| 83-04-00 | Public Health-Health Centers | | |
| 04-0600 (732404) | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 150,000 | |
| 83-05-00 | Public Health-Environmental Health | | |
| 01-0010 (732412) | Permanent Salaries | 50,000 | |
| 04-0600 | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 45,000 | |
| 83-07-00 | Public Health-Disease Control | | |
| 01-0010 (732420) | Permanent Salaries | 49,700 | |

//////////
//////////

ac(721P49)



| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 83-08-00 | Public Health-Dental Clinic | | |
| 04-0600 (441808) | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | $ 25,000 | |
| 83-09-00 | Public Health-Maternal & Child Health | | |
| 04-0600 (441857) | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 10,000 | |
| 83-11-00 | Public Health-EMS Agency | | |
| 04-0600 (732198) | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 15,200 | |
| 83-12-00 | Public Health-AIDS Activity Office | | |
| 04-0600 (732388) | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 10,000 | |
| 83-12-03 | Public Health-AIDS Health Centers | | |
| 04-0600 (732453) | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 15,000 | |
| 83-12-61 | Public Health-AIDS Disease Control | | |
| 01-0010 (732461) | Permanent Salaries | 50,000 | |
| 04-0600 (732461) | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 25,000 | |
| 83-14-00 | Public Health-Senior Health Services | | |
| 04-0600 (732438) | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 35,000 | |
| 83-19-21 | Public Health-CSAS | | |
| 01-0010 (790014) | Permanent Salaries | 50,993 | |
| 83-19-31 | Public Health-CSAS | | |
| 01-0010 (790360) | Permanent Salaries | 66,945 | |
| 01-001 | General Fund | | |
| 83-01-02 | Public Health-ADM Projects | | |
| 01-0010 (732347) | Permanent Salaries | | $ 8,934 ~~10,500~~ |
| 04-0600 | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | | 2,949 ~~3,000~~ |

'10/87 ah

//////////
//////////

ac(721P50)

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

6/10/87
ah

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 83-02-00 | Public Health-Forensic Services | | |
| 03-0100 (441055) | Overtime-Permanent | | $ 50,000 |
| 83-02-01 | Public Health-Center for Special Problems | | |
| 04-0600 (733907) | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | | 57,695 ~~58,000~~ |
| 83-02-02 | Public Health-YGC | | |
| 03-0100 (731398) | Overtime-Permanent | | 123 ~~200~~ |
| 04-0600 | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | | 20,898 ~~21,000~~ |
| 83-03-00 | Public Health-Laboratory Services | | |
| 04-0600 (441154) | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | | -0- ~~500~~ |
| 83-04-00 | Public Health-Health Centers | | |
| 02-0200 (732404) | Temporary Salaries | | 12,187 ~~16,000~~ |
| 83-07-00 | Public Health-Disease Control | | |
| 04-0600 (732420) | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | | -0- ~~5,000~~ |
| 83-11-00 | Public Health-Emergency Medical | | |
| 02-0200 (732198) | Temporary Salaries | | 7,054 ~~16,000~~ |
| 10-1000 (732198) | Professional Services | | 225,700 |
| 10-1050 (732198) | DP/WP Professional Services | | -0- ~~3,200~~ |
| 11-1300 (732198) | Material and Supplies | | 13,000 |
| 83-12-01 | Public Health-AIDS Office | | |
| 10-1010 (732388) | Medical Service Contract | | 287,000 |
| 83-19-35 | Public Health-CSAS | | |
| 10-1010 (790295) | Medical Service Contract | | 53,412 |
| 83-19-35 | Public Health-CSAS | | |
| 10-1010 (790279) | Medical Service Contract | | 15,000 |

//////////
//////////

ac(721P51)



/87
h

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 83-19-25 | Public Health-CSAS | | |
| 10-1010 (790246) | Medical Service Contract | | $ 8,334 |
| 83-19-25 | Public Health-CSAS | | |
| 10-1010 (790220) | Medical Service Contract | | 20,000 |
| 83-19-35 | Public Health-CSAS | | |
| 10-1010 (790287) | Medical Service Contract | | 21,192 |
| | Total | $803,478 ~~$821,038~~ | $803,478 ~~$821,038~~ |

Section 2. Although the City has incurred no legal obligation under the Charter, the Board of Supervisors does hereby appropriate the funds necessary for the obligation and does hereby rafify the action previously taken.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

JUN 01 1987

RECOMMENDED:

MAYOR

ac(721P52)

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
|---|---|---|
| | § | |
| June 15, 1987 | § | June 22, 1987 |
| | § | |
| | § | |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez |
| Hongisto Hsieh Maher Nelder | § | Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari |
| Silver Walker Ward | § | Nelder Silver Walker |
| | § | |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy | § | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |
| Molinari | § | |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Mary Anne Baker
ACTING Clerk

File No.
101-86-126

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

Amended in Committee 6/10/87

FILE NO. 101-86-125

ORDINANCE NO. 264-87

RO # 6803 SA # 152

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $1,941,864 ~~$2,287,019~~ FOR OVERTIME, TEMPORARY SALARIES, MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS AND RESCINDING $1,941,864 ~~$2,287,019~~ FROM PERMANENT SALARIES-MISCELLANEOUS AND CRAFT, PROFESSIONAL SERVICES, OTHER CONTRACTUAL SERVICES, MATERIAL AND SUPPLIES SERVICES OF OTHER DEPARTMENTS - HEAT, LIGHT AND POWER, AND VARIOUS FACILITIES MAINTENANCE AND CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS, SAN FRANCISCO GENERAL HOSPITAL, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87, AND PROVIDING FOR RATIFICATION OF ACTION PREVIOUSLY TAKEN.

6/10/87 ah

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 36- 001 | Hospital Operating Fund | | |
| 86- 00-00 | San Francisco General Hospital | | |
| 01- 0010 (462580) | Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous | $ 180,000 | |
| 01- 0030 | Permanent Salaries - Craft | 365,948 | |
| 10- 1000 | Professional Services | 574,248 | |
| 10- 1090 | Other Contractual Services | 133,707 | |
| 11-1300 | Material and Supplies | 109,425 ~~150,000~~ | |
| 30- 3300 | Services of Other Departments- Light, Heat and Power | 578,536 | |
| ~~21- 2020 (460154)~~ | ~~Facilities Maintenance Project~~ | | |
| Project ~~128-01~~ | S.F.G.H. Water Con | ~~27,323~~ | |
| ~~182-00~~ | ~~Computer Center~~ | ~~14,390~~ | |
| ~~183-00~~ | ~~Pharmacy~~ | ~~82,630~~ | |
| ~~183-63~~ | ~~WO BBR~~ | ~~1,382~~ | |
| ~~183-81~~ | ~~RSRV~~ | ~~5,906~~ | |
| ~~208-01~~ | ~~Window Sash~~ | ~~40,000~~ | |
| ~~210-01~~ | ~~Door Locks~~ | ~~37,870~~ | |
| ~~21-2030 (460162)~~ | ~~Capital Improvement Project~~ | | |
| ~~Project 625-10~~ | ~~GEN S.F.G.H.~~ | ~~21,387~~ | |
| ~~625-20~~ | ~~DES S.F.G.H.~~ | ~~12,665~~ | |
| ~~635-31~~ | ~~CNST/SVCS~~ | ~~5,460~~ | |
| ~~635-41~~ | ~~CNST/CNT~~ | ~~30,928~~ | |
| ~~635-63~~ | ~~WA BOESS~~ | ~~1,000~~ | |
| ~~641-23~~ | ~~DSGN~~ | ~~2,146~~ | |
| ~~641-64~~ | ~~DPV SHPD~~ | ~~1,196~~ | |
| ~~641-83~~ | ~~RSRV~~ | ~~7,133~~ | |
| ~~663-01~~ | ~~PSYCH Unit~~ | ~~13,164~~ | |
| 36-001 | Hospital Operating Fund | | |
| 86-00-00 | San Francisco General Hospital | | |
| 03-0100 (462580) | Overtime | | $ 804,224 ~~814,224~~ |
| 02-0200 | Temporary Salaries | | 1,137,640 ~~1,159,295~~ |
| 04-0600 | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | | -0- ~~313,500~~ |
| | Total | $1,941,864 ~~$2,287,019~~ | $1,941,864 ~~$2,287,019~~ |

Section 2. Although the City has incurred no legal obligation under the Charter, the Board of Supervisors does hereby appropriate the funds necessary for the obligation and does hereby ratify the action previously taken.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
[signature]
CONTROLLER

JUN 01 1987

RECOMMENDED:
[signature]
MAYOR

lp-0939M-7&8

DOCUMENTS DEPT. SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
| | § | |
| June 15, 1987 | § | June 22, 1987 |
| | § | |
| | § | |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez |
| Hongisto Hsieh Maher Nelder | § | Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari |
| Silver Walker Ward | § | Nelder Silver Walker |
| | § | |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy | § | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |
| Molinari | § | |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Mary Rose Burke
ACTING Clerk

File No.
101-86-125

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-123 ORDINANCE NO. 265-87

RO# 6840 SA# 171

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $81,975 FOR PROFESSIONAL-SPECIAL SERVICE CONTRACTS, SHERIFF, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87, AND PROVIDING FOR RATIFICATION OF ACTION PREVIOUSLY TAKEN.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 01-001 | General Fund | | |
| G.L. 496 (000026) | Unappropriated Revenue Reserve | | |
| Subsidiary (000001) | Contributed Revenue Reserve | $81,975 | |
| 06-00-00 | Sheriff | | |
| 20-2010 | Program Project Budget | | |
| 10-1001 (305029) | Professional-Special Service Contracts | | |
| Project 047-01 | Court Ordered Federal Master Project | _____ | $81,975 |
| | Total | $81,975 | $81,975 |

Section 2. Although the City has incurred no legal obligation under the Charter, the Board of Supervisors does hereby appropriate the funds necessary for the obligation and does hereby ratify the action previously taken.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

MAY 27 1987

RECOMMENDED:
Dianne Feinstein
MAYOR

ac(121P37)

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 15, 1987 | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Mary Anne Buker
ACTING Clerk

File No. 101-86-123

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-122 ORDINANCE NO. 266-87

BO# 6817 SA 149

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $1,009,000 FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (DIVISION STREET CONNECTION), AND CERTIFYING $1,009,000 FROM CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (DIVISION STREET CONNECTION) TO COVER OVERAGE ABOVE TEN PERCENT (10%) OF CONTRACTED AMOUNT PURSUANT TO PROVISIONS OF CHARTER SECTION 7.203, CLEANWATER, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 35-705 | Channel Outfall Consolidation Fund | | |
| 92-01-00 | Clean Water | | |
| 21-2030 (543363) | Capital Improvement Project | | |
| Project 116-00 | Division Street Connection | $1,009,000 | |
| 21-2030 (543363) | Capital Improvement Project | | |
| Project 116-00 | Division Street Connection | | $1,009,000 |
| | Total | $1,009,000 | $1,009,000 |

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

MAY 27 1987

RECOMMENDED:

MAYOR

ac(0/21P27)

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 15, 1987 | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisor Kennedy | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Marlene Baker
ACTING Clerk

File No. 101-86-122

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-121

ORDINANCE NO. 267-87

RO# 6845 SA#174

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $23,850 FOR SERVICES OF OTHER DEPARTMENTS (TAX COLLECTOR) AND RESCINDING $23,850 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES, ASSESSOR, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 01-001 | General Fund | | |
| 02-00-00 | Assessor | | |
| 01-0010 (301507) | Permanent Salaries | $23,850 | |
| 30-3070 (301598) | Services of Other Departments-Tax Collector | | $23,850 |
| | Total | $23,850 | $23,850 |

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: B Scott
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

MAY 27 1987

RECOMMENDED:

Dianne Feinstein
MAYOR

ac(0721P42)

BOS RECEIVED 1:00

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 15, 1987 | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisor Kennedy | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Mary Anne Buler
Acting Clerk

File No. 101-86-121

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-120 ORDINANCE NO. 268-87

RO# 6844 SA# 177

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $35,220 FOR EXHIBITIONS AND SERVICES OF OTHER DEPARTMENTS (BUILDING REPAIR), AND RESCINDING $35,220 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES, RELATED MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS, SUBSCRIPTIONS, ASIAN ART MUSEUM, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 01-001 | General Fund | | |
| 62-00-00 | Asian Art Museum | | |
| 01-0010 (402040) | Permanent Salaries | $ 3,000 | |
| 04-0600 | Retirement | 8,700 | |
| 04-0606 | Social Security | 1,900 | |
| 04-0610 | Health Service | 500 | |
| 10-1235 | Subscriptions | 21,120 | |
| 10-1273 (402040) | Exhibitions | | $30,220 |
| 30-3180 | Services of Other Departments-Building Repair | | 5,000 |
| | Total | $35,220 | $35,220 |

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

MAY 27 1987

RECOMMENDED:

MAYOR

ac(#121P43)

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 15, 1987 | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

ACTING Clerk

File No. 101-86-120

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 6/10/87

FILE NO. 101-86-119

ORDINANCE NO. 269-87

RO# 6820 SA 131

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING ~~$124,579~~ $155,800 FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (HALL OF JUSTICE ROOF TOP RECREATION), AND RESCINDING ~~$124,579~~ $155,800 FROM VARIOUS CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS, SHERIFF, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 01-001 | General Fund | | |
| 06-00-00 | Sheriff | | |
| 21-2030 (305029) | Capital Improvement Project | | |
| Project 675-01 | Replacement of Louvres-Hall of Justice | $ 4,579 | |
| Project 764-01 | South Fire Stairs-Jail #3 | 91,221 ~~60,000~~ | |
| Project 938-01 | Tier Lighting Remodeling-Jail #3 | 60,000 | |
| 21-2030 (305029) | Capital Improvement Project | | |
| Project 842-01 | Hall of Justice Roof Top Recreation | | 155,800 ~~$124,579~~ |
| | Total | 155,800 ~~$124,579~~ | 155,800 ~~$124,579~~ |

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

RECOMMENDED: MAY 27 1987
MAYOR

FUNDS AVAILABLE: (ADDITIONAL)
John C Farrell
CONTROLLER
JUN 11 1987

ac(0/21P32)

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 15, 1987 | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Molinari | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Mary Anne Bulos
ACTING Clerk

File No. 101-86-119

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-118

ORDINANCE NO. 270-87

RO# 6818 SA# 150

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $165,600 FOR OVERTIME-MISCELLANEOUS AND CRAFT, MUNICIPAL RAILWAY AND PURCHASER, AND RESCINDING $165,600 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES-CRAFT, MUNICIPAL RAILWAY, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87, AND PROVIDING FOR RATIFICATION OF ACTION PREVIOUSLY TAKEN.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 31-001 | Municipal Railway Operating Fund | | |
| 35-00-00 | Municipal Railway | | |
| 01-0030 (560839) | Permanent Salaries-Craft | $165,600 | |
| 03-0100 (560946) | Overtime-Miscellaneous | | $ 22,728 |
| 03-0100 (560664) | Overtime-Miscellaneous | | 46,300 |
| 03-0102 (560722) | Overtime-Craft | | 45,367 |
| 03-0102 (560839) | Overtime-Craft | | 41,205 |
| 91-01-00 | Purchaser | | |
| 03-0100 (540161) | Overtime-Miscellaneous | | 10,000 |
| | Total | $165,600 | $165,600 |

Section 2. Although the City has incurred no legal obligation under the charter, the Board of Supervisors does hereby appropriate the funds necessary for the obligation and does hereby ratify the action previously taken.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

[signature]
CONTROLLER

MAY 27 1987

RECOMMENDED:

[signature]
MAYOR

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
|---|---|---|
| June 15, 1987 | § | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Nelder Silver Walker Ward | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Molinari | § | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Nelder Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

[signature]
ACTING Clerk

File No. 101-86-118

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

[signature]
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-116 ORDINANCE NO. 271-87

RO # 6835 SA # 178

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $993,000 FOR DIFFERENTIAL PAY, TEMPORARY SALARIES, OVERTIME, HOLIDAY PAY, AND RESCINDING $993,000 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS AND UNIFORMED, AND MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS, POLICE, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87, AND PROVIDING FOR RATIFICATION OF ACTION PREVIOUSLY TAKEN.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 01-001 | General Fund | | |
| 38-02-52 | Police - Traffic Bureau | | |
| 01-0010 (580175) | Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous | $20,000 | |
| 38-02-51 | Police - Patrol | | |
| 01-0020 (582015) | Permanent Salaries - Uniformed | 846,000 | |
| | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 127,000 | |
| 38-02-51 | Police - Patrol | | |
| 02-0140 (582015) | Differential Pay | | $45,000 |
| 38-02-52 | Police - Traffic Bureau | | |
| 02-0200 (580175) | Temporary Salaries | | 20,000 |
| 38-01-44 | Police - Management | | |
| 03-0100 (580019) | Overtime | | 801,000 |
| 03-0121 | Holiday Pay | | 127,000 |
| | Total | $993,000 | $993,000 |

////

////

Section 2. Although the City has incurred no legal obligation under the Charter, the Board of Supervisors does hereby appropriate the funds necessary for the obligation and does hereby ratify the action previously taken.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

[signature]
CONTROLLER

MAY 27 1987

RECOMMENDED:

[signature]
MAYOR

lp-0939N-2&3

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUN 11
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
| | § | |
| June 15, 1987 | § | June 22, 1987 |
| | § | |
| | § | |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez |
| Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari | § | Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari |
| Nelder Silver Walker Ward | § | Nelder Silver Walker |
| | § | |
| Absent: Supervisor~~s~~ Kennedy | § | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

Mary Lane Burton
ACTING Clerk

File No.
101-86-116

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

Amended in Committee 6/10/87

FILE NO. 101-86-114

ORDINANCE NO. 272-87

RO # 6821 SA # 165

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $879,169 ~~$1,076,745~~ FOR HEALTH SERVICE - CITY MATCH, SEWER SERVICE CHARGES, OTHER CURRENT SERVICES, MATERIAL AND SUPPLIES, LAGUNA HONDA HOSPITAL, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87, AND PROVIDING FOR RATIFICATION OF ACTION PREVIOUSLY TAKEN.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 37-001 | Laguna Honda Operating Fund | | |
| 85-00-00 | Laguna Honda Hospital | | |
| 70-7602 (047316) | Medi-Cal | 879,169 ~~$1,076,745~~ | |
| 04-0610 (448274) | Health Service - City Match | | 124,586 ~~168,745~~ |
| 10-1151 | Sewer Service Charges | | 1,635 ~~30,000~~ |
| 10-1299 | Other Current Services | | -0- ~~18,000~~ |
| 11-1300 | Material and Supplies | | 752,948 ~~800,000~~ |
| | Total | 879,169 ~~$1,076,745~~ | 879,169 ~~$1,076,745~~ |

Section 2. Although the City has incurred no legal obligation under the Charter the Board of Supervisors does hereby appropriate the funds necessary for the obligation and does hereby ratify the action previously taken.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
[signature]
CONTROLLER

MAY 27 1987

RECOMMENDED:
[signature]
MAYOR

lp-1842A-3

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 15, 1987 | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Molinari | Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Ward Kennedy |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

[signature]
Clerk

File No. 101-86-114

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

[signature]
Mayor

AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 6/10/87

FILE NO. 101-86-113

ORDINANCE NO. 273-87

RO #6814 SA #86

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $620.00 ~~$15,942~~ FOR PERMANENT SALARIES AND RESCINDING $620.00 ~~$15,942~~ FROM MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS, FOR THE ADDITION OF TWO (2) PERMANENT POSITIONS, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 01-001 | General Fund | | |
| 04-01-00 | District Attorney - Administration | | |
| 04-0600 (303552) | Mandatory Fringe Benefits | 620.00 ~~15,942~~ | |
| 01-0010 (303271) | Permanent Salaries | | 620.00 ~~$15,942~~ |
| | Total | 620.00 ~~$15,942~~ | 620.00 ~~$15,942~~ |

Section 2. Funds are hereby appropriated for the addition of two (2) positions by amendment to the General Salary Ordinance (Board of Superivsors' File No. 102-86-39) as follows:

| FUND<br>DEPARTMENT<br>CLASSIFICATION | GENERAL FUND<br>DISTRICT ATTORNEY<br>RATE OF PAY | ADDED |
|---|---|---|
| 1460 A Senior Legal Stenographer | 998 B 1,208 | 1 |
| 8176 A Trial Attorney Civil and Criminal | 1,558 B 1,890 | 1 |

APPROVED AS TO FORM
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

MAY 27 1987

APPROVED: CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

BY: John J. Walsh FK
GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

RECOMMENDED:
Dianne Feinstein
MAYOR

lp-1842A-2

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 17 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 15, 1987 | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder |
| | Noes: Supervisors Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Molinari | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Mary Anne Bulos
ACTING Clerk

File No. 101-86-113

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-112 ORDINANCE NO. 274-87

RO #6815 SA #120

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $520,000 FOR PLATFORM SALARIES AND RESCINDING $520,000 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS AND CRAFT, MUNICIPAL RAILWAY, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 31-001 | Municipal Railway Operating Fund | | |
| 35-00-00 | Municipal Railway | | |
| 01-0010 (560946) | Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous | $89,813 | |
| 01-0010 (560664) | Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous | 106,794 | |
| 01-0010 (560722) | Permanent Salaries - Miscellaneous | 53,031 | |
| 01-0030 (560722) | Permanent Salaries - Craft | 95,362 | |
| 01-0030 (560839) | Permanent Salaries - Craft | 175,000 | |
| 01-0040 (560664) | Platform Salaries | | $520,000 |
| | Total | $520,000 | $520,000 |

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
CONTROLLER MAY 20 1987

RECOMMENDED:

MAYOR

lp-1842-A

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 15, 1987 | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Nelder Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Mary Anne Bulan
ACTING Clerk

File No. 101-86-112

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-136

ORDINANCE NO. 275-87

RO #6860 SA #189

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $34,000 FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES, AND RESCINDING $34,000 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 01-001 | General Fund | | |
| 01-01-00 | Board of Supervisors | | |
| 01-0010 (300418) | Permanent Salaries | $ 16,000 | |
| 01-03-00 | Board of Supervisors - Delinquency Provention | | |
| 01-0010 (300012) | Permanent Salaries | 18,000 | |
| 01-01-00 | Board of Supervisors | | |
| 10-1001 (300012) | Professional Services | | $34,000 |
| | Total | $ 34,000 | $34,000 |

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Susan C. Owen
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

JUN 10 1987

RECOMMENDED:

MAYOR

lp-0939M-11

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

**Passed for Second Reading**

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

June 15, 1987

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Gonzalez, ~~Hongisto~~, Hsieh, Kennedy, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors Hongisto

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy

John L. Taylor MVS Clerk

JUL 2 1987

101-86-136
File No.

Approved

**Read Second Time and Finally Passed**

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

June 22, 1987

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Gonzalez, ~~Hongisto~~, Hsieh, ~~Kennedy~~, Maher, Molinari, ~~Nelder~~, Silver, ~~Walker~~, ~~Ward~~.

Noes: Supervisors

Absent: Supervisors Hongisto, Kennedy, Nelder, Walker, Ward

*I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.*

Mary Anne Bulen ACTING Clerk

Mayor

AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE IN COMMITTEE
6/10/87

FILE NO. 101-86-131

ORDINANCE NO. 276-87

RO # 6827 SA 156

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $5,611,139 FOR FOSTER CARE, SOCIAL SERVICES, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87 AND PROVIDING FOR RATIFICATION OF ACTION PREVIOUSLY TAKEN.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 01-291 | Welfare Assistance and Administration Fund | | |
| 45-00-00 | Social Services | | |
| 60-6309 (052563) | Maintenance of Minors-Foster Care - State | $3,675,459 | |
| 60-6310 | Maintenance of Minors-Foster Care - Federal | 1,359,416 | |
| 49-4900 (840041) | Revenue Transfer-Out to 01-001 General Fund, Department 45-07-00 Social Services | | $5,034,875 |
| | Total | $5,034,875 | $5,034,875 |
| 01-001 | General Fund | | |
| 45-07-00 | Social Services | | |
| 99-9990 (160499) | Revenue Transfer-In From 01-291 Welfare Assistance & Administration Fund, Department 45-00-00, Social Services | $5,034,875 | |

/////////
////////

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| G.L. 496 (000026) | Unappropriated Revenue Reserve | | |
| SUB (000001) | Contributed Revenue Reserve | $ 576,264 | |
| 15-1540 (647768) | Foster Care | | $5,611,139 |
| | Total | $5,611,139 | $5,611,139 |

Section 2. Although the City has incurred no legal obligation under the Charter, the Board of Supervisors does hereby appropriate the funds necessary for the obligation and does hereby ratify the action previously taken.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: BEW
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

JUN 15 1987

RECOMMENDED:
Diane Feinstein
MAYOR

1p-1842A-6&7

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

**Passed for Second Reading**

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

June 15, 1987

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Gonzalez, Hongisto, Hsieh, ~~Kennedy~~, Maher, ~~Molinari~~, Nelder, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy, Molinari

John L. Taylor mis Clerk

**Read Second Time and Finally Passed**

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

June 22, 1987

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Gonzalez, Hongisto, Hsieh, ~~Kennedy~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy, Ward

*I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.*

ACTING Clerk

101-86-131
File No

JUL 2 1987
Approved

Mayor

FILE NO 97-87-35 ORDINANCE NO 277-87

(Government Funding)

AMENDING ARTICLE XIII, CHAPTER 10 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY REPEALING SECTIONS 10.83, 10.83-1, 10.83-2, 10.83-3 AND 10.83.4, THEREOF, AND BY ADDING SECTION 10.117-66 THERETO, ESTABLISHING A HETCH HETCHY WATER AND POWER PROJECT STORES FUND.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1: Article XIII, Chapter 10, of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by repealing Sections 10.83, 10.83-1, 10.83-2, 10.83-3 and 10.83-4.

Note: Entire Section 2 is new.

Section 2: Article XIII, Chapter 10, of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Section 10.117-66 thereto to read as follows:

Section 10.117-66. Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Project Stores Fund

(a) Established. There shall be established in the Treasury of the City and County a special fund to be known as the Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Project Stores Fund in the amount of $1,616,000 (one million, six hundred sixteen thousand dollars), said sum having been determined to be the inventory balance as of June 30, 1986, by the Office of the Controller and the independent auditor.

(b) Use of Money in Fund. The Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Project Stores Fund shall be used exclusively for the purchase, storage and clearance of materials and supplies required for the use of the Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Project in connection with its performance.

(c) If Balance Insufficient. Should the unencumbered balance of the Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Project Stores Fund be insufficient at any time to provide for the purchase, storage and clearance of materials and supplies required for the immediate use of the Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Project and appropriation surplus(es) exist, the Controller may, upon the recommendation of the department head and the approval of the Public Utilities Commission, transfer up to ten percent (10%) of appropriations, other than personal services, and make such sums available in the Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Project Stores Fund for the purchase, storage and clearance of the required materials and supplies.

(d) Administration of and Expenditures from Fund. The procedure of administering the Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Project Stores Fund shall conform to the provisions of the Charter, the annual appropriation ordinance or supplemental appropriation ordinance(s), and the procurement procedure as prescribed by the Controller and the purchaser of supplies.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By: GEORGE E. KRUEGER
Utilities General Counsel

RECOMMENDED:

DEAN COFFEY
General Manager
Hetch Hetchy Water & Power Project

By: P.U.C. Assistant General Manager, Finance

0204P

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
| | § | |
| June 15, 1987 | § | June 22, 1987 |
| | § | |
| | § | |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez |
| Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari | § | Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari |
| Nelder Silver Walker Ward | § | Nelder Silver Walker |
| | § | |
| Absent: Supervisor~~s~~ Kennedy | § | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

Mary Jane Buler
ACTING Clerk

File No.
97-87-35

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 97-87-34 ORDINANCE NO. 278-87

(Government Funding)

AMENDING ARTICLE XIII, CHAPTER 10 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING SECTION 10.117-65 THERETO, ESTABLISHING A SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL RAILWAY STORES FUND

Note: This entire section is new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1: Article XIII, Chapter 10, of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Section 10.117-65 thereto to read as follows:

Section 10.117-65. San Francisco Municipal Railway Stores Fund.

(a) Established. There shall be established in the Treasury of the City and County a special fund to be known as the San Francisco Municipal Railway Stores Fund in the amount of $13,485,000 (thirteen million, four hundred eighty five thousand dollars), said sum having been determined to be the inventory balance as of June 30, 1986, by the Office of the Controller and the independent auditor.

(b) Use of Money in Fund. The San Francisco Municipal Railway Stores Fund shall be used exclusively for the purchase, storage and clearance of materials and supplies required for the use of the San Francisco Municipal Railway in connection with its performance.

(c) If Balance Insufficient. Should the unencumbered balance of the San Francisco Municipal Railway Stores Fund be insufficient at any time to provide for the purchase, storage and clearance of materials and supplies required for the immediate use of the San Francisco Municipal Railway and appropriation surplus(es) exist, the Controller may, upon the recommendation of the department head and the approval of the Public Utilities Commission, transfer up to ten percent (10%) of appropriations, other than personal services, and make such sums available in the San Francisco Municipal Railway Stores Fund for the purchase, storage and clearance of the required materials and supplies.

(d) Administration of and Expenditures from Fund. The procedure of administering the San Francisco Municipal Railway Stores Fund shall conform to the provisions of the Charter, the annual appropriation ordinance or supplemental appropriation ordinance(s), and the procurement procedure as prescribed by the Controller and the purchaser of supplies.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By: Utilities General Counsel

RECOMMENDED:

WILLIAM STEAD,
General Manager
San Francisco Municipal Railway

By: P.U.C. Assistant General Manager, Finance

0202P

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
|---|---|---|
| | § | |
| June 15, 1987 | § | June 22, 1987 |
| | § | |
| | § | |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez |
| Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari | § | Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari |
| Nelder Silver Walker Ward | § | Nelder Silver Walker |
| | § | |
| Absent: Supervisor Kennedy | § | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Mary Rose Barber
ACTING Clerk

File No.
97-87-34

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 97-87-33 ORDINANCE NO. 279-87

(Government Funding)

AMENDING ARTICLE XIII, CHAPTER 10 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING SECTION 10.117.67 THERETO, ESTABLISHING A SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT STORES FUND.

Note: This entire section is new.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1: Article XIII, Chapter 10, of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Section 10.117-67 thereto to read as follows:

Section 10.117-67. San Francisco Water Department Stores Fund.

(a) Established. There shall be established in the Treasury of the City and County a special fund to be known as the San Francisco Water Department Stores Fund in the amount of $1,714,000 (one million, seven hundred fourteen thousand dollars), said sum having been determined to be the inventory balance as of June 30, 1986, by the Office of the Controller and the independent auditor.

(b) Use of Money in Fund. The San Francisco Water Department Stores Fund shall be used exclusively for the purchase, storage and clearance of materials and supplies required for the use of the San Francisco Water Department in connection with its performance.

(c) If Balance Insufficient. Should the unencumbered balance of the San Francisco Water Department Stores Fund be insufficient at any time to provide for the purchase, storage and clearance of materials and supplies required for the immediate use of the San Francisco Water Department and appropriation surplus(es) exist, the Controller may, upon the recommendation of the department head and the approval of the Public Utilities Commission, transfer up to ten percent (10%) of appropriations, other than personal services, and make such sums available in the San Francisco Water Department Stores Fund for the purchase, storage and clearance of the required materials and supplies.

(d) Administration of and Expenditures from Fund. The procedure of administering the San Francisco Water Department Stores Fund shall conform to the provisions of the Charter, the annual appropriation ordinance or supplemental appropriation ordinance(s), and the procurement procedure as prescribed by the Controller and the purchaser of supplies.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By: GEORGE E. KRUEGER
Utilities General Counsel

RECOMMENDED:

JAMES D. COONEY
General Manager
San Francisco Water Department

By: P.U.C. Assistant General Manager, Finance

0207P

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
| | § | |
| June 15, 1987 | § | June 22, 1987 |
| | § | |
| | § | |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez |
| Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari | § | Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari |
| Nelder Silver Walker Ward | § | Nelder Silver Walker |
| | § | |
| Absent: Supervisor~~s~~ Kennedy | § | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Mary Anne Buker
ACTING Clerk

File No.
97-87-33

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-135 ORDINANCE NO. 280-87

RO# 6830 SA # 162

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $23,700,000 FOR PURCHASE OF POWER FOR RESALE, HETCH-HETCHY, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 33-001 | Hetch Hetchy Operating Fund | | |
| G.L. 490 (025015) | Unappropriated Revenue | $23,700,000 | |
| 32-00-00 | Hetch Hetchy | | |
| 10-1801 (336016) | Purchase of Power for Resale | | $23,700,000 |
| | Total | $23,700,000 | $23,700,000 |

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

JUN 01 1987

RECOMMENDED:
MAYOR

ac(721P35)

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 17 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 15, 1987 | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 101-86-135

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

Amended in Committee 6/10/87

FILE NO. 101-86-134

ORDINANCE NO. 281-87

RO# 6829 SA# 161

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $1,400,000 FOR SERVICES OF OTHER DEPARTMENTS (WORKERS COMPENSATION) AND RESCINDING $1,400,000 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES - MISCELLANEOUS ~~AND CRAFT~~, FIXED CHARGES AND MATERIAL AND SUPPLIES, MUNICIPAL RAILWAY, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87, AND PROVIDING FOR RATIFICATION OF ACTION PREVIOUSLY TAKEN.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 31-001 | Municipal Railway Operating Fund | | |
| 35-00-00 | Municipal Railway | | |
| 01-0010 (560946) | Permanent Salaries-Miscellaneous | $ 40,187 | |
| 01-0010 (560664) | Permanent Salaries-Miscellaneous | 116,206 | |
| 01-0010 (560722) | Permanent Salaries-Miscellaneous | 31,969 | |
| 01-0010 (560839) | Permanent Salaries-Miscellaneous | 65,000 | |
| 10-1400 (560946) | Fixed Charges | 37,762 | |
| 11-1300 (560722) | Material and Supplies | 1,108,876 | |
| 30-3700 (560946) | Workers Compensation | | $1,400,000 |
| | Total | $1,400,000 | $1,400,000 |

Section 2. Although the City has incurred no legal obligation under the charter, the Board of Supervisors does hereby appropriate the funds necessary for the obligation and does hereby ratify the action previously taken.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE: John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

JUN 01 1987

RECOMMENDED:
[signature]
MAYOR

ac(721P45)

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 15, 1987 | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Nelder Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

[signature]
ACTING Clerk

File No. 101-86-134

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

[signature]
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-133 ORDINANCE NO. 282-87

RO # 6828 SA # 160

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $650,000 FOR JUDGEMENT AND CLAIMS, AND RESCINDING $650,000 FROM PERMANENT SALARIES-MISCELLANEOUS AND CRAFT, FIXED CHARGES, NON-WORK ORDER SERVICE OF OTHER DEPARTMENTS (PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION), MUNICIPAL RAILWAY, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 31-001 | Municipal Railway Operating Fund | | |
| 35-00-00 | Municipal Railway | | |
| 03-0030 (560722) | Permanent Salaries - Craft | $150,638 | |
| 03-0030 (560839) | Permanent Salaries - Craft | 259,400 | |
| 10-1420 (560946) | Fixed Charges | 54,238 | |
| 41-4100 (560946) | Non-Work Order Service of- Other Departments (PUC) | 185,724 | |
| 14-1450 (560946) | Judgement and Claims | | $650,000 |
| | Total | $650,000 | $650,000 |

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
[signature]
CONTROLLER

JUN 01 1987

RECOMMENDED:
[signature]
MAYOR

lp-0939M-9

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 15, 1987 | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Nelder Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

[signature]
ACTING Clerk

File No. 101-86-133

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

[signature]
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-132 ORDINANCE NO. 283-87

RO# 6839 SA# 147

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $22,288,398 FOR VARIOUS CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS, AIRPORTS COMMISSION, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 30-700 | Approved Capital Projects Fund | | |
| G.L. 495 (000786) | Use of Surplus | $4,547,135 | |
| 27-00-00 | Airports Commission | | |
| 21-2030 (570614) | Capital Improvement Project | | |
| Project 600-01 | Utility System Improvements | | $1,000,000 |
| 700-01 | Passenger Terminal Improvements | | 2,000,000 |
| 750-01 | Groundside Improvements | | 1,000,000 |
| 925-01 | Airport Facilities | | 547,135 |
| | Total | $4,547,135 | $4,547,135 |
| 30-863 | 1967 Airport Bonds | | |
| G.L. 495 (022061) | Use of Surplus | $ 124,896 | |
| 27-00-00 | Airports Commission | | |
| 21-2030 (327510) | Capital Improvement Project | | |
| Project 300-01 | Terminal Improvements | | $ 124,896 |
| | Total | $ 124,896 | $ 124,896 |

//////////
//////////

ac(0721P39)

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 30-869 | 1975 Airport Revenue Bonds | | |
| G.L. 495 (022079) | Use of Surplus | $ 838,681 | |
| 27-00-00 | Airports Commission | | |
| 21-2030 (327619) | Capital Improvement Project | | |
| Project 300-01 | Terminal Improvements | | $ 155,000 |
| 400-01 | Groundside Improvements | | 438,681 |
| 500-01 | Airport Support Area | | 245,000 |
| | Total | $ 838,681 | $ 838,681 |
| 30-871 | 1977 Airport Revenue Bonds | | |
| G.L. 495 (022301) | Use of Surplus | $1,259,650 | |
| 27-00-00 | Airports Commission | | |
| 21-2030 (327833) | Capital Improvement Project | | |
| Project 200-01 | Airfield Facilities Improvement | | $1,259,650 |
| | Total | $1,259,650 | $1,259,650 |
| 30-872 | 1981 Airport Revenue Bonds | | |
| G.L. 495 (022384) | Use of Surplus | $8,304,386 | |
| 27-00-00 | Airports Commission | | |
| 21-2030 (573964) | Capital Improvement Project | | |
| Project 100-01 | Utilities Improvements | | $ 994,600 |
| 200-01 | Airfield Facilities Improvements | | 2,932,000 |
| 300-01 | Terminal Improvements | | 2,540,000 |
| 500-01 | Airport Support Area | | 1,837,786 |
| | Total | $8,304,386 | $8,304,386 |

//////////
//////////

ac(721P40)

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 30-873 | 1983 Airport Revenue Bonds | | |
| G.L. 495 (003830) | Use of Surplus | $7,213,650 | |
| 27-00-00 | Airports Commission | | |
| 21-2030 (574202) | Capital Improvement Project | | |
| Project 200-01 | Airfield Improvements | | 5,463,650 |
| 300-01 | Terminal Improvements | | 50,000 |
| 400-01 | Ground Improvements | | 1,700,000 |
| | Total | $7,213,650 | $7,213,650 |
| | Grand Total | $22,288,398 | $22,288,398 |

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: _____
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

JUN 01 1987

RECOMMENDED:

Dianne Feinstein
MAYOR

ac(721P41)

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | |
|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
| June 15, 1987 | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Mary Anne Bulen
ACTING Clerk

File No. 101-86-132

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-130 ORDINANCE NO. 284-87

RO # 6842 SA 163

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $93,800 FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT, MUNICIPAL RAILWAY, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 31-510 | Small Grant Project | | |
| 35-00-00 | Municipal Railway | | |
| 60-6161 (045930) | MTC Allocated Revenues | $93,800 | |
| 21-2030 (350140) | Capital Improvement Project | | |
| Project 574-01 | Cable Car Reconstruction Claims | | $93,800 |
| | Total | $93,800 | $93,800 |

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

JUN 01 1987

RECOMMENDED:

MAYOR

lp-939M-5

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 15, 1987 | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Molinari | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Nelder Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

ACTING Clerk

File No. 101-86-130

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-86-129 ORDINANCE NO. 285-87

RO# 6849 SA# 172, 183

(Government Funding)

APPROPRIATING $975,000 FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, AND LIBRARY, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1986-87.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1986-87 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 01-001 | General Fund | | |
| G.L. 491 | Unappropriated Revenue Retained | | |
| Subsidiary (000098) | Capital Improvement Reserve | $975,000 | |
| 99-00-00 | General Fund Unallocated | | |
| 49-4900 (874982) | Revenue Transfer Out to #01-005-General Fund-Project; #99-00-00, General Fund Unallocated | | $975,000 |
| | Total | $975,000 | $975,000 |
| 01-005 | General Fund-Project | | |
| 99-00-00 | General Fund Unallocated | | |
| 99-9990 (191312) | Revenue Transfer In from #01-001-General Fund; #99-00-00, General Fund Unallocated | $975,000 | |
| 90-00-00 | Department of Public Works | | |
| 31-2030 (778175) | Capital Improvement Project | | |
| Project 757-01 | 1680 Mission, Seismic Improvements | | 375,000 |
| 21-2030 (770289) | Capital Improvement Project | | |
| Project | Safety/Security Improvement Program | | 200,000 |

//////////
//////////

ac(721P47)

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 41-00-00 | Public Library | | |
| 21-2030 (615047) | Capital Improvement Project | | |
| Project 815-01 | Main Library-Fire Safety | | 400,000 |
| | Total | $975,000 | $975,000 |

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
By John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

JUN 01 1987

RECOMMENDED:
[signature]
MAYOR

ac(721P48)

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
| | § | |
| June 15, 1987 | § | June 22, 1987 |
| | § | |
| | § | |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez |
| Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari | § | Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari |
| Nelder Silver Walker Ward | § | Nelder Silver Walker |
| | § | |
| Absent: Supervisor~~s~~ Kennedy | § | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance
was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco

Mary Anne Buler
ACTING Clerk

File No.
101-86-129

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 97-87-32 ORDINANCE NO. 286-87

(Recording Fees)

AMENDING CHAPTER 8 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING THERETO SECTION 8.24-2 ESTABLISHING A RECORDER'S MODERNIZATION FUND TO PAY COSTS OF PROVIDING A MODERNIZED RECORDED DOCUMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM.

Note: Additions are not underlined. The section added is entirely additional.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Chapter 8 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is amended by adding Section 8.24-2 thereto, to read as follows:

SEC. 8.24-2. ESTABLISHMENT OF A RECORDER'S MODERNIZATION FUND.

(a) There shall be established in the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco a special fund to be known as the Recorder's Modernization Fund into which shall be deposited those portions of the fees collected by the Recorder that are described in subsection (b) hereof. The monies received into this fund are to be used exclusively to pay the costs required to support, maintain, improve, and provide for the full operation for modernized creation, retention, and retrieval of information in the Recorder's system of recorded documents. The expenditures from said fund shall be in accordance with the budget and fiscal provisions of the Charter. Any balance remaining in the fund at the close of any fiscal year shall be deemed to have been provided for a specific purpose within the meaning of Section 6.306 of the Charter and shall be carried forward and accumulated in said fund for the purpose recited above.

(b) There shall be deposited into the Recorder's Modernization Fund the following:

/ / /

/ / /



(1) From the fees collected by the Recorder pursuant to the version of California Government Code Section 27361 that was adopted by Section 1 of Chapter 1156 of the Statutes of 1984 (which is operative until January 1, 1990, unless extended as provided therein), there shall be deposited one dollar ($1) for each first page and one dollar ($1) for each additional page or fraction of a page that is recorded; and

(ii) From the fees collected by the Recorder pursuant to the version of California Government Code Section 27361.3 that was adopted by Section 2 of Chapter 1156 of the Statutes of 1984 (which is operative until January 1, 1990, unless extended as provided therein), there shall be deposited two dollars ($2) for each release of lien, encumbrance or notice that is recorded.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By Julian E. Fultgren
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

Chief Administrative Officer

Recorder

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

3905w

**Passed for Second Reading**

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

June 15, 1987

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Gonzalez, Hongisto, Hsieh, ~~Kennedy~~, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy

John L. Taylor Clerk

JUL 2 1987

97-87-32
File No.

Approved

**Read Second Time and Finally Passed**

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

June 22, 1987

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Gonzalez, Hongisto, Hsieh, ~~Kennedy~~, Maher, Molinari, ~~Nelder~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy, Nelder, Ward

*I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.*

Mary Anne Brown ACTING Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 6/10/87

FILE NO. 101-87-3

ORDINANCE NO. 287-87

(Government Funding) R/O #6856 SA #188

APPROPRIATING ~~$836,180~~ $814,200 FOR RENTAL OF PROPERTY, REAL ESTATE - DEDICATED SERVICES, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1987-88.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for Fiscal Year 1987-88 as follows:

| DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER | SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION | AMOUNT DEBIT | AMOUNT CREDIT |
|---|---|---|---|
| 01-001 | General Fund | | |
| 54-5411 (045807) | Rents of Rooms or Space - General City | 814,200 ~~$836,180~~ | |
| 71-20-20 | Real Estate - Dedicated Services | | |
| 10-1460 (4212/1) | Property Rental | | 814,200 ~~$836,180~~ |
| | Total | 814,200 ~~$836,180~~ | 814,200 ~~$836,180~~ |

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

By: Tom J. Owen
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

JUN 08 1987

RECOMMENDED:

Dianne Feinstein
MAYOR

(fh#0780P3)

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 15, 1987 | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisor Kennedy | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Nelder Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Marlene Baker
ACTING Clerk

File No. 101-87-3

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 62-87-2 ORDINANCE NO. 288-87

(SADDLE HORSE AGREEMENT)

APPROVING A MONTH TO MONTH AGREEMENT WITH JAY BARNES FOR ESTABLISHING AND OPERATING A PROFESSIONAL SADDLE HORSE CONCESSION AT CAMP MATHER.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. That certain agreement between the Recreation and Park Commission, for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and Jay Barnes, dba: Mather Saddle and Pack Station, for establishing and operating a professional saddle horse concession at Camp Mather, a copy of which agreement is on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made, is hereby approved.

RECOMMENDED:

Mary E. Burns, General Manager
Recreation and Park Department

Shauna Marie Rose, Secretary
Recreation and Park Commission

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, City Attorney

By: Mara Rosales
Mara Rosales, Deputy City Attorney

0355c

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | § | Finally Passed |
|---|---|---|
| June 15, 1987 | § | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker Ward | § | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy | § | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Mary Rose Burke
ACTING Clerk

File No. 62-87-2

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 34-87-3

AS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE
5/19/87

ORDINANCE NO. 289-87

9/87

NO.
AMENDING ORDINANCE /1061 ENTITLED "REGULATING THE WIDTH OF SIDEWALK" BY ADDING THERETO SECTION 1478 CHANGING THE WIDTH OF SIDEWALKS ON KEARNY AND VALLEJO STREETS.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Ordinance NO. 1061 entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalk", be and is hereby amended in accordance with communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered as follows:

Section 1478. The width of sidewalks on Kearny and Vallejo Streets shall be shown on the Bureau of Engineering plans Q-20-360, copies of which are on file with the Clerk of the board of Supervisors.

The Department of City Planning in its report dated April 6, 1987, declared that the above-described modification of the sidewalk width is in conformity with the Master Plan.

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

City Engineer

RECOMMENDED

Director of Public Works

APPROVED AS TO FORM
LOUISE RENNE CITY ATTORNEY

By
Deputy City Attorney

APPROVED

Chief Administrative Officer

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

**Passed for Second Reading**

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

June 8, 1987

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Britt~~, ~~Gonzalez~~, Hongisto, Hsieh, Kennedy, Maher, Molinari, ~~Nelder~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

Noes: Supervisor Nelder

Absent: Supervisors Britt, Gonzalez, Ward

John L. Taylor mcg Clerk

**Read Second Time and Finally Passed**

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

June 22, 1987

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, Gonzalez, Hongisto, Hsieh, ~~Kennedy~~, Maher, Molinari, ~~Nelder~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors Kennedy, Nelder, Ward

*I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.*

Mary Anne Byrne ACTING Clerk

34-87-3
File No.

JUL 2 1987
Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 102-86-39 ORDINANCE NO. 290-87

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT SAL.ORD.AMEND.NO. 24

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 316-86 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1986-87) REFLECTING THE ADDITION OF TWO POSITIONS FOR THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The hereinafter designated items and section of Ordinance No. 316-86 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1986-87) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

| (Amendment) | No. Pos. | Class & Item No. | Class Title | Compensation Schedule |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Dept.: | 04 District Attorney | |
| | | Division: | 01 General | |
| | | Section: | 00 General | |
| | | Fund: | 01001 General Fund | |
| | | Object: | 001 Regular Misc. | |
| 1 added | 1 | 1460 A | Senior Legal Stenographer..... | SC $ 998B1208 |
| 1 added | 1 | 8176 A | Trial Attorney, Civil and Criminal..... | SC 1558B1890 |

APPROVED AS TO CLASSIFICATION:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

John J. Walsh
GENERAL MANAGER, PERSONNEL

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

by: [signature]
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

NO. 24

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 15, 1987 | June 22, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Nelder Silver Walker Ward | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Nelder Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Molinari | Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

[signature]
ACTING Clerk

File No. 102-86-39

JUL 2 1987
Date Approved

[signature]
Mayor

FILE NO. 34-87-4 ORDINANCE NO. 291-87

AMENDING ORDINANCE 1061 ENTITLED "REGULATING THE WIDTH OF SIDEWALK" BY ADDING THERETO SECTION CHANGING THE WIDTH OF SIDEWALKS ON BOTH SIDES OF GRIFFITH STREET BETWEEN THOMAS AND PALOU AVENUES.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Ordinance No. 1061 entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalk", be and is hereby amended in accordance with communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered as follows:

Section 1479. The width of sidewalks on both sides of Griffith Street between Thomas and Palou Avenues shall be changed from 15 feet to 12 feet on the Department of Public Works Order No. 144,577, copies of which are on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

The Department of City Planning in its report dated April 15, 1987, declared that the above-described modification of the sidewalk width is in conformity with the Master Plan.

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:

for City Engineer

RECOMMENDED:

Director of Public Works

APPROVED AS TO FORM
LOUISE RENNE CITY ATTORNEY

By
Deputy City Attorney

APPROVED

Chief Administrative Officer

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 27 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| June 22, 1987 | June 29, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Maher Molinari Silver Walker | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Nelder Silver |
| Absent: Supervisors Kennedy Nelder Ward | Absent: Supervisors Hongisto Walker Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
34-87-4

JUL 8 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

As amended in Committee 6/18/87

FILE NO. 114-87-2

ORDINANCE NO. 292-87

(Physically Handicapped Requirements)

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER 1, SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (BUILDING CODE) BY ADDING SECTIONS 4901 AND 4902 THERETO TO REQUIRE CONDOMINIUMS TO BE ACCESSIBLE AND ADAPTABLE TO THE PHYSICALLY HANDICAPPED.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter 1 of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Building Code) is hereby amended by adding Sections 4901 and 4902 to read as follows:

Note: Additions are not underlined; all sections are new.

Chapter 49

ACCESS AND ADAPTABILITY REQUIREMENTS FOR THE PHYSICALLY HANDICAPPED

Chapter 49 of the 1979 Uniform Building Code has no requirements. Chapter 49 of this code regulates access and adaptability requirements for the physically handicapped in accordance with the provisions set forth below.

Sec. 4901. Findings and Purpose

(a) The Board of Supervisors finds that:

1. The State Building Code requirements for access and adaptability for the physically handicapped apply to apartment houses of five or more units but do not apply to condominium projects;

2. most new multiple-unit housing facilities in San Francisco are being built as condominiums;

3. many new multiple-unit housing facilities constructed as condominiums are operated as apartments. For example, condominium developers who finance projects through Residential Mortgage Revenue Bonds rent the units for at least fifteen years to comply with federal tax laws.

(b) The Board of Supervisors finds pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 17958.5 that it is reasonably necessary to adopt this ordinance on the basis of the following local conditions:

1. In the future, the demand for accessible and adaptable housing in San Francisco is likely to be greater than in other communities because:

A. San Francisco already has a significant percentage of physically handicapped residents.

B. San Francisco has a higher percentage of elderly residents than the statewide average. Because disabilities increase with age, the percentage of physically handicapped residents is likely to substantially increase. An adequate supply of accessible and adaptable housing will ensure against displacement of the disabled elderly into public institutions.

2. The supply of accessible and adaptable housing in San Francisco is smaller than in other communities because:

A. San Francisco cannot increase its area to accommodate normal population growth because of its unique geographical location.

B. The majority of existing housing stock consists of older buildings, many situated on steep hills, making access for the physically handicapped difficult or impossible.

C. Much of the remainder of existing housing stock is composed of three-story structures in which the ground floor is a garage and the upper floors can be reached only by stairs.

(c) It is the intent of the Board of Supervisors to

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

increase the supply of housing units accessible and adaptable to the physically handicapped without substantially increasing the cost of housing.

Sec. 4902 Access and Adaptability Requirements.

(a) Access and adaptability requirements of the State Building Code for the physically handicapped (Cal. Admin. Code, Title 24), including any amendments to that code after the effective date of this ordinance, shall apply to all ~~privately funded~~ condominiums in a building of five or more dwelling units, except as provided in (d), below.

(b) To determine whether this ordinance applies to a condominium project, all the buildings on the site shall be considered as one building.

(c) In complying with this ordinance, no builder/developer shall be required to spend more than an annually adjusted cost cap per dwelling unit. The cost cap shall be an amount proportional to $600 based on the January 1981 average construction cost index of 3372.02 ("ENR US-20 Cities," Engineering News Record, McGraw Hill Publishing Company). On the effective date of this ordinance and each January thereafter, the Director shall update the cost cap to a current amount based on the index for that year.

(d) The requirements for access and adaptability for the physically handicapped shall not apply to the construction of new condominium projects for which building permits have been ~~issued~~ filed before the effective date of this ordinance.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
LOUISE H. RENNE, CITY ATTORNEY

By Elaine C. Warren
Deputy City Attorney

5901F



**Passed for Second Reading**

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

June 29, 1987

Ayes: Supervisors [redacted] Gonzalez, Hongisto, Hsieh, Kennedy, Maher, Molinari, Nelder, Silver, [redacted] Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors Britt Walker

John L. Taylor Clerk

114-87-2 File No.

JUL 8 1987 Approved

**Read Second Time and Finally Passed**

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

July 6, 1987

Ayes: Supervisors Britt, [redacted] Hongisto, Hsieh, Kennedy, Maher, [redacted] Nelder, Silver, [redacted], [redacted]

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Molinari Walker Ward

*I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.*

John L. Taylor Clerk

Dianne Feinstein Mayor

FILE NO. 48-87-6

ORDINANCE NO. 293-87

RO #6851

[SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM .]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF ARBEED V. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $6,500.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the claim of Mr. and Mrs. Azizeh Arbeed by the payment of $6,500.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:
SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

FRANK JORDAN
Chief

FUNDS AVAILABLE

CONTROLLER

01 001 97 09 38
309781 1450 $6,500.00
6219F

JUN 01 1987

APPROVED:
SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION

SECRETARY

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 29 1987

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| July 6, 1987 | July 13, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Silver | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Walker Molinari Ward | Absent: Supervisors Nelder Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
48-87-6

JUL 17 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-87-58

ORDINANCE NO. 294-87

RO #6852

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF CATHERINE MOSS AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO for $32,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "CATHERINE MOSS v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al., Superior Court No. 838-178, by the payment of $32,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

CONTROLLER

01 001 97 09 38
309781 1450 $32,000.00

JUN 01 1987

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

FRANK JORDAN, Chief of Police

APPROVED:

POLICE COMMISSION

SECRETARY

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 29 1987

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| July 6, 1987 | July 13, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Silver | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Walker Molinari Ward | Absent: Supervisors Nelder Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
45-87-58

JUL 17 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 48-87-7

ORDINANCE NO. 295-87

RO #6862

[SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM OF JESUS R. BAUTISTA AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $7,500.00

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the claim entitled "JESUS R. BAUTISTA V. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, by the payment of $7,500.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

KIMBERLY A. KEILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

for John B. Cooney
RICHARD J. EVANS
Director
Department of Public Works

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

JOHN C. FARRELL
CONTROLLER

BY CONTROLLER
01 001 97 09 90
309674 1450 $7,500.00

JUN 19 1987

APPROVED:

for CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 29 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| | |
|---|---|
| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
| July 6, 1987 | July 13, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Silver | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Walker Molinari Ward | Absent: Supervisors Nelder Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No. 48-87-7

JUL 17 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 46-87-8 ORDINANCE NO. 296-87

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF JOHN HARRIS vs. WILLIAM MICHALEK, ET AL., UPON RECEIPT OF THE SUM OF $13,333.00 AND AUTHORIZING DISMISSAL OF SAID LITIGATION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to settle San Francisco Superior Court No. 863168 entitled "JOHN HARRIS, Plaintiff vs. WILLIAM MICHALEK, ET AL., Defendants and CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, Intervenor" by the acceptance of the sum of $13,333.00.

Section 2. Upon receipt of said sum of $13,333.00, the City Attorney is authorized to dismiss said complaint.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

By: EDW. C. A. JOHNSON
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

RETIREMENT BOARD
SAN FRANCISCO CITY AND COUNTY
EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

By: Pam Levesque
PAM LEVESQUE
Executive Assistant to
General Manager

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 29 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| July 6, 1987 | July 13, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Silver | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Walker Molinari Ward | Absent: Supervisors Nelder Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No. 46-87-8

JUL 17 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-87-55

ORDINANCE NO. 297-87

RO #6846

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF CLARENCE LEE AGAINST BERT SHIM, JOHN MORTON, CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ET AL. FOR $30,000 TO CLARENCE LEE AND $2,500 TO JOHN MORTON, FOR A TOTAL AMOUNT OF $32,500.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "CLARENCE LEE v. BERT SHIM, JOHN MORTON, CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.", Superior Court No. 841-678, by the payment of $30,000 to Clarence Lee and $2,500 to John Morton, for a total payment of $32,500.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

KIMBERLY A. RILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

for John B. Comey
RICHARD J. EVANS, Director
Department of Public Works

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

01 001 97 09 90
309674 1450 $32,500.00

JUN 01 1987

APPROVED:

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 29 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| July 6, 1987 | July 13, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Silver | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Walker Molinari Ward | Absent: Supervisors Nelder Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

John L. Taylor
Clerk

File No.
45-87-55

JUL 17 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-87-57

ORDINANCE NO. 298-87

RO #6850

(SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT)

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF CLARENCE GALTNEY, ET AL. AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $11,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "CLARENCE GALTNEY, et al., v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al., "Superior Court No. 865-303, by the payment of $11,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

FRANK JORDAN, Chief

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

CONTROLLER

01 001 97 09 38
309781 1450 $11,000.00

JUN 01 1987

APPROVED:
SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION

SECRETARY

RECEIVED
POLICE COMMISSION
1987 MAY 13 P 3 39

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

JUL 30 1987

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| July 6, 1987 | July 13, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Silver | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Walker Molinari Ward | Absent: Supervisors Nelder Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
45-87-57

JUL 17 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-87-59

ORDINANCE NO. 299-87

RO #6859

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]
AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF JAMES DUNBAR, JR., AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO for $20,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "JAMES DUNBAR, JR., V. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al." Superior Court No. 852-642, by the payment of $20,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

FRANK JORDAN

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

CONTROLLER

01 001 97 09 38
309781 1450 $20,000.00

JUN 0 9 1987

APPROVED:

San Francisco Police Commission

SECRETARY

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 2 9 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| July 6, 1987 | July 13, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Silver | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Walker Molinari Ward | Absent: Supervisors Nelder Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
45-87-59

JUL 1 7 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-87-60

ORDINANCE NO. 300-87

RO #6858

[SETTLEMENT OF LAWSUIT]

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF SHINE v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al. for $10,000.00

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled SHINE v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al., Superior Court No. 813-695 by the payment of $10,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

LOUISE H. RENNE
City Attorney

KIMBERLY A. REILEY
Chief Trial Deputy

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

JOHN C. FARRELL
Controller
01 001 97 09 38
309781 1450 $10,000.00

JUN 09 1987

RECOMMENDED:

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

FRANK JORDAN, Chief
San Francisco Police Department

APPROVED:

POLICE COMMISSION

SECRETARY OF THE
POLICE COMMISSION

7806F/4

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
JUL 09 1987
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

| Passed for Second Reading | Finally Passed |
|---|---|
| July 6, 1987 | July 13, 1987 |
| Ayes: Supervisors Britt Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Nelder Silver | Ayes: Supervisors Britt Gonzalez Hongisto Hsieh Kennedy Maher Molinari Silver Walker |
| Absent: Supervisors Gonzalez Walker Molinari Ward | Absent: Supervisors Nelder Ward |

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco

Clerk

File No.
45-87-60

JUL 17 1987
Date Approved

Mayor

9750 6

Ulrich/Omaha, Ne
Houchen
Bindery Ltd
2012